Some notes about subpixel accuracy

Alessandro Gentilini*

March 5, 2017

Abstract

Some notes about subpixel accuracy.

1 Some statements found in literature

In [8, section 8.2, p.270]:

In order to transform images back from pixel coordinates to world coordinates, various transformations are required. In the simplest case, this includes only scaling, translation (shifting), and rotation of images. More general are affine (Section 8.3.1b) and perspective (Section 8.3.1c) transformations. For precise geometric measurements, it is also required to correct for the residual geometric distortion introduced by even well-corrected optical systems. Modern imaging solid-state sensors are geometrically very precise and stable. Therefore, the potential of a position accuracy of better than 1/100 pixel distance is there. To maintain this accuracy, all geometric transformations applied to digital images must preserve this high position accuracy. This demand goes far beyond the fact that no distortions are visible in the transformed images.

In [10]:

HALCON'S 3D calibration supports both area and line scan cameras and permits, for example, subpixel-accurate measurements up to 1 µm in a field of view of 10 mm.

^{*}alessandro.gentilini@gmail.com

In [5]:

Modern CCD cameras are usually capable of a spatial accuracy greater than 1/50 of the pixel size. However, such accuracy is not easily attained due to various error sources that can affect the image formation process.

In [4]:

A commonly quoted rule of thumb is 0.1 pixel, but lower is achievable, e.g. about 0.02 pixel is shown for stripe position detection in $[1]^1$.

In [2]:

20.3.3 Differing Requirements for Size Measurement

Size measurement is important both in the food industry and in the automotive and small-parts industry. However, the problems in the two cases are often rather different. For example, the diameter of a biscuit can vary within quite wide limits ($\sim 5\%$) without giving rise to undue problems, but when it gets outside this range, there is a serious risk of jamming the packing machine, and the situation must be monitored carefully. In contrast, for mechanical parts, the required precision can vary from 1\% for objects such as O-rings to 0.01% for piston heads. This variation clearly makes it difficult to design a truly general-purpose inspection system. However, the manufacturing process often permits little variation in size from one item to the next. Hence, it may be adequate to have a system that is capable of measuring to an accuracy of rather better than 1%, so long as it is capable of checking all the characteristics mentioned in Table 20.1. For cases where high precision is vital, it is important that accuracy of measurement is proportional to the resolution of the input image. Currently, images of up to 512×512 pixels are common, so accuracy of measurement is basically of the order of 0.2%. Fortunately, grayscale images provide a means of obtaining significantly greater accuracy than indicated by the above arguments, since the exact transition from dark to light at the boundary of an object can be estimated more closely. In addition, averaging techniques (e.g., along the side of a rectangular block of metal) permit accuracies to be increased even further—by a factor \sqrt{N} if

¹Cited here as [1].

N pixel measurements are made. These factors permit measurements to be made to **subpixel resolution**, **sometimes even down to 0.1 pixels**, the limit often being set by variations in illumination rather than by the vision algorithms themselves.

In [6]:

Modern CCD cameras are usually capable of a spatial accuracy greater than 1/50 of the pixel size. However, such accuracy is not easily attained due to various error sources that can affect the image formation process. Current calibration methods typically assume that the observations are unbiased, the only error is the zero-mean independent and identically distributed random noise in the observed image coordinates, and the camera model completely explains the mapping between the 3D coordinates and the image coordinates. In general, these conditions are not met, causing the calibration results to be less accurate than expected.

In [3]:

In special circumstances, measurements to subpixel accuracy can be achieved by interpolation between pixel values, and Reference 3² describes the **measurement of a knife edge to about 0.1 pixel accuracy**. As a rule of thumb, however, for size measurement applications, the sensor should have a number of pixels at least equal to twice the ratio of the largest to smallest object sizes of interest [4]³, and a lens is then selected to provide the required magnification and working distance.

In the abstract of [9]:

Accuracies achieved have reached to within 0.03–0.05 pixel.

A performance analysis (Steger, 1998c, Steger, 1998a) shows that edges and lines can be extracted with better than 1/25 pixel accuracy under good conditions.

[results1] [results2]

²Cited here as [11].

³Cited here as [7].

References

- [1] Brian F. Alexander and Kim Chew Ng. "Elimination of systematic error in subpixel accuracy centroid estimation [also Letter 34(11)3347-3348(Nov1995)]". In: *Optical Engineering* 30.9 (1991), pp. 1320–1331. DOI: 10.1117/12.55947. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.55947.
- [2] E. R. Davies. Computer and Machine Vision, Fourth Edition: Theory, Algorithms, Practicalities. 4th. Academic Press, 2012. ISBN: 0123869080, 9780123869081.
- [3] Halit Eren and John G Webster. Measurement, Instrumentation, and Sensors Handbook: Spatial, Mechanical, Thermal, and Radiation Measurement. 2014.
- [4] Robert B. Fisher. "Subpixel Estimation". English. In: Computer Vision. Ed. by Katsushi Ikeuchi. Springer US, 2014, pp. 775–777. ISBN: 978-0-387-30771-8. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-31439-6_189. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-31439-6_189.
- [5] Janne Heikkilä. "Geometric Camera Calibration Using Circular Control Points". In: *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 22.10 (Oct. 2000), pp. 1066–1077. ISSN: 0162-8828. DOI: 10.1109/34.879788. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/34.879788.
- [6] Janne Heikkilä. Camera calibration toolbox for Matlab. http://www.ee.oulu.fi/~jth/calibr/. Accessed: 2017-03-04.
- [7] Ronald K Hopwood. "Design considerations for a solid-state image sensing system". In: *IN*" *MINICOMP. & MICROPROC. IN OPT. SYS.*" *SPIE, P. O. BOX 10, BELLINGHAM, WA.* 230 (1980), pp. 72–82.
- [8] Bernd Jähne. Practical Handbook on Image Processing for Scientific and Technical Applications, Second Edition. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, Inc., 2004. ISBN: 0849319005.
- [9] Edward M. Mikhail, Mark L. Akey, and O.R. Mitchell. "Detection and sub-pixel location of photogrammetric targets in digital images". In: *Photogrammetria* 39.3 (1984), pp. 63–83. ISSN: 0031-8663. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-8663(84)90002-4. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0031866384900024.

- [10] MVTec. Halcon Press Conference. VISION Stuttgart. Nov. 10, 2010. URL: http://www.mvtec.com/fileadmin/Redaktion/mvtec.com/company/press_room/press_documents/2010-11-10-mvtec-press-conference-vision2010.pdf (visited on 03/04/2017).
- [11] S. S. Ipson W. Booth and Y. Li. "The application of machine vision and image simulation to the fabrication of knives used for cutting leather". In: Proceedings of ACCV'95, Second Asian Conference on Computer Vision.