

Leibniz-type rules in Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces

by

Alexander Thomson

B.S., Missouri State University, 2013

M.S., Kansas State University, 2015

AN ABSTRACT OF A DISSERTATION

submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Mathematics
College of Arts and Sciences

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
Manhattan, Kansas

2019

Abstract

Enter the text of your abstract in the abstract.tex file. Be sure to delete the text below before you submit your ETDR.

This template uses a separate file for each section of your ETDR: title page, abstract, preface, chapters, reference, etc. This makes it easier to organize and work with a lengthy document. The template is configured with page margins required by the Graduate School and will automatically create a table of contents, lists of tables and figures, and PDF bookmarks.

The file etdrtemplate.tex is the "master" file for the ETDR template. This is the file you need to process with PDFLaTeX in order to produce a PDF version of your ETDR. See the comments in the etdrtemplate.tex and other files for details on using the template. You are not required to use the template, but it can save time and effort in making sure your ETDR meets the Graduate School formatting requirements.

Although the template gives you a foundation for creating your ETDR, you will need a working knowledge of LaTeX in order to produce a final document. You should be familiar with LaTeX commands for formatting text, equations, tables, and other elements you will need to include in your ETDR.

Leibniz-type rules in Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces.

by

Alexander Thomson

B.S., Missouri State University, 2013

M.S., Kansas State University, 2015

A DISSERTATION

submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Mathematics
College of Arts and Sciences

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
Manhattan, Kansas

2019

Approved by:

Major Professor
Enter Your Major Professor's Name

Abstract

Enter the text of your abstract in the abstract.tex file. Be sure to delete the text below before you submit your ETDR.

This template uses a separate file for each section of your ETDR: title page, abstract, preface, chapters, reference, etc. This makes it easier to organize and work with a lengthy document. The template is configured with page margins required by the Graduate School and will automatically create a table of contents, lists of tables and figures, and PDF bookmarks.

The file etdrtemplate.tex is the "master" file for the ETDR template. This is the file you need to process with PDFLaTeX in order to produce a PDF version of your ETDR. See the comments in the etdrtemplate.tex and other files for details on using the template. You are not required to use the template, but it can save time and effort in making sure your ETDR meets the Graduate School formatting requirements.

Although the template gives you a foundation for creating your ETDR, you will need a working knowledge of LaTeX in order to produce a final document. You should be familiar with LaTeX commands for formatting text, equations, tables, and other elements you will need to include in your ETDR.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	viii
1 Introduction to Leibniz-type rules	1
2 Weighted Leibniz-type rules with applications to scattering properties of PDEs . .	8
2.1 Preliminaries	9
2.1.1 Coifman-Meyer Multipliers	9
2.1.2 Function spaces	12
2.2 Leibniz-type rules in weighted Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces	17
2.2.1 Homogeneous Leibniz-type rules	17
2.2.2 Connection to Kato-Ponce inequalities	19
2.2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.0.1	20
2.2.4 Inhomogeneous Leibniz-type rules	24
2.3 Leibniz rules in other functions spaces	26
2.3.1 Leibniz-type rules in the setting of Lorentz-based Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces	27
2.3.2 Morrey spaces	34
2.3.3 Variable Lebesgue spaces	38
2.4 Applications to scattering properties of PDEs	45
Bibliography	52
A Title for This Appendix	58

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction to Leibniz-type rules

Leibniz-type rules have been extensively studied due to their connections to partial differential equations which model many real world situations such as shallow water waves and fluid flow. In this chapter we introduce some of the definitions and history of the development of Leibniz-type rules which motivated the results to be discussed in chapters 2 and 3 of this manuscript. First consider the Leibniz rule taught in Calculus courses which expresses the derivatives of a product of functions as a linear combination of derivatives of the functions involved; more specifically, for functions f and g sufficiently smooth, it holds that

$$\partial^\alpha(fg)(x) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^{\alpha-\beta} f(x) \partial^\beta g(x) = \partial^\alpha f(x) g(x) + f(x) \partial^\alpha g(x) + \cdots,$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. In an analogous way, fractional Leibniz rules give estimates of the smoothness and size of a product of functions in terms of the smoothness and size of the factors. For instance, for f and g in the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds that

