It is immoral to be a billionaire/ Chairly (part 1)

Answer with NO MORE THAN TWO SENTENC	:ES
---	-----

1.What 2 things may devastate the humanity?
2. Count at least 2 accusations thrown by Chairly to ultra-rich and billionaires?
3. What 2 things make billionaires responsible for maintaining the status quo?
4. Why does Chairly give the statistics about the wealth of winners and loosers?
5. How do billionaires keep themselves rich and the rest poor? (2 ways)
6. What 2 things are Chinese Apple companies notorious for?
7. How do ultra-rich fail to pay the taxes?
8. What was the result of the research conducted by Michigan University?
9. What are the Merca family most famous for?
10. How does Chairly confront possible argument about philantrophy?

It is immoral to be a billionaire/ Chairly (part 1)

Answer with NO MORE THAN TWO SENTENCES

1. What 2 things may devastate the humanity?

Extreme wealth and inequality/ climate breakdown

2. Count at least 2 accusations thrown by Chairly to ultra-rich and billionaires?

Deepening the gap btw rich and poor/ holding money and influence/ exploitation of workers and the earth resources

3. What 2 things make billionaires responsible for maintaining the status quo?

Career pursuits/ personal habits and ethics

4. Why does Chairly give the statistics about the wealth of winners and loosers?

To show the capability of billionaires to create wealth and inequality

5. How do billionaires keep themselves rich and the rest poor? (2 ways)

Keeping workers poor/ avoiding taxes

6. What 2 things are Chinese Apple companies notorious for?

Appalling wages/ employee suicide rate

7. How do ultra-rich fail to pay the taxes?

Get into the tax avoidance scheme/lobbying the government

8. What was the result of the research conducted by Michigan University?

Top 0.5 % earners were the least likely to pay the taxes

9. What is the Merca family most famous for?

Allotting 170 thousand dollars for CO2 pumping coalition

10. How does Chairly confront possible argument about philantrophy?

According to the research it is just a drop in the ocean/ they give or small amount or nothing

It is moral to be a billionaire/ Sarah (part 2)

Answer with	NO N	10RE	THAN 1	<u>rwo</u>	SENT	ENCES
-------------	------	------	--------	------------	-------------	--------------

1.Why does Sarah discuss the concept of morality in detail?
2. How does she confront Chairly's argument about wages?
3. How does she confront his argument about taxation?
4. How does she confront his argument about climate change?
5. Why does she give example of sudden inheritance?
6. What at least 3 good things could rich people do according to Sarah?
7. Why did she say that proposition was failing to show that the ultra-rich are immoral?
8. How should we judge billionaires according to Sarah?
9. Why does she give the example of Scandinavia?
10. How does she sum up her argument?

It is NOT immoral to be a billionaire/Sarah (part 2)

Answer with NO MORE THAN TWO SENTENCES

1. Why does Sarah discuss the concept of morality in detail?

To show that being rich is not immoral

2. How does she confront Chairly's argument about wages?

Not billionaires are immoral, the system that allows it is

3. How does she confront his argument about taxation?

It is just individual choices of billionaires, it is not a condition to be a billionaire

4. How does she confront his argument about the climate change?

It is oversimplification, people are individually guilty regardless of their wealth

5. Why does she give example of sudden inheritance?

To show that having money does not make a person immoral

6. What at least 3 good things could rich people do according to Sarah?

Create jobs/ do innovation/improve education/improve med practices

7. Why did she say that proposition was failing to show that the ultra-rich are immoral?

Attributing immorality to their specific actions or to the wider system of governance

8. How should we judge billionaires according to Sarah?

Based on their actions not on what they have

9. Why does she give the example of Scandinavia?

To show that they have more billionaires per capita they lead the world in equity and combatting climate change

10. How does she sum up her argument?

Having money is not immoral in and of itself

It is immoral to be a billionaire/ Sarah (part 3)

Answer with <u>NO MORE THAN TWO SENTENCES</u>
1.What 2 notions does dispel about being a billionaire?
2. Why does she give the examples of hard-working farmers, factory workers etc?
3. What are the 2 ways of becoming a billionaire according to?
4. Why does she give the statistics that in the UK only people from middle and upper class background can become a billionaire?
5. What does she say about industries that are most likely to make you a billionaire?
6. Why does she mention statistics provided by the intergovernment panel on climate change?
7. How does she confront the opposition's claim about billionaires running charities?
8. What 2 ways does use to confront the opposition's claim about philanthropy?
9. Why does she mention the average wage of CEOs compared to their employees?
10. Why does she mention a scientist who found a vaccine?

It is immoral to be a billionaire/ Amy (part 3)

Answer with **NO MORE THAN TWO SENTENCES**

1. What 2 notions does Amy dispel about being a billionaire?

Attitude/ hard-work

2. Why does she give the examples of hard-working farmers, factory workers etc?

To show that hard working does not yield a billionaire

3. What are the 2 ways of becoming a billionaire according to Amy?

Inheritance/ exploitation

4. Why does she give the statistics that in the UK only people from middle and upper class background can become a billionaire?

Poor kids trying very hard can never become billionaires

5. What does she say about industries that are most likely to make you a billionaire?

Those industries are immoral as they are personally and environmentally destructive

6. Why does she mention statistics provided by the intergovernment panel on climate change?

To show that as after a decade global climate change becomes irrevocable thus working in industries related to this process is immoral

7. How does she confront the opposition's claim about billionaires running charities?

It ignores the fact that there are charities supported by the grass roots

8. What 2 ways does Amy use to confront the opposition's claim about philanthropy?

They solve the problems they are making/ this would make everything dependent on the whims of billionaires

9. Why does she mention the average wage of CEOs compared to their employees?

To show that though they don't work 270 times more, they get 270 times more money

10. Why does she mention a scientist who found a vaccine?

To show that people are not robots driven by pure greed and innovations needn't to have billionaires