

Assignment Due: Monday February 17, 2025, 5:30pm

The total number of marks possible marks for the assignment is 70. All students should attempt all questions. **Make sure you show all your work, and make sure that your work is your own.** Your reasoning and work is more important than your answer.

1. The “friendship paradox” says that on average, your friends have more friends than you do, because a friend is reached by following an edge, and more edges are connected to nodes of higher degree. This question is about the *generalized friendship paradox*.

Suppose we have a quantity  $x$  (e.g. wealth, virus count ..) on each node in a configuration model network. Denote the value of  $x$  on node  $i$  by  $x_i$ .

- (a) Choose a *random edge* on the network, and consider the node at the end of this edge. Show that the average value of  $x$  at that node (let’s call this  $\bar{x}_{\text{edge}}$ ) is  $\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i$ .
- (b) Show that  $\bar{x}_{\text{edge}} - \bar{x} = \frac{\text{cov}(x, k)}{\bar{k}}$ , where  $\text{cov}(x, k)$  is the *covariance* between  $x$  and the degree  $k$ , and  $\bar{k}$  is the average degree.<sup>1</sup>
- (c) Interpret your answer: do your friends tend to have higher values of  $x$  than you? When? When don’t they?

[10]

2. In class we played a game on a lattice, in which each player aimed to get their initial in the most boxes, and boxes were filled in a process like bond percolation.

Here, let’s look at the lattice as a very large graph (ignoring the game, initialling and box-filling), with degree distribution

$$p_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

- (a) If the lattice were a configuration model, find the critical occupation probability,  $\phi_c$ . You can ignore the nodes at the top, sides about bottom of the lattice (where the degree is not 4).
- (b) If  $\phi = 0.5$ , find the size of the giant percolation cluster, as a fraction of the total lattice size. (You may need to use a numerical method. Any approximate solution is fine, including using a series of educated guesses, just explain what you did).
- (c) Is the lattice a configuration model? Why or why not? How do you expect this to matter in the percolation process?

[10]

<sup>1</sup> $\text{cov}(X, Y) = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$  where  $E$  is the average.

## 3. Giant components (GC) in configuration models.

- (a) Consider a random node  $i$  in a configuration model network. Choose one of its edges, and follow that edge to node  $j$ . Let  $u$  be the probability that  $j$  is not in the GC. Use conditioning to get a consistency equation for  $u$  in terms of a probability generating function.
- (b) What is the trivial (boring) solution to your equation? When is there a non-trivial solution, and hence, a GC?
- (c) If  $i$  has  $k$  neighbours, what's the probability that *all* of them are *not connected* to the GC?
- (d) Condition on the degree again to get the probability of not being in the GC in terms of a probability generating function.
- (e) What's the expected size of the GC, as a fraction of the size of the network?
- (f) Compare this to what we obtained for the giant percolation cluster. What's the main difference? Argue that any network with a giant percolation cluster also has a giant component.

[15]

4. What about the small components? If a node is not in the giant component, we will say that it's in a "small component" (which could be of size 1). Assume a configuration model network with degree distribution  $p_k$ .

- (a) Use Bayes' theorem to relate (1) the probability that a node has degree  $k$  given that it is in a small component and (2) the probability that a node is in a small component given that it has degree  $k$ .
- (b) Find an expression, in terms of a probability generating function, for the average degree of nodes in small components.
- (c) Compare the average degree in small components to the average degree in the whole network, for a network with Poisson degree distribution with a mean of 3. (You will need to use a numerical method to find  $u$ . Any approximate solution is fine, including using a series of educated guesses, just explain your logic. You will also find it useful to know that  $g_1(u) = g'_0(u)/E(k)$ ).

[15]

5. Degrees in the giant component. Consider a configuration model with degree distribution  $p_k$ .

- (a) Write the expression for the probability that a node of degree  $k$  IS in the giant component, in terms of  $u$  (the probability that it is not connected to the GC via a specific one of its edges).
- (b) Hence derive an expression for the probability that a node in the GC has degree  $k$ .
- (c) Show that the average degree of a node in the GC is  $E(k)(1 - u^2)/S$  where  $S$  is the expected size of the GC (as a fraction of the number of nodes).

[20]