The goal is to solve the following problem. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an exterior polyhedral domain of a compact set i.e.  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$  is a compact polyhedron. We then have the following boundary value problem: For a fixed  $C_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and closed bounded k-chain  $\gamma$  find  $\omega \in H\Lambda^k(\Omega)$  s.t.

$$d\omega = 0,$$

$$\delta\omega = 0,$$

$$\text{tr } \omega = 0 \text{ and }$$

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = C_0$$

Because we consider polyhedral domains we assume that  $\gamma$  consists of finitely many k-simplices and that the cohomology class  $[\gamma]$  is a generator of the simplicial homology group. Our goal will be to show existence and uniqueness of solutions. In order to show this, we rely on a result about the isomorphism of a simplicial cohomology space  $H_p^k(K)$  which will be defined below and the  $L^p$ -cohomology space  $H_{p,dR}^k(\bar{\Omega})$  (dR short for de Rham). This result was proven in [Gol88]. In the diploma thesis by Nikolai Nowaczyk [Now11], which mostly is based on this paper, many additional details can be found. The first part will be to present this result.

# 1 Isomorphism of Cohomology

We assume that the  $\bar{\Omega}$  admits a smooth triangulation  $\tau:|K|\to \bar{\Omega}$  with |K| being the geometric realization of the simplicial complex K. Because  $\bar{\Omega}$  is itself a polyhedron we can assume that  $\bar{\Omega}$  and |K| are equal as subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . However, we will use different metrics. We use the Euclidian metric on  $\bar{\Omega}$  and we use the standard simplicial metric on |K| (cf. [Gol88, p.191]). This metric is defined as follows:

Choose some numbering of the vertices  $\{x_1, x_2, ...\}$  Take  $f: |K| \to \ell^2$  where  $\ell^2$  is the Hilbert space of real-valued square-summable sequences s.t. f is affine on every simplex. This mapping is unique.

Then we define the metric on |K| as  $g_S = f^*g$  where g is the standard metric in  $\ell^2$ . That means for a differential

We have two crucial assumptions.

**Assumption 1.** Star-boundedness The star of every vertex in the mesh contains at most N simplices.

This assumption is for example fulfilled if we consider a shape-regular triangulation of our domain [???].

In order to formulate the second assumption we have to note that for our triangulation  $\tau$  we have that M = |K|. Therefore  $\tau$  is just the identity in our case. However, we use a different metric on M and |K|. On our domain M we use the Euclidian metric. On |K| however, we have to use the standard simplicial metric which is defined as follows (cf. [Gol88, p.191]). We enumerate the vertices of the triangulation as  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  Let  $f: |K| \to \ell^2$  be an embedding of the triangulation |K| into  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $f(x_i) = e_i$  where  $e_i$  are the standard unit vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$  and f is affine on every simplex. Keeping this in mind, we have the following assumption

**Assumption 2.** We have constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  s.t. for every  $x \in M$  we have

$$||d\tau|_x|| < C_1, ||d\tau^{-1}|_\tau(x)|| < C_2$$

where the operator norm is to be understood w.r.t. the metrics on |K| and M.

#### TO BE DONE

Take  $\tau, \sigma \in K$  s.t.  $\tau \leq \sigma$ . We define an extension operator  $j_{\sigma,\tau}^*: W_{\infty}^* \to W_{\infty}^*$  which is bounded (cf [Gol88, p.191]).

### Definition 1. Let

$$\theta = \{\theta(\sigma) \in W^k_\infty(\sigma) | \sigma \in T\}$$

be a collection of differential k-forms. We call  $\theta$  S-form of degree k if we have for all for simplices  $\mu \leq \sigma$ 

$$j_{\sigma,\mu}^*\theta(\sigma)=\theta(\mu).$$

We denote with  $S^k(K)$  the space of all S-forms of degree k over the chain complex K. For  $\theta \in S^k(K)$  we define  $d\theta := \{d\theta(\sigma) | \sigma \in K\} \in S^{k+1}(K)$ .  $S^*(K)$  is the resulting cochain complex.

Using integration we can define define the homomorphism (see [Gol88, p.191])

$$I: S_p^k(K) \to C_p^k(K), \ I(\theta)(\sigma) = \int_{\sigma} \theta(\sigma) \text{ for } \sigma \in K$$

which induces an isomorphism on cohomology (see Thm. 1 in [Gol88] and the proof thereof).

We say that  $\omega \in W_{\infty,loc}^k(M)$  if  $\omega|_A \in W_{\infty}^k(A)$  for every  $A \subseteq M$  compact. Then we define

$$\varphi_{\tau}: W^k_{\infty,loc}(M) \to S^k(K), \ \omega \mapsto \{\tau|_{\sigma}^*\omega | \sigma \in K\}.$$

This is a well-defined vector space isomorphism ([Gol88, p.191]). This way we can identify  $W_{\infty,loc}^k(M)$  with  $S^k(K)$ . For S-forms of degree k we now define the norm

$$\|\theta\|_{S_p(K)} := \sum_{\sigma \in K} \|\theta(\sigma)\|_{W^k_{\infty}(\sigma)}.$$

 $S_p^k(K)$  are the S-forms of degree k s.t. this norm is finite. Using the isomorphism  $\varphi_{\tau}$  we now define  $S_p^k(M) := (\varphi_{\tau})^{-1} S_p^k(K)$ .

We then have  $S_p^k(M) \subseteq W_p^k(M)$  and the inclusion induces an isomorphism on cohomology [Gol88, Lemma 4, Corollary].

