# Robust Finite-Time Control of Robot Manipulators via Discontinous Integral Action

A Lyapunov-Based Approach with Output Feedback

Alejandro León

Instituto de Ingeniería Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

August 22, 2025

### **Contents**

1. Introduction

2. Problem Statement

3. Literature Review

## Section 1

## Introduction

#### **Motivation and Context**

- Why is robot control still a relevant problem?
- Classic challenges: nonlinearity, uncertainty, and disturbances
- The key problem: linear control shows limitations against strong nonlinearities and lacks robustness

## **Objectives**

#### Main Objective

To design a robust finite-time controller for robot manipulators that ensures stability and performance in the presence of uncertainties and disturbances.

#### **Specific Objectives:**

- Develop a controller featuring discontinuous integral action for disturbance rejection and zero steady-state error.
- Ensure the stability of the closed-loop system in the presence of quadratic Coriolis terms.
- Generalize the methodology for the MIMO case (n-DOF manipulators).
- Eliminate the need for velocity measurements by employing an output feedback approach using continuous homogeneous observers.

## Section 2

## **Problem Statement**

#### **Mathematical Formulation**

#### Manipulator Dynamics

#### Nominal

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) = \tau$$

#### **Uncertainties** + **Disturbances**

$$\Delta M(q)\ddot{q} + \Delta C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + \Delta G(q) + d(q,\dot{q},\ddot{q}) = au$$

#### **Control Objectives:**

- Design  $\tau$  to achieve:
  - Finite-Time Regulation (FTR)
  - Finite-Time Tracking (FTT)

**FTR:** If  $q_d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is constant, then the joint position error  $\tilde{q} = q - q_d$  and the joint velocity  $\dot{q}$  satisfy  $\lim_{t \to \mathcal{T}(\tilde{q}_0, \dot{q}_0)} \tilde{q}(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \to \mathcal{T}(\tilde{q}_0, \dot{q}_0)} \dot{q}(t) = 0$ , for all IC  $\tilde{q}_0, \dot{q}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**FTT:** If  $\|q_d\| < \alpha_{\nu}$  and  $\alpha_{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is known, then  $\tilde{q}$  and the joint velocity error  $\dot{\tilde{q}} = \dot{q} - \dot{q}_d$  satisfy  $\lim_{t \to \mathcal{T}(\tilde{q}_0, \dot{q}_0)} \tilde{q}(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \to \mathcal{T}(\tilde{q}_0, \dot{q}_0)} \dot{\tilde{q}}(t) = 0$ , for all IC  $\tilde{q}_0, \dot{\tilde{q}}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### **Mathematical Formulation**

#### Manipulator Dynamics

#### Nominal

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) = \tau$$

#### **Uncertainties + Disturbances**

$$\Delta M(q)\ddot{q} + \Delta C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + \Delta G(q) + d(q,\dot{q},\ddot{q}) = au$$

#### **Control Objectives:**

- Design  $\tau$  to achieve:
  - Finite-Time Regulation (FTR)
  - Finite-Time Tracking (FTT)
- Handle uncertainties

#### **Mathematical Formulation**

#### Manipulator Dynamics

#### Nominal

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) = \tau$$

#### **Uncertainties + Disturbances**

$$\Delta M(q)\ddot{q} + \Delta C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + \Delta G(q) + d(q,\dot{q},\ddot{q}) = \tau$$

#### **Control Objectives:**

- Design  $\tau$  to achieve:
  - Finite-Time Regulation (FTR)
  - Finite-Time Tracking (FTT)
- Handle uncertainties
- Implement Output Feedback Controller

#### Main Challenges:

• Quadratic Coriolis terms  $C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q}$ 

#### Coriolis Challenge

$$C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} = \sum_{i,j,k} C_{ijk}(q)\dot{q}_j\dot{q}_k$$

- Quadratic in velocity → strong nonlinearity
- Cross-coupling between all joints
- Velocity-dependent uncertainty amplification

#### Main Challenges:

- Quadratic Coriolis terms  $C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q}$
- Discontinuous dynamics analysis

#### Discontinuous Analysis

**Problem:**  $\tau$  contains sign(e) terms

- Classical derivatives don't exist
- Need differential inclusions:  $\dot{x} \in F(x)$
- Prove finite-time convergence

#### Main Challenges:

- Quadratic Coriolis terms  $C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q}$
- Discontinuous dynamics analysis
- MIMO coupling effects

### MIMO Complexity

Each joint affects others through:

- Inertial coupling
- Centrifugal forces
- Gravitational terms

#### Main Challenges:

- Quadratic Coriolis terms  $C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q}$
- Discontinuous dynamics analysis
- MIMO coupling effects
- Output feedback design

#### Observer Design and Analysis

 Estimate q from position measurements only:

$$\hat{\dot{q}} = f(q, \hat{q})$$

 Analyse how does this affect to closed-loop stability.

