

CS1530,
LECTURE 17:
WORKING WITH
LEGACY CODE

BILL LABOON



WHAT IS LEGACY CODE?

- No official definition, but code that is already in use.
- Kind of like a heap of sand - one grain is not a heap, is two? three? four? etc.

YOU WILL WORK WITH LEGACY CODE

- Software development techniques and tools move quickly!
- Projects sometimes last for decades
- Many common tools and techniques did not exist 5-10 years ago
- You seldom have the opportunity to start a “greenfield” project

IMPLICATIONS (*NOT* RULES)

- User interfaces are unfriendly and error prone
- Little to no documentation
- Written without benefit of modern software practices
- Written in rarely-used or obsolete language
- Programs not organized and difficult to understand
- Lots of inefficiencies, inconsistencies, redundancies, etc.
- Expensive and difficult to modify

OUR DEFINITION

- Defined by Michael Feathers as “code without tests” (or sufficient test coverage)
- Why? Sufficient test coverage protects you from product-breaking changes
- Other issues can be dealt with more easily if you have a testing “safety net”

- The Social Network
- Apollo 13
- Hackers
- TRON
- WarGames
- Revolution OS
- Sneakers

None of these shows deal with a programmer spending five days updating sales tax calculations in 35-year-old inventory software.

DEALING WITH LEGACY CODE IS NOT GLAMOROUS

- It's often hard, it's often thankless...
- ... but it is important.
- I guarantee you that you will deal with it at some point in your career.

WHY DO IT?

- Changes necessary (e.g. sales tax changes)
- No longer meeting performance/security/other requirements
- Add functionality
- Fix defect

REASONS NOT ON THAT LIST

- Code is ugly
- Code written in old language
- Programmers bored

LET SLEEPING DOGS LIE

- If it ain't broke, don't fix it.
- Avoid pre-emptive work on legacy code.
- Re-writing or modifying can often *introduce* more failures/defects than it fixes.

THE PROBLEM WITH RE-WRITING

- Remember that most approaches work with small programs! Maybe the best case is a rewrite in those circumstances.
- For larger programs, rewrites often re-make the same mistakes. The reason that method is 500 lines long is that it probably fixed lots of edge cases.

THREE QUESTIONS BEFORE MODIFYING LEGACY CODE

- What *exactly* needs to be changed?
- How do you check if the changes are correct?
- How do you ensure that there are no regression failures from this change?

ANSWERS TO FIRST TWO ARE SIMPLE

- 1 - Changes should be spelled out in detail and verified with stakeholders
- 2 - A proper test plan (including unit tests and other tests) should be considered before work starts

ANSWER TO QUESTION 3 - PINNING TESTS

- Pinning tests (also called "characterization tests" or "test covering") check the EXISTING behavior of a program
- Not *expected* behavior, *existing*. Very different!
- Adds an invariant to the system (should be the same results before/after)

WHY NOT CHECK EXPECTED BEHAVIOR?

- Users may depend on these “glitches”
- You may not understand ALL of the requirements for a large project, especially if you are making a small change to a large program
- Understand what you're changing, even if it's a fix

GENERAL STRATEGY WHEN DEALING WITH LEGACY CODE

- Get to the point where you can make small changes - one bit at a time
- Look for seams (places where you can modify functionality without modifying code)
- Add pinning tests as you go
- Soon you find yourself with a little, but ever-growing, test suite
- Add traditional unit tests to it, can transition project to a more modern software development paradigm

EXAMPLE OF NO SEAM

```
ArrayList<Cat> _cs = new ArrayList<Cat>();

public int getNumFluffyCatsAnimals() {
    int toReturn = 0;
    Attribute f = new Attribute("fluffy");
    for cat in _cs {
        if (a.hasAttribute(f)) {
            toReturn++;
        }
    }
}
```

EXAMPLE OF A SEAM

```
public int getNumAnimals(List<Animal> as,  
                          Attribute attr) {  
    int toReturn = 0;  
    for animal in as {  
        if (a.hasAttribute(attr)) {  
            toReturn++;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

TACTICS

1. Identify change points
2. Find an inflection point
3. Cover the inflection point with pinning tests
 1. *Break external dependencies to it*
 2. *Break internal dependencies to it*
 3. *Write tests*
4. Make Changes
5. Refactor Code

CHANGE POINTS

- What part of the code needs to be modified in order to add the feature or fix the defect
- This could be something localized, it could be a set of classes, it could be all over the codebase!

INFLECTION POINTS

- A narrow interface to a set of classes
- Any change to any place “behind” the interface can be “discovered” by the interface, or is inconsequential

EXAMPLE INFLECTION POINT

- WOLFPACKSIMULATOR

- Rest of code interacts with class WolfPack.
- WolfPack composed of objects of class Wolf, subclasses AlphaWolf, WereWolf, and CookieWolf, along with WolfPackHierarchy. No other code references these other classes.
 - Note I am not a wolfologist, these may not be actual wolf titles
- WolfPack can be an inflection point for the whole set of Wolf-related classes (WolfPack, AlphaWolf, WereWolf, CookieWolf, WolfPackHierarchy)
- Any relevant changes can be detected via WolfPack

COVER INFLECTION POINT WITH PINNING TESTS

- Determine current behavior at the inflection point level
- Write automated tests for this behavior checking the `_existing_` behavior
- These should be marked in your test suite as pinning tests!

BREAK EXTERNAL DEPENDENCIES

