

# MLPR – 2023 – Language detection

The goal of the project is the development of a classifier to detect whether an utterance is spoken in a given target language or not. The target language is considered fixed (e.g. Italian). The classifier should then recognize whether an utterance is in the target language (class 1) or not (class 0). The utterances are represented by means of language embeddings, i.e. low-dimensional representations of speech obtained by mapping audio segments to a duration-independent, low-dimensional manifold (typically few hundred dimensions). To keep the model tractable, the dataset consists of synthetic data, and embeddings have significantly lower dimension than in real use-cases.

The embeddings are 6-dimensional, continuous-valued vectors, belonging to either the *target language* (label 1) or the *non-target language* (label 0) class. The embedding components do not have a physical interpretation.

File `Train.txt` contains the embeddings that can be employed to build the classification model (training set). The evaluation embeddings (evaluation set) are provided in file `Test.txt`. Each row of each file correspond to a sample. The features and corresponding label of each sample are separated by commas, with the first 6 columns of each file corresponding to the sample components, whereas the last column contains the corresponding label.

The datasets are imbalanced, with the target language class having less samples than the non-target language class. The non-target language samples belong to one of 25 possible languages, but the actual language label is not available. The target application considers two possible working points, with a primary metric defined as the average actual costs of the working point ( $\pi_T = 0.5, C_{fn} = 1, C_{fp} = 1$ ) and the working point ( $\pi_T = 0.1, C_{fn} = 1, C_{fp} = 1$ ).

## Model training and model selection

The report should provide an analysis of the dataset and of suitable models for the task, and the methodology employed to select a candidate solution among different possible alternatives (e.g. different classification models, different values of the hyperparameters, different pre-processing strategies).

The models must be trained over the training set only. When needed, validation data can be extracted from the training set (for example, to compare competing models, to select suitable values for the hyperparameters of each model, or to train score calibration models). Models should be trained to optimize the target application, but performance of the models for alternative applications should also be analyzed and discussed. At the end of this stage, a candidate solution should be provided for the classification task.

## Evaluation of the candidate model

The proposed solution must be evaluated on the evaluation set. The evaluation samples should be treated as independent, i.e. the value or the score of an evaluation sample should have no influence on the score of a different sample. The evaluation should report the performance in terms of the primary metric, but also analyze how the model would perform for alternative applications (i.e. alternative working points).

## Post-evaluation analysis

The choices made during model training should be analyzed to verify that the selected model is indeed competitive for the task. This requires comparing on the evaluation set alternative models that were analyzed and trained, but discarded, in the first phase, to verify whether the proposed solution is indeed optimal or close to optimal with respect to possible alternatives (e.g., the chosen models is effective, the chosen hyperparameters are optimal, etc.).