Rotational Motion: Principal Axes (Reading: Chapter 10.4 - 10.5

## Help:

i Kinetic energy and the inertia tensor. This problem is a little heavy on vector algebra for my taste, but the result is important and we will rely on it later. So...

**10.33** Here is a good exercise in vector identities and matrices, leading to some important general results:

(a) For a rigid body made up of particles of mass  $m_{\alpha}$ , spinning about an axis through the origin with angular velocity  $\omega$ , prove that its total kinetic energy can be written as

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum m_{\alpha} \left[ (\omega r_{\alpha})^2 - (\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{r}_{\alpha})^2 \right]$$

Remember that  $\vec{v}_{\alpha} = \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}_{\alpha}$ . You may find the following vector identity useful: For any two vectors  $\vec{a}$  and  $\vec{b}$ ,

$$(\vec{a} \times \vec{b})^2 = a^2 b^2 - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})^2$$

(If you use the identity, please prove it.)

(b) Prove that the angular momentum  $\vec{L}$  of the body can be written as

$$\vec{L} = \sum m_{\alpha} \left[ \vec{\omega} r_{\alpha}^2 - \vec{r}_{\alpha} (\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{r}_{\alpha}) \right]$$

For this you will need the so-called BAC-CAB rule, that  $\vec{A}\times(\vec{B}\times\vec{C})=\vec{B}(\vec{A}\cdot\vec{C})-\vec{C}(\vec{A}B)$ .

(c) Combine the results of parts (a) and (b) to prove that

$$T = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{L} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\vec{\omega}}\vec{I}\vec{\omega}$$

Prove both equalities. The last expression is a matrix product:  $\vec{\omega}$  denotes the  $3 \times 1$  column of numbers  $\omega_x$ ,  $\omega_y$ ,  $\omega_z$ , the tilde on  $\tilde{\vec{\omega}}$  denotes the matrix transpose (in this case a row), and  $\vec{l}$  is the moment of inertia tensor. This result is actually quite important; it corresponds to the much more obvious result that for a particle,  $T = \frac{1}{2} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{p}$ .

(d) Show that with respect to the principal axes,  $T = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1\omega_1^2 + \lambda_2\omega_2^2 + \lambda_3\omega_3^2)$ , as in Equation (10.68).

- **ii** Properties of eigenvectors and eigenvalues for symmetric, real matrices. This is a problem that you probably already did in linear algebra (feel free to revisit your notes, problem sets, and text from that class). However, it's worth reminding yourself of these results; we will make use of them going forward, and you will use them next semester in Big Quantum (116) all of the time.
- **10.38** Suppose that you have found three independent principal axes (directions  $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3$ ) and corresponding principal moments  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  of a rigid body whose moment of inertia tensor  $\vec{l}$  (not diagonal) you had calculated. (You may assume, what is actually fairly easy to prove, that all of the quantities concerned are real.)
- (a) Prove that if  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  then it is automatically the case that  $\vec{e}_i \cdot \vec{e}_j = 0$ . (It may help to introduce a notation that distinguishes between vectors and matrices. For example, you could use an underline to indicate a matrix, so that  $\vec{\underline{a}}$  is the  $3 \times 1$  matrix that represents the vector  $\vec{a}$ , and the vector scalar product  $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}$  is the same as the matrix product  $\underline{\widetilde{a}} \cdot \underline{\widetilde{b}}$  or  $\underline{\widetilde{b}} \cdot \underline{\widetilde{a}}$ . Then consider the number  $\underline{\widetilde{e}}_i \cdot \underline{I} \cdot \underline{e}_j$ , which can be evaluated in two ways using the fact that both  $\underline{e}_i$  and  $\underline{e}_j$  are eigenvectors of  $\underline{I}$ .)
- (b) Use the result of part (a) to show that if the three principal moments are all different, then the directions of three principal axes are uniquely determined.
- (c) Prove that if two of the principal moments are equal,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  say, then any direction in the plane of  $\vec{e}_1$  and  $\vec{e}_2$  is also a principal axis with the same principal moment. In other words, when  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  the corresponding principal axes are not uniquely determined.
- (d) Prove that if all three principal moments are equal, then *any* axis is a principal axis with the same principal moment.