Assignment 3

*Note:* Unless otherwise specified, data sets come from Wooldridge’s econometrics textbook, *Introductory Economics*. To use them, use the R package “wooldridge”.

*Note 2:* These problems walk you through some of the math behind hypothesis testing. While important, mathematical derivations will not be on any exam in this course.

**Problem 1: Performing Hypothesis Tests.** This problem asks you to perform simple hypothesis tests for sample means and populations. For each test, make sure to (i) state the null and alternative hypotheses and the chosen level of significance, (ii) define the test statistic, (iii) calculate the value of the realized statistic with its corresponding *p*-value, and (iv) decide whether or not to reject the null hypothesis. All of this should be explained clearly in the context of the problem.

* 1. Use the “rdchem” data for 32 firms in the chemical industry, and consider the relationship between expenditures on research and development (R&D) captured in the variable *rdintens* (this is measured as a percentage of sales) and sales, captured by *sales.* Split the firms into those with above-average and below-average R&D spending. Are the sales significantly different? Interpret your findings in the context of a research statement.
  2. What is the 95% confidence interval for the proportion of firms spending over 6% of sales on R&D? Is this fraction statistically different from zero? What does this mean, and why might you be observing this?

**Problem 2: Testing variance.** This problem introduces you to testing variances, rather than sample means/populations.

1. To construct the confidence interval for the variance *σ*2 of a population, we rely on the fact that this statistic has a *χ*2 distribution:

Use this fact to write a test statistic for the test:
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That is, how would you convert Equation (1) into a test statistic?

1. What would change about this statistic if we want to perform a test on *σ* instead of *σ*2?
2. A monopsonistic labor market is one where firms have wage-setting power due to reduced competition for workers. One potential flag for monopsonies is a high degree of variation in wages within a market (see Webber, 2015). Suppose that a labor market is considered monopsonistic if the standard deviation of wages in that market is larger than $10 an hour. Using the wage data in the “wage1” dataset, which shows wages in a particular market, can you conclude that there is a significant degree of monopsony power in that market? Test the appropriate hypotheses using *α* = 0*.*05. (The distribution of this test statistic is *χ*2(*n* − 1) and the associated critical value is ).
   1. Note that here, “wage” is an hourly wage variable from 1976 – you will need to convert this to an hourly wage in current dollars using the CPI available here: <https://www.in2013dollars.com/Canada-inflation>.

**Problem 3: Testing a difference in means.** Frequently, we care about whether two groups have the same mean in a given outcome (this is the entire basis of estimating the effect of a treatment on a group relative to a control!). This problem will help extend the testing framework to that problem.

1. Consider two groups {*X*1*, ..., Xm*} and {*Y*1*, ..., Yn*}. We suppose that *Xi* ∼i.i.d. *f* (*µ*1*, σ*1) and *Yi* ∼i.i.d.

*f* (*µ*2*, σ*2). Additionally, we suppose that *X* and *Y* are independent samples.

We are trying to estimate the difference in means, . What sample estimator should we use? (You can use the method of moments in a pinch, but trust your gut.)

−

* Extra credit: Prove that your estimator is unbiased (so that its expected value is the true difference in means), and that it has a standard deviation of

1. Now use your estimator to write a test statistic for the following test:

H0 : *µ*1 − *µ*2 = ∆0

H1 : *µ*1 − *µ*2 *>* ∆0

*α* = *α*0*.*

Recall that the general version of a test statistic is

*T* = estimator − assumed value in H0

s.d. of your estimator

This test statistic follows the same rules as other statistics—if we know *σ*2 or have a large enough sample, . If instead, we use our estimate *s*2, the test statistic uses a *t* distribution (whose degrees of freedom is a function of *n* and *m*).

1. Suppose that we are trying to evaluate the effect of job market training programs on wages. Use the “jtrain98” dataset to assess this question. Approximately 7% of employees in this sample received job market training in 1998 (the “train” variable). First, test if the trained group had significantly different earnings in 1996 (“earn96”) than the nontrained group. Interpret your results in the context of a research setting. What does this show? Is it something we hope would be true, and why?
2. Now test the difference in 1998 wages (“earn98”) across groups. Are the results surprising to you? Would you argue that they are economically meaningful? Defend your answers.
3. Is this sufficient to argue that wages increased because of job training? Why or why not? To prove your point, select one or more other demographic variables included in the dataset and examine how these are different across the treated/control groups.

**Problem 4: Paired Data.** A closely related problem to the issue raised in 1.3 is that of paired data, in which we have only one set of individuals that we treat over time. That is, instead of comparing two different groups where only one received treatment, we follow a group from a baseline outcome (before they are treated) to a post-treatment outcome.

1. In this setup, what is the relationship between *n* and *m* (if we observe every individual twice)? How does this simplify your test statistic?
2. We will simplify this even further by assuming that we have data for our *n* individuals, where *d* is the difference in their treatment period and their baseline. From these data, we can directly calculate the mean and standard deviation of differences. Adapt the test procedure for problem 1.4c to depend only on these two pieces of information (that is, write out the hypotheses and the test statistic).
3. Suppose we are interested in measuring wage growth. Use the “wagepan” dataset to assess this question; note that this is a panel dataset where each individual (represented by the “nr” variable) is reflected in multiple rows across time (the “year” variable). For each “nr”, calculate *d* as the **percentage** change in wages between 1987 and 1980. You will need to convert the “lwage” variable out of logarithms and back into actual dollars, then convert to annual wages by using the “hours” variable. Now, test that this group (a) experienced a significant increase in average wages over this point in time and (b) that the change in average wages is significantly different from the inflation rate during this period of 55.51%. Interpret your findings.