## Section 3

## **Literature Review**

## Literature Review: Finite-Time Control for Manipulators

#### Focus Areas

- Discontinuous PID control for finite-time convergence
- Output feedback design using homogeneous observers
- Finite-time stability analysis for robot manipulators
- · Robustness to uncertainties and quadratic nonlinearities

#### Papers Analyzed:

- 1. Moreno (2020) Discontinuous PID (SISO baseline)
- Mercado-Uribe and Moreno (2020) Advanced theory and proofs
- 3. Cruz-Zavala et al. (2021) Finite-time for manipulators
- Cruz-Zavala and Moreno (2017) Homogeneous Lyapunov tools

#### Key Question

How to extend discontinuous PID from SISO to MIMO manipulators with quadratic Coriolis terms?

Research Gap No existing work combines: disc-PID + MIMO (manipulators) + quadratic terms handling + output feedback

## Paper 1: Moreno 2020 - Discontinuous PID for SISO Systems

**Core Idea:** Discontinuous integral action  $\dot{\sigma} = \text{sign}(e_1)$  achieves finite-time tracking and disturbance rejection for SISO systems with relative degree 2.

#### Mathematical Contribution:

- Controller:  $u = -k_1 \left[ e_1 + k_2 \left[ e_2 \right]^{3/2} \right]^{1/3} k_3 \sigma$
- Finite-time stability proof using homogeneous LF
- Continuous homogeneous observer for velocity estimation:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\hat{e}}_1 &= -\lambda I_1 \lceil \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rfloor^{2/3} + \hat{e}_2 \\ \dot{\hat{e}}_2 &= -\lambda^2 I_2 \lceil \hat{e}_1 - e_1 \rfloor^{1/3} - k_1 a_N \left\lceil e_1 + k_2 \lceil \hat{e}_2 \rfloor^{3/2} \right\rceil^{1/3} \end{split}$$

Output feedback implementation (position-only measurements)

$$egin{cases} \dot{\mathrm{e}}_1 = \mathrm{e}_2 \ \dot{\mathrm{e}}_2 = b_0 + a_0 u \ \dot{\sigma} = \mathrm{sign}(\mathrm{e}_1) \end{cases}$$

SISO system with Relative Degree=2

## Paper 1: Moreno 2020 - Discontinuous PID for SISO Systems

**Core Idea:** Discontinuous integral action  $\dot{\sigma} = \text{sign}(e_1)$  achieves finite-time tracking and disturbance rejection for SISO systems with relative degree 2.

#### **Advantages:**

- + Finite-time convergence with zero steady-state error
- + Universal internal model for Lipschitz references/disturbances
- + Simple low-dimensional controller structure
- + Relaxed minimum-phase assumption (only BIBS required)
- + Continuous control signal despite discontinuous integral
- + Robust to parameter uncertainties

#### **Key Innovation**

Discontinuous integral acts as "universal servomechanism" for large class of signals

#### **Assumptions:**

- $0 < a_m \le a_0 \le a_M$
- $|\dot{\rho}| \leq L$  (Lipschitz)
- BIBS zero dynamics

## Paper 1: Moreno 2020 - Discontinuous PID for SISO Systems

**Core Idea:** Discontinuous integral action  $\dot{\sigma} = \text{sign}(e_1)$  achieves finite-time tracking and disturbance rejection for SISO systems with relative degree 2.

#### **Limitations:**

- SISO systems only (robots are MIMO)
- Assumes Lipschitz condition on  $\dot{\rho}$  (invalid for quadratic Coriolis terms)
- Technical challenges for discontinuous integral action

### Lipschitz violation

For manipulators:  $\rho = \frac{C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q}}{M(q)}$ 

$$\dot{
ho} \propto rac{d}{dt} [{\it C}(q,\dot{q})\dot{q}]$$
 unbounded

Violates Assumption 3:  $|\dot{\rho}| \leq L$ 

# Paper 2: Mercado-Moreno 2020 - Generalized Discontinuous Integral Theory

**Core Idea:** Homogeneous discontinuous integral action for arbitrary relative degree (RD) systems, generalizing super-twisting algorithm to higher orders.