$$\|D^s(fg)\|_{L^r} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|f\|_{L^{p_2}} \|D^s g\|_{L^{q_2}}, \quad (1.0.1)$$

where $1/r = 1/p_1 + 1/q_1 = 1/p_2 + 1/q_2$, $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$, $1/2 < r \leq \infty$, and $s > N(1/\text{textmin}(r, 1) - 1)$ or s is an even natural number. The homogeneous fractional

differentiation operator of order s , D^s , is defined as

$$D^s f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^s \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi,$$

where \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f . For $s > 0$, the operator D^s is naturally understood as taking s derivatives of its argument. Indeed, in the case $s = 2$, $D^2 f = c\Delta f$, where $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}^2$ is the Laplacian operator. Furthermore, if s is a positive integer,

$$\|D^s f\|_{L^p} \sim \sum_{|\alpha|=s} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p},$$

where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

Another version of (1.0.1) is obtained by using the inhomogeneous s th order fractional differentiation operator J^s :

$$\|J^s(fg)\|_{L^r} \lesssim \|J^s f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|f\|_{L^{p_2}} \|J^s g\|_{L^{q_2}}. \quad (1.0.2)$$

Similarly to its homeogenous counterpart, the operator J^s is defined through the Fourier transform as

$$J^s f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

and can be interpreted as taking derivatives up to order s of f .

The estimates (1.0.1) and (1.0.2) are also known as Kato-Ponce inequalities due to the foundational work of Kato-Ponce [34], where the estimate (1.0.2) was proved in the case $1 < r = p_1 = q_2 < \infty$ and $p_2 = q_1 = \infty$, with applications to the Cauchy problem for Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. This result was extended by Gulisashvili-Kon [26], who showed (1.0.1) and (1.0.2) for the cases $s > 0$, $1 < r < \infty$, and $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$ in connection to smoothing properties of Schrödinger semigroups. Grafakos-Oh [23] and Muscalu-Schlag [47] established the cases for $1/2 < r \leq 1$ and the case $r = \infty$ was completed in the work of Bourgain-Li [9] and Grafakos-Maldonado-Naibo [22]. Applications of the estimates

(1.0.1) and (1.0.2) to Korteweg-de Vries equations were studied by Christ-Weinstein [12] and Kenig-Ponce-Vega [35].

In the estimates (1.0.1) and (1.0.2) the two functions f and g are related through pointwise multiplication. Throughout the rest of this manuscript we will consider estimates similar to (1.0.1) and (1.0.2) where the two functions are related through a pseudodifferential operator. Let $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$ be a complex-valued, smooth function for $x, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We define the *bilinear pseudodifferential operator* associated to σ , T_σ , by

$$T_\sigma(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot (\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta.$$

We refer to σ as the symbol of the operator T_σ . When σ is independent of x we call σ the multiplier of the *bilinear multiplier operator* T_σ .

Throughout this manuscript we will study estimates related to (1.0.1) and (1.0.2) associated to bilinear pseudodifferential operators that are of the form

$$\|D^s T_\sigma(f, g)\|_Z \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{X_1} \|g\|_{Y_1} + \|f\|_{X_2} \|D^s g\|_{Y_2}, \quad (1.0.3)$$

$$\|J^s T_\sigma(f, g)\|_Z \lesssim \|J^s f\|_{X_1} \|g\|_{Y_1} + \|f\|_{X_2} \|J^s g\|_{Y_2}, \quad (1.0.4)$$

for a variety of function spaces X_1 , X_2 , Y_1 , Y_2 , and Z .

Such estimates extend and improve 1.0.3 and 1.0.4.

In chapter two we will present Leibniz-type rules in the settings of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces based in various function spaces. The techniques used are quite flexible and allow the method of proof to be adapted to many different function spaces. One of the main results in this chapter is as follows.

Theorem 1.0.1. *For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\sigma(\xi, \eta)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be a Coifman-Meyer multiplier of order m . Consider $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$; let $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$*

and set $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$. If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$, it holds that

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p_1,q}^{s+m}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{p_2,q}^{s+m}(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.0.5)$$

If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$, it holds that

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,q}^{s+m}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,q}^{s+m}(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.0.6)$$

where the Hardy spaces $H^{p_1}(w_1)$ and $H^{p_2}(w_2)$ must be replaced by L^∞ if $p_1 = \infty$ or $p_2 = \infty$, respectively.