Above, we defined the integral operator I for  $S_p^k(K)$  which can be therefore be applied on  $S_p^k(M)$  as well. If we fix now a closed finite k-chain  $\gamma$ . Then  $I(\cdot)(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma}$  becomes a functional on  $S_p^k(M)$ , but is is a-priori not clear how to extend this to  $W_p^k(M)$ . We know that  $\int_{\gamma} d\eta = 0$  for  $\eta \in S_p^k(M)$  because otherwise I would not induce a isomorphism on cohomology. We extend this now by setting  $\int_{\gamma} d\nu = 0$  for all  $\nu \in W_p^{k-1}(M)$ . We have to check whether this is consistent with the definition above. Let  $\nu \in W_p^k(M)$  s.t.  $d\nu \in S_p^k(M)$ . Let  $A \subseteq M$  be a bounded neighborhood of  $\gamma$ . We can then find  $\tilde{\nu}$  s.t.  $\tilde{\nu} \in W_q(A)$  for any q > 1 and  $d\tilde{\nu} = d\nu$  [Sch06, Thm 3.1.1]. We can then apply Stoke's theorem [GKS82, Thm. 9] to get  $\int_{\gamma} d\nu = 0$ . This shows consistency.

In the second part of [Gol88] they construct the operators  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ . The precise definition and construction of these operators is not relevant for our purposes because we will only use the following properties (cf. [Gol88, Thm.2]).

**Theorem 1.** Assume that the triangulation  $\tau$  fulfills the GKS-condition. Then there exist linear mappings  $\mathscr{R}: L^k_{1,loc} \to L^k_{1,loc}$ ,  $\mathscr{A}: L^k_{1,loc} \to L^{k-1}_{1,loc}$  such that

1. 
$$\Re \omega - \omega = d\mathscr{A}\omega + \mathscr{A}d\omega$$
 for  $\omega \in W_{1,loc}^k(M)$ 

2. for any 
$$1 \le p \le \infty$$
,  $\mathcal{R}(W_p^k(M)) \subseteq S_p^k(M)$ .

We can now use this operator  $\mathscr{R}$  to define  $\int_{\gamma} \omega$  for closed  $\omega \in W_p^k(M)$  as

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega := \int_{\gamma} \mathscr{R} \omega.$$

This is consistent because if  $\omega \in S_p^k(M)$  closed then due to Thm. 1

$$\int_{\gamma} \mathcal{R}\omega = \int_{\gamma} \omega + d\mathcal{A}\omega + \mathcal{A}d\omega = \int_{\gamma} \omega.$$

## 1.1 Existence of a solution

Returning now back to the problem, we are now able to proof existence of a solution. Take a closed cochain  $F \in C_p^k(K)$  s.t.  $F(\gamma) = C_0$  and  $F(\partial d) = 0$  for (k-1)-chains d. Then we know from ??? that there exists a unique  $[\theta] \in \mathscr{H}_p^k(K)$  s.t.  $[I]([\theta]) = [F]$ . Let us take  $\eta := \varphi_{\tau}^{-1}\theta$ . Then  $\int_{\gamma} \eta = C_0$  holds. If we now take the Hodge decomposition  $L_2^k(M) = \bar{\mathfrak{B}}^{\mathfrak{k}} \bigoplus \mathcal{H}^k \bigoplus \bar{\mathfrak{B}}^{\mathfrak{k}}_{\mathfrak{k}}$  and define  $\omega$  as the projection of  $\eta$  onto the harmonic forms  $\mathcal{H}^k$ . Then we know that  $d\omega = 0$ ,  $\delta\omega = 0$  and  $\mathrm{tr}\,\omega = 0$ . So we only have to show that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = C_0.$$

We know from the Hodge decomposition that there exists a sequence  $(\phi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq L_2^{k-1}(M)$  s.t.  $\omega=\eta-\lim_{i\to\infty}d\phi_i$ . Let now be R>0 large enough s.t.  $\gamma\subseteq B_R$ . Then we know that  $dW_2^{k-1}(B_R)$  is closed in  $L_2^k(B_R)$ . Therefore there exists  $\phi_R\in W_2^{k-1}(B_R)$  s.t.  $\lim_{i\to\infty}d\phi_i|_{B_R}=d\phi_R$ . So we have  $\omega|_{B_R}=\eta|_{B_R}-d\phi_R$  and

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = \int_{\gamma} \omega|_{B_R} = \int_{\gamma} \eta|_{B_R} = C_0.$$

This proves existence.

## 1.2 Existence of the solution

The first step is to show that the cochain chosen in the proof of existence is in fact unique if restricted to closed chains.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\gamma$  be a k-chain s.t. the homology class  $[\gamma]$  is a generator of the homology group. Assume for some  $C_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  there exist cochains  $F, G \in C_p^k(K)$  s.t.

$$F(\gamma) = C_0$$
 and  $F(\partial d) = 0$  for all  $(k-1)$ -chains  $d$ 

and the same for G. Then the restriction of F and G to closed chains is the same.

*Proof.* Take any closed k-chain c. Because  $\gamma$  is the generator of the homology group we have  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $[c] = [n \gamma]$  where  $[\cdot]$  is the corresponding homology class. That means that we have some (k-1)-chain d s.t.  $c = n \gamma + \partial d$ . Using the properties of F and G,

$$F(c) = F(n\gamma + \partial d) = nF(\gamma) = n C_0.$$

Because the same computation is valid for G(F(c)) = G(c) follows.

Theorem 2. Assume	that a co-chair	n as in Prop.	1.2 $exists$ .	Then the
solution of the problem	is unique.			
Proof.				