#### **Mathematical Contribution:**

- Controller:  $u=-k_{
  ho}\chi(ar{x}_{
  ho})+z$ ,  $\dot{z}\in -K_{I}\psi(ar{x}_{
  ho})$
- Discontinuous integral  $\psi(\bar{x}_{\rho})$  can depend only on a portion of the state
- ullet Smooth homogeneous Lyapunov function for arbitrary ho
- Rigorous finite-time stability proof via modified backstepping
- Continuous control signal despite discontinuous integral

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = x_{i+1}, \ i = 1, \dots, \rho - 1 \\ \dot{x}_\rho = g[u + \delta] \end{cases}$$

Arbitrary relative degree  $\rho$ 

# Paper 2: Mercado-Moreno 2020 - Generalized Discontinuous Integral Theory

**Core Idea:** Homogeneous discontinuous integral action for arbitrary relative degree (RD) systems, generalizing super-twisting algorithm to higher orders.

#### **Advantages:**

- + Generalizes super-twisting to arbitrary relative degree
- + Flexible integral structure (polynomial or rational forms)
- + Handles larger class of perturbations than classical methods
- + Smooth Lyapunov function (vs non-smooth in other works)
- + Rigorous mathematical framework with complete proofs
- + Scaling properties preserve stability

#### **Key Innovation**

Unified framework for discontinuous integral action across all relative degrees

#### Perturbation Class:

- $|\delta_1| \leq \Delta_1 ||x||^{d+r_\rho}$
- $|\dot{\delta}_2| \leq \Delta_2$
- Much larger than constant perturbations

# Paper 2: Mercado-Moreno 2020 - Generalized Discontinuous Integral Theory

**Core Idea:** Homogeneous discontinuous integral action for arbitrary relative degree (RD) systems, generalizing super-twisting algorithm to higher orders.

#### **Limitations:**

- SISO systems only (robots are MIMO)
- Arbitrary relative degree (unnecessary complexity for RD=2)
- Requires full state measurement  $(\sigma, \dot{\sigma}, \dots, \sigma^{(\rho-1)})$
- Complex gain tuning procedure
- Needs robust exact differentiator for output feedback

#### Complexity Issue

For robots (RD=2):

- Arbitrary  $\rho$  adds unnecessary complexity
- Our approach: direct RD=2 design

# Paper 3: Cruz-Nunez 2020 - Strict Lyapunov Functions for Robot FT Control

**Core Idea:** Energy shaping framework to construct strict Lyapunov functions for finite-time control of robot manipulators using continuous controllers.

#### **Mathematical Contribution:**

Controller:

$$au = -
abla_{ ilde{q}}U_c( ilde{q},q_d) - 
abla_{\dot{q}}F(\dot{q}) + M(q)\ddot{q}_d + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q}_d$$

- Strict Lyapunov functions: V<sub>G</sub> = H<sup>p</sup> + γh(q̃)Mq˙,
   V<sub>L</sub> = H<sup>p</sup> + γq̃<sup>T</sup> Mq˙
- Energy shaping conditions on potential  $U_c$  and dissipation F functions
- Unified framework for regulation and tracking problems
- Homogeneous controller design with  $2r_2 > r_1 \ge r_2 > 0$

$$H = rac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T M(q) \dot{q} + U_d( ilde{q}, q_d)$$

Total energy function

#### Key conditions:

- $\tilde{q}^T \nabla_{\tilde{q}} U_d \geq \beta |\tilde{q}|^{\rho_U + 1}$
- $F(\dot{q}) = \frac{1}{\rho_F + 1} \dot{q}^T D \lfloor \dot{q} \rfloor^{\rho_F}$

# Paper 3: Cruz-Nunez 2020 - Strict Lyapunov Functions for Robot FT Control

**Core Idea:** Energy shaping framework to construct strict Lyapunov functions for finite-time control of robot manipulators using continuous controllers.

#### **Advantages:**

- + Applies to robot manipulators (our target system)
- + Finite-time convergence with continuous control
- + No acceleration measurements required
- + Solves both regulation and tracking problems
- + Strict Lyapunov analysis ensures robust stability
- + Energy-based design is intuitive for mechanical systems

#### Key Achievement

First to solve finite-time tracking for robots with continuous controllers

#### **Energy Shaping:**

- Natural for mechanical systems
- Passivity-based design
- Proven stability framework

# Paper 3: Cruz-Nunez 2020 - Strict Lyapunov Functions for Robot FT Control

**Core Idea:** Energy shaping framework to construct strict Lyapunov functions for finite-time control of robot manipulators using continuous controllers.

