

**Computer & Network Security – prof. Giuseppe Bianchi – 3rd midterm, February 2, 2023**

Name+Surname: \_\_\_\_\_ Univ. Code: \_\_\_\_\_

**Q1.** Determine whether the following statements are true or false or Not-applicable/meaningless (i.e. the sentence is badly formulated or refers to something impossible/wrong).

In ECDSA, for providing IND-CPA (semantic) security, the nonce used must be always fresh	V	F	N/A
In ECIES, for providing IND-CPA (semantic) security, the nonce used must be always fresh	V	F	N/A
In El Gamal, for providing IND-CPA security, the nonce used must be always fresh	V	F	N/A
ECDSA security relies on the hardness of the factorization problem over elliptic curves	V	F	N/A
The order of an elliptic curve group built on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with $p$ prime is either $p$ or a multiple of $p$	V	F	N/A
In a (4,4) secret sharing scheme using arithmetic modulo $n$ , $n$ must be a prime number	V	F	N/A
The Shamir secret sharing is unconditionally secure	V	F	N/A
A verifiable secret sharing using the Pedersen Commitment is unconditionally secure	V	F	N/A
The Pedersen Commitment is perfectly hiding	V	F	N/A
Unlike Shamir, a trivial secret sharing scheme cannot be ideal	V	F	N/A

**Q2. Part 1:** Describe the Boneh-Franklin's Identity Based Encryption scheme

**Part 2:** Show how the user private key can be computed via a PKG system distributed among two parties so that neither party is able, alone, to know the users' private keys.

Name+Surname: \_\_\_\_\_ Univ. Code: \_\_\_\_\_

**Q3.** Let P be an EC point. What is the minimum number of EC sums/doubles necessary to compute  $[193]P$ ?

193 in binary is: 1100.0001  
Then, 7 doubles and 2 additions = 8.

**Q4 Assume arithmetic modulus 100.** A Linear secret sharing scheme involving 4 parties is described by the following access control matrix:

A:	1	1	0	0
B:	0	1	1	0
C:	0	0	1	1
D:	0	0	0	1

Assume that the following shares are revealed:  $A \rightarrow 15$ ,  $B \rightarrow 27$ ,  $C \rightarrow 33$ ,  $D \rightarrow 41$   
What is the secret?

$A+B+C-D = 80$ .

**Q5.** Consider the Elliptic curve  $EC(Z_7)$ :  $y^2 = x^3 + x$  defined over the modular integer field  $Z_7$ .

- A. Verify that (0,0) is a point of the curve, and (*without any computation*) determine  $(0,0)+(0,0)$ .
- B. find all the remaining points of the curve.

$(0,0) + (0,0) = 0$  ( $y=0$  implies that the “intercept” is at infinity, hence no need to do any computation of course – besides, computation would anyway give  $0/0 \dots$ )

**[COMMON MISTAKE: 0 is NOT (0,0)  $\rightarrow$  (0,0) is a “normal” point in the curve... the point at infinity is a supplementary one!]**

All points: (0,0), (1,3), (1,4), (3,3), (3,4), (5,2), (5,5), and 0

Name+Surname: \_\_\_\_\_

Univ. Code: \_\_\_\_\_

**Q6.** A Shamir Secret Sharing scheme uses a non-prime modulus  $p=35$  (if you need modular inverses see table on the right). Of the 5 participating parties  $P_1, \dots, P_5$ , with respective  $x$  coordinates  $x_i = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , parties  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  and  $P_4$  aim at reconstructing the secret.

a) compute the Lagrange Interpolation coefficients for parties 1, 2, 4.

b) Reconstruct the secret, assuming that the shares are:

$P_1 \rightarrow 33$

$P_2 \rightarrow 4$

$P_4 \rightarrow 21$

c) Does the knowledge of the two shares  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  leak information about the secret?

a)  $\text{Lambda } 1/2/4 = \{26, 33, 12\}$

b)  $\text{secret} = 17$

c) Unlike what we could expect, in this SPECIFIC CASE we are still ok! Indeed, if share 4 is not known (call it  $D$ ), then the secret is given by the expression

$$S = 33 \times 26 + 4 \times 33 + D \times 12 \pmod{35} = 10 + 12 D \pmod{35}$$

But since 12 is (luckily) still coprime with 35, for any value of  $D$  in the range  $(0, 34)$  we have a different value of  $S$ !

(you can also see this via brute force:

$\text{Mod}[10+12 \text{ Range}[0, 34] \rightarrow$

$\{10, 22, 34, 11, 23, 0, 12, 24, 1, 13, 25, 2, 14, 26, 3, 15, 27, 4, 16, 28, 5, 17, 29, 6, 18, 30, 7, 19, 31, 8, 20, 32, 9, 21, 33\}$

x	1/x mod 35
1	1
2	18
3	12
4	9
6	6
8	22
9	4
11	16
12	3
13	27
16	11
17	33
18	2
19	24
22	8
23	32
24	19
26	31
27	13
29	29
31	26
32	23
33	17
34	34

**Computer & Network Security – prof. Giuseppe Bianchi – 3rd midterm, February 2, 2023**

Name+Surname: \_\_\_\_\_ Univ. Code: \_\_\_\_\_

**Q7** An RSA system has the following parameters: modulo  $n=253$ , public key  $e=3$ . Assume we are NOT able neither to factorize  $n$  nor gather or compute the corresponding private key  $d$ . Despite this, we wish to compute the RSA signature  $19^d \bmod n$  for message  $m=19$ .

- 1) Show how this is possible if we know that  $19^{5d} = 10 \bmod n$ , and
- 2) numerically compute the signature.

*[here a few modular inverses with might (might not) be useful:  $\{3, 5, 10, 19, 100\}^{-1} \bmod n \rightarrow \{169, 152, 76, 40, 210\}$ ]*

Remember Shoup's lecture and just apply Common modulus attack using (all what follows is mod  $n$ )

$$M_1 \rightarrow 19^{5d} = 10$$

$$M_2 \rightarrow 19^{ed} = 19^{3d} = 19$$

Since  $\text{ExtendedGCD}[5,3] = \{-1,2\}$  we just need to compute

$$19^d = M_1^{-1} \times M_2^2 = 76 \times 108 = 112$$

You can check that this is correct by explicitly computing the signature using  $d=147$