## COSC 420 - High-Performance Computing Lab 6

Dr. Joe Anderson

Due: 11 November 2019

## 1 Objectives

In this lab you will focus on the following objectives:

- 1. Develop familiarity with C programming language
- 2. Develop familiarity with parallel computing tools MPICH and OpenMPI
- 3. Develop familiarity with linear algebra and solving linear systems of equations.
- 4. Explore empirical tests for program efficiency in terms of parallel computation and resources

## 2 Tasks

- 1. You may work in groups of one or two to complete this lab. Be sure to document in comments and your README who the group members are.
- 2. You will add features to your matrix operation library from Lab 3. So begin by verifying that matrix operations operate correctly and efficiently.
- 3. Update: This is now optional bonus, worth 10 points per algorithm. If it is only implemented in sequence, each is worth three points. Implement the LU factorization algorithm and Cholesky decomposition algorithm (with pivoting), in parallel. You will find it helpful to refer to Chapter 6 of the course textbook for some helpful schematics to operationalize the techniques we have been discussing in class.
- 4. Implement a first-pass attempt to calculate the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvector of a matrix. You may use the naïve power-method discussed in lecture:
  - (a) Let x be an all 1 vector in the appropriate dimension
  - (b) Perform update:  $x \leftarrow Ax$
  - (c) Normalize:  $x \leftarrow x/\|x\|_2$ .
  - (d) From this, x will converge quite quickly to the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue. You may obtain an estimate of this eigenvalue by computing  $||Ax||_2/||x||_2$ .
- 5. Note that for debugging output, it may be helpful to have each node write its output to a file, unique to that process. This will be how we will gather logs when submitting to larger clusters.
- 6. Test the program on some large matrices (at least tens of thousands of rows/columns, perhaps more).

(a) Run each input several and measure the time to complete each. For a more fine-grained timing method, you can use the features of time.h, e.g.:

```
clock_t begin = clock();

/* here, do your time-consuming job */

clock_t end = clock();
double time_spent = (double)(end - begin) / CLOCKS_PER_SEC;
```

However, be aware that this *does not measure real-time*. It only measures the time that the OS spends on your process, which means it may be shorter than your real-world observation, for instance with the time shell command.

- (b) Record the averages of each, report them in a clean tabular format
- (c) Be sure to use tools such as valgrind and gdb to find and fix bugs with your code. Make sure there are not memory leaks, invalid access, or usage of undefined variables!
- 7. Include a README file to document your code, any interesting design choices you made, and answer the following questions:
  - (a) What is the theoretical time complexity of your algorithms (best and worst case), in terms of the input size?
  - (b) According to the data, does adding more nodes perfectly divide the time taken by the program?
  - (c) What are some real-world software examples that would need the above routines? Why? Would they benefit greatly from using your distributed code?
  - (d) How could the code be improved in terms of usability, efficiency, and robustness?

## 3 Submission

All submitted labs must compile with mpic and run on the COSC Linux environment. Include a Makefile to build your code. Upload your project files to MyClasses in a single .zip file. Finally, turn in (stapled) printouts of your source code, properly commented and formatted with your name, course number, and complete description of the code and constituent subroutines. Also turn in printouts reflecting several different runs of your program (you can copy/past from the terminal output window). Be sure to test different situations, show how the program handles erroneous input and different edge cases.