If $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand sides of (1.0.5) and (1.0.6); moreover, if $w \in A_\infty$, then

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{s+m}(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{s+m}(w)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.0.7)$$

where $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$.

By the equivalences

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} \sim \|D^s T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^0(w)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \sim \|D^s T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^0(w)} \quad (1.0.8)$$

and using estimates 1.0.5 we obtain estimates of the form 1.0.3. In particular, in the case that $\sigma \equiv 1$ we obtain results that both extend and improve the estimates 1.0.1 and 1.0.2. This is because in this case it holds that $\|D^s(fg)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,2}^0(w)} \sim \|D^s fg\|_{H^p(w)}$ and using this we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.0.2. *Consider $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$; let $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$*

and set $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$. If $s > \tau_p(w)$, it holds that

$$\|D^s(fg)\|_{H^p(w)} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|D^s g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.0.9)$$

If $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand side of (2.2.16); moreover, if $w \in A_\infty$, then

$$\|D^s(fg)\|_{H^p(w)} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{H^p(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s g\|_{H^p(w)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $0 < p < \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$.

We note that (2.2.16) extends and improves the inequality (1.0.1). The inequality (2.2.16) extends the range of p , p_1 , and p_2 by allowing $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ while (1.0.1) requires that $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Additionally (2.2.16) allows for the H^p norm on the left-hand side which is generally larger than $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$.

The techniques used in the proof of Theorem 1.0.1 are quite flexible and can be adapted to obtain related results in Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces based in weighted Lorentz spaces, weighted Morrey spaces, and variable-exponent Lebesgue spaces. In particular the proof uses Nikol'skij representations of weighted Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces. Such representations have been used in unweighted settings such as the work of Nikol'skij [50], Meyer [41], Bourdad [8], Triebel [55], and Yamazaki [58]. As an application of these results we obtain scattering properties to certain systems of partial differential equations which involve fractional powers of the Laplacian. Solutions of these systems scatter to a solution which can be viewed as a bilinear pseudodifferential operator to which the main results of this chapter can be applied. Additionally Corollary 2.2.1 to Theorem 1.0.1 complements results from Brummer-Naibo [10] where related estimates were obtained using different methods.

In Chapter 3 we present Leibniz-type rules in Besov spaces for bilinear pseudodifferential operators associated to symbols in bilinear Hörmander classes of critical order. The main

result of this chapter is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.0.3. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ be such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $s > \max\{0, n(1/p - 1)\}$, and $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho < 1$. If $\sigma \in BS_{\rho, \delta}^{m(\rho, p_1, p_2)}$ then it holds that*

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{B_{p, q}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p_1, q}^s} \|g\|_{h^{p_2}} + \|f\|_{h^{p_1}} \|g\|_{B_{p_2, q}^s} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.0.10)$$

where h^{p_1} and h^{p_2} must be replaced by L^∞ if $p_1 = \infty$ or $p_2 = \infty$ respectively.

Boundedness properties of bilinear pseudodifferential operators with symbols in the bilinear Hörmander classes have been extensively studied in the settings of Lebesgue and Hardy spaces; see Bényi-Bernicot-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres [3], Bényi-Chaffee-Naibo [6], Bényi-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres [[5], [3]], Brummer-Naibo [11], Herbert-Naibo [[30], [29]], Koezuka-Tomita [36], Michalowski-Rule-Staubach [42], Miyachi-Tomita [[43], [44], [45]], Naibo [[48], [49]], Rodríguez-López-Staubach [53], and the references therein.

The proof of Theorem 1.0.3 utilizes the Nikol'skij representation for Besov spaces and a spectral decomposition of the symbol σ . The techniques used are inspired by bilinear techniques used in Naibo [48] and techniques for linear operators in Johnsen [33], Marschall [39], and Park [51]. Results related to estimate 1.0.10 were proved for the forbidden class $BS_{1,1}^0$ in Koezuka-Tomita [36] and Naibo [48]. Concerning bilinear pseudodifferential operators with symbols belonging to the subcritical classes $BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$ with $m < m(\rho, p_1, p_2)$ and $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p \leq \infty$ ($1 < p_1, p_2, p < \infty$ when $\rho = \delta = 0$) estimate 1.0.10 was shown in Naibo [48] Theorem 1.3. Theorem 1.0.3 extends this result to the critical classes and allows for the indices to be in the wider range $(0, \infty)$.

