VisaCompanion RFE Risk Report

# Executive Summary

This petition review identified 3 potential RFE triggers (1 critical, 2 recommended improvements).

# Detailed Findings

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Issue** | **Severity** | **USCIS Policy Reference** |
| Critical Role | **Lacks independent evidence for critical role** | High | USCIS PM 6.2.5 |
| Subjective Language | Avoid subjective term: 'world-class' | Medium | AAO Decision #123456 |
| Subjective Language | Avoid subjective term: 'unparalleled' | Medium | AAO Decision #123456 |

# Suggested Wording Improvements

## Before → After Examples:

* "world-class" → "ACM Fellow (top 1% of CS researchers)"
* "innovative" → "Patented algorithm (USPTO #123456)"
* "led team" → "Directed 5-person team (org chart Exhibit B)"

## Adjudicator's Perspective

As a USCIS officer reviewing this petition, I would question:

* 🔴 Substantiation Issues: Several claims lack independent verification (e.g., no patent numbers for 'innovative algorithms'). RFE likely required.
* Compare to Matter of [XXXX] (AAO precedent case)
* Verify if publications meet 6.2.3(b) criteria
* Check for objective evidence under Kazarian framework