# Machine Learning, Spring 2021 Homework 6

Daniil Bulat, Jonas Josef Huwyler, Haochen Li, Giovanni Magagnin

22/04/2021

#### Exercise 1

```
# Shuffle and Split Data (70-30)
set.seed(123)
Data = Data[sample(nrow(Data)),]
ntrain = floor(nrow(Data)*0.7)
Data.Train = Data[1:ntrain,]
Data.Test = Data[(ntrain+1):nrow(Data),]
```

## Question 1

Run logistic regression on the training data with respect to perimeter\_mean and concaveP mean.

```
glm.fit = glm(diagnosis~perimeter_mean + concaveP_mean,data = Data.Train,family = binomial)
summary(glm.fit)
##
## Call:
  glm(formula = diagnosis ~ perimeter_mean + concaveP_mean, family = binomial,
       data = Data.Train)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
                        Median
                  1Q
                                               Max
## -2.45821 -0.26302 -0.10627
                                           2.59877
                                0.06101
##
## Coefficients:
                  Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                              1.77748 -7.471 7.94e-14 ***
## (Intercept)
                 -13.28007
## perimeter_mean 0.09716
                              0.01927
                                       5.042 4.62e-07 ***
## concaveP mean
                  77.06571
                             11.42843
                                       6.743 1.55e-11 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
```

```
##
## Null deviance: 515.00 on 397 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 149.32 on 395 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 155.32
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 7
```

### Question 2

Get a list of predictions. How do you interpret these as probabilities.

```
yhat = predict(glm.fit, type = "response")
contrasts(Data.Train$diagnosis)

## M
## B 0
## M 1
```

The numbers we get in "yhat" are the probabilities of the cancer being malign. The higher the value is, the higher the probability of having a malign cancer.

Using the "contrasts" command we can automatically code a binary variable. In this case it is 1 for M and 0 for B.

#### Question 3

Predict the actual class label (B or M).

```
yhat = ifelse(yhat<0.5,"B","M")</pre>
```

# Question 4

Compute the number of misclassifications, as well as the absolute number of false positives and false negatives.

```
result = data.frame(id = Data.Train$id,diagnosis = Data.Train$diagnosis,prediction = yhat)
result$error = ifelse(result$diagnosis != result$prediction,1,0)

# Number of misclassified cases
misclas = sum(result$error)

# False positives
x = ifelse(result$prediction == "M" & result$diagnosis == "B", 1, 0)
falPos = sum(x)

# False negatives
x = ifelse(result$prediction == "B" & result$diagnosis == "M", 1, 0)
falNeg = sum(x)
```

# Exercise 2

#### Question 1

Briefly summarize what we are predicting in dependance on which data in this example.

We are using data from ISLR called Smarket, which consistent information of percentage returns for the S&P 500 stock index over 1250 days from the beginning of 2001 until the end of 2005. What we are predicting is the direction of the market (percentage returns of the S&P 500 index) changes on the specified date.

# Question 2

What is the outcome, with 2 or 6 features, respectively? How does our prediction compare to predicting that the market goes up all the time.

With 6 features, the logistic regression correctly predicted the movement of the market 48% of the time.

With 2 features, the logistic regression correctly predicted the movement of the market 56% of the time.

In 2005, the market goes up in 141 days of 252 trading days, around 56% of the time. If we predicting the market goes up all the time, we would predict correctly 56% of the time. The logistic regression predicts the increase of the market 31% of the time in 2005 when the model uses 6 features, and 72% of the time when the model uses 2 features, respectively. So the model with 6 features performs worse than predicting the market goes up all the time, whereas the model with 2 features performs better than the other two.

### Question 3

Run your own logistic regression with only Lag1 as input variable.

```
# prepare data
library(ISLR)
attach(Smarket)

# split data into train (before 2004) and test (2005) data
train = (Year < 2005)
Smarket.2005 = Smarket[!train,]
Direction.2005 = Direction[!train]

# Logistic model with only Lag1
glm.fits = glm(Direction ~ Lag1, data = Smarket, family = binomial, subset = train)
summary(glm.fits)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
  glm(formula = Direction ~ Lag1, family = binomial, data = Smarket,
##
       subset = train)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                                       Max
                                30
## -1.308 -1.188
                    1.102
                             1.163
                                     1.291
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) 0.03215
                        0.06335 0.507
             -0.05460
                          0.05165 -1.057
                                             0.290
## Lag1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
      Null deviance: 1383.3 on 997 degrees of freedom
##
## Residual deviance: 1382.1 on 996 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1386.1
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 3
# predict for the test data
glm.probs = predict(glm.fits,Smarket.2005,type = "response")
# classify the probabilities to binary label
glm.pred = rep("Down",252)
glm.pred[glm.probs > .5] = "Up"
# Show the result
table(glm.pred,Direction.2005)
##
          Direction.2005
## glm.pred Down Up
      Down 20 25
##
##
      Uр
             91 116
# compute the accuracy rate
mean(glm.pred == Direction.2005)
## [1] 0.5396825
# accuracy rate when predicting an increase
116/(116+91)
## [1] 0.5603865
# accuracy rate when predicting a decrease
20/(20+25)
```

## [1] 0.444444