The estimates (1.0.3) and (1.0.4) have been extensively studied in a variety of settings. In [11], Brummer-Naibo studied Leibniz-type rules in function spaces that admit a molecular decomposition and a φ -transform characterization in the sense of Frazier-Jawerth [19; 20]. In the context of Lebesgue spaces and mixed Lebesgue spaces, estimates of the type (1.0.3) were studied in Hart-Torres-Wu [28] for bilinear multiplier operators with minimal smoothness

assumptions on the multipliers. Related mapping properties for bilinear pseudodifferential operators with symbols in the bilinear Hörmander classes were studied by Bényi-Torres [5] and Bényi-Nahmod-Torres [4] in the setting of Sobolev spaces, by Bényi [2] in the setting of Besov spaces, and by Naibo [48] and Koezuka-Tomita [36] in the context of Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Additionally, versions of (1.0.1) and (1.0.2) in weighted Lebesgue spaces were proved in Cruz-Uribe-Naibo [16], while Brummer-Naibo [10] proved (1.0.3) and (1.0.4) in weighted Lebesgue spaces for Coifman-Meyer multiplier operators.

Chapter 2

Weighted Leibniz-type rules with applications to scattering properties of PDEs

In this chapter we obtain Leibniz-type rules for bilinear multiplier operators associated to Coifman-Meyer multipliers in the settings of Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces based in weighted quasi-Banach spaces. These results extend and improve the Leibniz-type rules (1.0.1) and (1.0.2). Additionally, we apply these results to obtain scattering properties of systems of partial differential equations involving fractional powers of the Laplacian. In the following sections Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces based in weighted Lebesgue spaces, Muckenhoupt weights, and Coifman-Meyer multipliers defined and discussed; then we will prove Theorem 1.0.1 and the corresponding result for inhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces based in weighted Lebesgue spaces. The method used to prove the estimates in Theorem 1.0.1 can be adapted to obtain related results for Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces based in other quasi-Banach spaces such as Morrey, Lorentz, and variable-Lebesgue spaces. Last applications to systems of partial differential equations with fractional powers of the Laplacian are obtained as the solutions of these systems can be viewed as bilinear

multiplier operators associated to multipliers which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.0.1.

2.1 Preliminaries

In this section we discuss the operators, function spaces, and their properties that are used in Theorem 2.2.5 and its proof. We first define Coifman-Meyer multipliers and see boundedness properties of operators associated to these multipliers in Lebesgue spaces. Next the weighted Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces used in Theorem 2.2.5 are defined and their connection other well known function spaces are discussed. In particular the Nikol'skij representation of these function spaces, which is an important tool for the proof of Theorem 2.2.5, will be stated with a detailed proof.

2.1.1 Coifman-Meyer Multipliers

The symbols used in Theorem 1.0.1 and results later in this chapter are Coifman-Meyer multipliers. Such multipliers are defined as follows.

Definition 2.1.1. Given $m \in \mathbb{R}$, a smooth function $\sigma = \sigma(\xi, \eta)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is a *Coifman-Meyer multiplier* of order m if for all multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \sigma(\xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (|\xi| + |\eta|)^{m - (|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \quad \forall (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}. \quad (2.1.1)$$

We say $\sigma = \sigma(\xi, \eta)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is an *inhomogeneous Coifman-Meyer multiplier* of order m if for all multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \sigma(\xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{m - (|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \quad \forall (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \quad (2.1.2)$$

Bilinear multiplier operators associated to Coifman-Meyer multipliers have been well studied. In particular such operators are examples of Calderón-Zygmund operators. As a

consequence the following estimate holds

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}}$$

holds where σ is a Coifman-Meyer multiplier, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ was established in [25]. For more on these multipliers see Coifman-Meyer [13] for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ estimates and background information. Additionally in David-Journé [17] and Grafakos-Torres [25] established estimates for Coifman-Meyer multipliers in their work on Calderón-Zygmund operators. Additionally estimates for operators associated to Coifman-Meyer multipliers in weighted-Lebesgue spaces and were proven by Grafakos-Torres [24] and Lerner et al. [38] in connection to a Calderón-Zygmund operators.