#### **Limitations:**

- No integral action (no disturbance rejection)
- No discontinuous terms for robustness
- Energy shaping approach different from PID structure
- Complex conditions on potential energy functions
- Requires full state feedback (position and velocity)

#### Missing Elements

For disturbance rejection:

- No integral action
- No discontinuous terms
- Limited robustness

## Paper 4: Cruz-Moreno 2017 - Homogeneous HOSM Lyapunov Framework

**Core Idea:** Lyapunov-based design framework for homogeneous High-Order Sliding Mode controllers using modified backstepping approach.

#### Mathematical Contribution:

- General CLF framework:  $V_{\rho}(x) = \gamma_{\rho-1}V_{\rho-1} + W_{\rho}(x)$
- Modified backstepping for discontinuous controllers
- Homogeneous CLF construction for arbitrary relative degree
- Controllers:  $u_D = -k_\rho \left[ \sigma_\rho(x) \right]^0$ ,  $u_Q = -k_\rho \frac{\sigma_\rho(x)}{M(x)}$
- Unified framework for nested and polynomial HOSM types

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = x_{i+1}, \ i = 1, \dots, \rho - 1 \\ \dot{x}_\rho \in [-C, C] + [K_m, K_M]u \end{cases}$$

Standard HOSM model

**Homogeneity:** 
$$r_s = (\rho, \rho - 1, \dots, 1)$$

## Paper 4: Cruz-Moreno 2017 - Homogeneous HOSM Lyapunov Framework

**Core Idea:** Lyapunov-based design framework for homogeneous High-Order Sliding Mode controllers using modified backstepping approach.

#### **Advantages:**

- + Rigorous Lyapunov framework for HOSM design
- + Explicit gain calculation procedure
- + Covers large family of discontinuous controllers
- + Finite-time stability guarantees with convergence time bounds
- + Extension to variable-gain controllers
- + Foundation for subsequent discontinuous control work

#### Key Achievement

First explicit homogeneous CLF construction for arbitrary order HOSM

#### **CLF Properties:**

- r-homogeneous of degree m
- Smooth despite discontinuous control
- Recursive construction

## Paper 4: Cruz-Moreno 2017 - Homogeneous HOSM Lyapunov Framework

**Core Idea:** Lyapunov-based design framework for homogeneous High-Order Sliding Mode controllers using modified backstepping approach.

#### **Limitations:**

- SISO systems only
- Pure sliding mode approach (no integral PID structure)
- Requires full state measurement up to  $\sigma^{(\rho-1)}$
- Complex CLF construction for higher orders
- Conservative gain calculation in practice

#### Gap for disc-PID

#### Different paradigm:

- Sliding mode vs disc-PID structure
- No integral action for disturbances
- SISO limitation

## Comparative Analysis: Methods vs. Requirements

Method	MIMO	Integral	Coriolis	Output
	Systems	Action	Quadratic	Feedback
Moreno 2020	×	✓	×	✓
Mercado-Moreno 2020	×	<b>√</b>	×	×
Cruz-Nunez 2020	✓	×	✓	✓
Cruz-Moreno 2017	×	×	×	×
Our Approach	✓	✓	✓	✓

#### **Key Observations:**

- No method combines ALL features
- SISO limitation in discontinuous integral approaches
- Missing quadratic terms handling

#### Trade-offs Identified:

- Discontinuous Integral vs. MIMO
- Integral action vs. manipulator application

#### References

- Cruz-Zavala, E. and Moreno, J. A. (2017). Homogeneous high order sliding mode design: a lyapunov approach. *Automatica*, 80:232–238.
- Cruz-Zavala, E., Nuño, E., and Moreno, J. A. (2021). Strict lyapunov functions for finite-time control of robot manipulators. *International Journal of Control*, 94(11):3112–3122.
- Mercado-Uribe, J. Á. and Moreno, J. A. (2020). Discontinuous integral action for arbitrary relative degree in sliding-mode control. *Automatica*, 118:109018.
- Moreno, J. A. (2020). Asymptotic tracking and disturbance rejection of time-varying signals with a discontinuous pid controller. *Journal of Process Control*, 87:79–90.