```
class Bird {  
    // External dependency - class Nest  
    private _nest = new Nest();  
    public void goHome() {  
        flyTo(_nest);  
    }  
}
```

BREAK EXTERNAL DEPENDENCIES

```
class Bird {  
    private Nest _nest = null;  
  
    // Better - external dependency can be  
    // doubled (fake test object)  
  
    public Bird(Nest nest) {  
        _nest = nest;  
    }  
  
    public void goHome() {  
        flyTo(_nest);  
    }  
}
```

BREAK EXTERNAL DEPENDENCIES

```
class Bird {  
  
    // Even better if you can -dependency  
    // injection right into method  
  
    public void goHome(Nest n) {  
        flyTo(n);  
    }  
}
```

BREAK INTERNAL DEPENDENCIES

- Provide seams (see sprout and wrap methods)
- Break methods up so that each method does one thing
- Provide good testing surface

WRITE TESTS

- Add additional tests to check the functionality you have just added
- Note: these are not pinning tests - you are looking for expected behavior, not existing!

REFACTOR

- Start making the code better
 - Better algorithms
 - Better documentation
 - Better design
- Only under areas of code covered by tests at inflection point!
- That is your “safety net”

SPROUT METHOD

- A new method “sprouts” out of the old one, like a plant coming out of the ground
- Take functionality done as part of a larger method, make it independent
- Provides a new seam and localizes testing

SPROUT METHOD

```
public void reset() {  
    this.textBox = "";  
    this.numCats = 0;  
    this.numDogs = 0;  
    this.numBirds = 0;  
    if (_databaseConnection != null) {  
        _databaseConnection.terminate();  
    }  
}
```


SPROUT METHOD

```
public void resetVals() {  
    this.textBox = "";  
    this.numCats = 0;  
    this.numDogs = 0;  
    this.numBirds = 0;  
}  
public void terminateDbConnection() {  
    if (_databaseConnection != null) {  
        _databaseConnection.terminate();  
    }  
}  
public void reset() {  
    resetVals();  
    terminateDb();  
}
```

WRAP METHOD

- Rename existing method, then have code call your new method, which delegates old work to the old method

WRAP METHOD

```
public void reset() {  
    if (this.numMonkeys < 0) {  
        this.monkeyBox = "MONKEY ERROR";  
    }  
    this.monkeyBox = "";  
    this.textBox = "";  
    this.numCats = 0;  
    this.numDogs = 0;  
    if (_databaseConnection != null) {  
        _databaseConnection.terminate();  
    }  
}
```

WRAP METHOD

```
public void legacyReset() {  
    this.textBox = "";  
    this.numCats = 0;  
    this.numDogs = 0;  
    if (_databaseConnection != null) {  
        _databaseConnection.terminate();  
    }  
}  
public void reset() {  
    if (this.numMonkeys < 0) {  
        this.monkeyBox = "MONKEY ERROR";  
    }  
    this.monkeyBox = "";  
    legacyReset();  
}
```


APPLYING TO CLASSES

- You can sprout or wrap classes along with methods!
- Sprout - split small sections of class off into their own classes
- Wrap - Replace class with new class which delegates old functionality to old class, adds new functionality into it

LOCAL MINIMA

- Note that by using methods such as sprouting and wrapping, you may actually put your code in a worse place than before!
- However, the goal is to use these methods to eventually make it better, even at the expense of short-term losses
- Adding the ability to test more easily will help increase the quality of your codebase

FURTHER READING

- Essay, "Working Effectively with Legacy Code" by Michael Feathers. <http://www.netobjectives.com/system/files/WorkingEffectivelyWithLegacyCode.pdf>
- He also wrote a book of the same name, which goes into much more detail
- Testable Java, https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B8ZX1RoWHuiJWHI1Q3JOc21qS00/view?usp=drive_web