In the proof of Theorem 1.0.1 we will use a decomposition of Coifman-Meyer operators. Before describing this decomposition we first define two linear multiplier operators and the translation operator. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \{\xi : a < |\xi| < b\}$ the operator Δ_j^φ is defined so that $\widehat{\Delta_j^\varphi f}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j})\widehat{f}(\xi)$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \{\xi : |\xi| < b\}$ then the operator S_j^φ is defined so that $\widehat{S_j^\varphi f}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j})\widehat{f}(\xi)$. We then have that $\widehat{\Delta_j^\varphi f}(\xi)$ isolates \widehat{f} to frequencies of order 2^j and $\widehat{S_j^\varphi f}(\xi)$ isolates \widehat{f} to frequencies of order up to 2^j . We define the translation operator τ_a as $\tau_a f(x) := f(x + a)$ so by properties of the Fourier transform $\widehat{\tau_a f}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot a} \widehat{f}(\xi)$.

Let σ be a Coifman-Meyer multiplier of order m . Fix $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\Psi}) \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} < |\xi| < 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\};$$

define $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that

$$\widehat{\Phi}(0) := 1, \quad \widehat{\Phi}(\xi) := \sum_{j \leq 0} \widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j}\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Delta_j^{\tau_a \Psi} f$ and $S_j^{\tau_a \Phi} f$ satisfy

$$\widehat{\Delta_j^{\tau_a \Psi} f}(\xi) = \widehat{\tau_a \Psi}(2^{-j} \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i 2^{-j} \xi \cdot a} \widehat{\Psi}(2^{-j} \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

and

$$\widehat{S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} f}(\xi) = \widehat{\tau_b \Phi}(2^{-j} \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i 2^{-j} \xi \cdot b} \widehat{\Phi}(2^{-j} \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

By the work of Coifman and Meyer in [13], given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N > n$, it follows that $T_\sigma = T_\sigma^1 + T_\sigma^2$, where, for $f \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $m \geq 0$) and $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$T_\sigma^1(f, g)(x) = \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{1}{(1 + |a|^2 + |b|^2)^N} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}_j(a, b) (\Delta_j^{\tau_a \Psi} f)(x) (S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g)(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.1.3)$$

the coefficients $\mathcal{C}_j(a, b)$ satisfy

$$|\mathcal{C}_j(a, b)| \lesssim 2^{jm} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.1.4)$$

with the implicit constant depending on σ , and an analogous expression holds for T_σ^2 with the roles of f and g interchanged.

We note that for the formula (2.1.3) and its corresponding counterpart for T_σ^2 to hold, the condition (2.1.2) on the derivatives of σ is only needed for multi-indices α and β such that $|\alpha + \beta| \leq 2N$

If σ is an inhomogeneous Coifman–Meyer multiplier of order m , a similar decomposition to (2.1.3) follows with the summation in $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ rather than $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $\Delta_0^{\tau_a \Psi}$ replaced by $S_0^{\tau_a \Phi}$ and for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2.1.2 Function spaces

Weighted spaces

Definition 2.1.2. A *weight* w defined on \mathbb{R}^n is a locally integrable function such that $0 < w(x) < \infty$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Given a weight w and $0 < p < \infty$ we define the weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(w)$ as the space of all measurable functions satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^p(w)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

In the case that $p = \infty$ we define $L^\infty(w) = L^\infty$.

The specific classes of weights in the hypotheses of the results of this chapter are Muckenhoupt weights, which we next define.

Definition 2.1.3. For $1 < p < \infty$ the *Muckenhoupt class* A_p consists of all weights w on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying

$$\sup_B \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty, \quad (2.1.5)$$

where the supremum is taken over all Euclidean balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $|B|$ is the Lebesgue measure of B . For $p = \infty$ we define $A_\infty := \cup_{1 < p} A_p$.

From this definition it follows that $A_p \subset A_q$ when $p \leq q$. For $w \in A_\infty$ we set $\tau_w = \inf\{\tau \in (1, \infty] : w \in A_\tau\}$. The condition (2.1.5) is motivated by a connection to the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, which we now define.

