



Characterizing Sediment Source Variability

Landscape and Land Use Influences on Fingerprint Properties

Alex Koiter

Acknowledgements

Coauthors

Maria Luna Miño
Taras Lychuk
Arnie Waddell
Alan Moulin

Funding



BRANDON
UNIVERSITY




University
of Manitoba



Agriculture and
Agri-Food Canada

Introduction

- Sediment fingerprinting links sources to downstream sediment
 - Using soil/sediment properties as fingerprints (tracers)
 - Provide an estimate of the relative contribution from each source
- Used to understand watershed processes and guide management practices



Research question

- Characterizing the sources of sediment is an important step
- Focus has been on:
 - Novel fingerprints
 - Fingerprint selection
- What about the sampling design?
 - Logistics
 - Cost
 - Judgement




Objectives

Using a range of soil colour and geochemical properties across two contrasting land uses:

1. Quantify the variability
2. Characterize the spatial patterns
3. Assess the the importance of terrain attributes





Location




Sampling

- Surface soil
- 49 points at 100m spacing




Lab analysis

- Sieved to < 63 um
 - Geochemistry
 - Aqua-regia
 - 51 geochemical elements
 - Spectral reflectance
 - FieldSpecPro
 - 15 colour coefficients
- Based on previous work (Luna Miño et al. 2024)
- Ca, Co, Cs, Fe, Li, La, Nb, Ni, Rb, and Sr
 - a^* , b^* , h^* , and x



Univariate analysis


- Mean
- Standard deviation
- Skewness
- Coefficient of variation



Univariate analysis


Overall

- Colour properties and the agricultural land use
 - Exhibited lower variability and more symmetrical data
- Forested site has a more complex topography and geomorphic setting (floodplain)
 - Greater variability in SOM and grain size
- Colour properties make ideal fingerprints
- Differences between sites makes direct comparisons a bit tricky
 - Transformations?



Geospatial analysis


- Spatial autocorrelation
 - Semivariograms
- Interpolation and mapping
 - Kriging



Nugget = 0.0
Sill = 7.2
Range = 580m
Spatial Class = Strong

Geospatial analysis

- Spatial autocorrelation
 - Semivariograms
- Interpolation and mapping
 - Kriging





Nugget = 1.6
Sill = 2.7
Range = 269m
Spatial Class = Moderate

Geospatial analysis

Semivariogram interpretation

- Small nugget reflects low measurement or sampling error
- Small sill indicates low overall variance
- Small range indicate spatial correlation persists over short distances




Geospatial analysis




Spatial autocorrelation

- Soil properties at the **agricultural site** exhibited **stronger** spatial autocorrelation
 - 6 soil properties at the forested site exhibited **no** spatial autocorrelation
- Soil properties presented patterns that roughly matches the topography

Agriculture




Forest




Terrain analysis

- Terrain attributes
 - System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA)
 - Random Forest Regression
1. Plan curvature
 2. Profile curvature
 3. SAGA wetness index
 4. Catchment area
 5. Relative slope position
 6. Vertical channel network distance



Terrain analysis

- Elevation was ranked as the most important predictor
 - SAGA Wetness Index
 - Relative Slope Position
- Patterns linked to hydrologic properties and processes
- Terrain attributes can be used to guide sampling and interpret data



Conclusions

- Agricultural site:
 - Gently sloping terrain
 - Lower variability
 - Approximately normal data distributions
 - Moderate to strong spatial autocorrelation
- Forested site:
 - Complex terrain
 - Higher variability
 - Data often non-normal
 - Fewer properties with spatial autocorrelation



Conclusions

- Topographic effects evident in many soil property patterns
- Top terrain predictors: elevation, SAGA Wetness Index, and relative slope position
 - Terrain–soil relationships were inconsistent in strength and direction
- Terrain-driven spatial patterns can inform more targeted soil sampling



Characterizing Sediment Source Variability

Landscape and Land Use Influences on Fingerprint Properties

Want to learn more?



alexkoiter.ca

koitera@brandonu.ca

[@alex-koiter](https://alex-koiter.mastodon.social)

[@alex-koiter](https://alex-koiter.mastodon.social)

[@alex_koiter@sciencemastodon.com](https://alex-koiter.mastodon.social)

[@alex_koiter](https://twitter.com/alex_koiter)