Definition 2.1.4. For a locally integrable function f the *Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator* \mathcal{M} is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It turns out that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on $L^p(w)$ if and

only if $w \in A_p$. That is, for $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p$ if and only if

$$\|\mathcal{M}(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(w)}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Later in this chapter we will use the maximal function $\mathcal{M}_r(f) = (\mathcal{M}(|f|^r))^{\frac{1}{r}}$. Since $0 < r < p/\tau_w$ if and only if $0 < r < p$ and $w \in A_{p/r}$ by the properties for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator stated above it holds that \mathcal{M}_r is bounded on $L^p(w)$ when $w \in A_{p/r}$. This fact is a particular case of the following theorem which we refer to as the Fefferman-Stein inequality.

Theorem 2.1.1. *If $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $0 < r < \min(p, q)$ and $w \in A_{p/r}$ (i.e. $0 < r < \min(p/\tau_w, q)$), then for all sequences $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of locally integrable functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n , we have*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{M}_r(f_j)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^p(w)} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_j|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^p(w)},$$

where the implicit constant depends on r , p , q , and w and the summation in j should be replaced by the supremum in j if $q = \infty$.

For more details on the Muckenhoupt classes see Muckenhoupt [46] Grafakos [21].

Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces

Here we describe the function spaces in which (1.0.1) is based and some properties of such spaces.

Let ψ and φ be functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\text{supp}(\widehat{\psi}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} < |\xi| < 2\}$,
- $|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)| > c$ for all ξ such that $\frac{3}{5} < |\xi| < \frac{5}{3}$ for some $c > 0$,
- $\text{supp}(\widehat{\varphi}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| < 2\}$,
- $|\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)| > c$ for $|\xi| < \frac{5}{3}$ and some $c > 0$.

For ψ supported in an annulus and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the operator $\Delta_j^\psi(f)$ through its Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{\Delta_j^\psi(f)}(\xi) = \psi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Similarly we define the operator S_0^φ through the Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{S_0^\varphi(f)}(\xi) = \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

For such ψ the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces are sets of tempered distributions modulo polynomials. We denote the set of polynomials over \mathbb{R}^n by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The space of *tempered distributions* \mathcal{S}' is the space of all continuous linear functionals acting on the Schwartz class.

Definition 2.1.5. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$, and $0 < q \leq \infty$.

- The weighted *homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space* $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} = \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{sj} |\Delta_j^\psi f|)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^p(w)} < \infty.$$

- The weighted *homogeneous Besov space* $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} \|\Delta_j^\psi f\|_{L^p(w)})^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty. \quad (2.1.6)$$

Given φ , ψ , S_0^φ , and Δ_j^ψ as above the weighted inhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces are defined as follows.

Definition 2.1.6. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$.

- For $0 < p < \infty$ the weighted *inhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space* $F_{p,q}^s(w)$ is the class

of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(w)} = \|S_0^\varphi f\|_{L^p(w)} + \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{sj} |\Delta_j^\psi f|)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^p(w)} < \infty.$$

- For $0 < p \leq \infty$ weighted *inhomogeneous Besov space* $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)$ is the class of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} = \|S_0^\varphi f\|_{L^p(w)} + \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{js} \|\Delta_j^\psi f\|_{L^p(w)})^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

The definitions above are independent of the choice of φ and ψ . The Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov defined above are generally quasi-Banach spaces and if $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ they are Banach spaces. These spaces provide a framework to study a variety of other spaces such as Lebesgue, Hardy, and Sobolev spaces with a unified approach. For instance the following equivalences hold where the corresponding function spaces have equivalent norms

$$F_{p,2}^0(w) \simeq H^p(w) \text{ for } 0 < p < \infty, \quad w \in A_\infty, \quad (2.1.7)$$

$$F_{p,2}^0(w) \simeq L^p(w) \simeq H^p(w) \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty, \quad w \in A_p, \quad (2.1.8)$$

$$F_{p,2}^s(w) \simeq \dot{W}^{s,p}(w) \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty, \quad w \in A_p. \quad (2.1.9)$$

For a detailed overview of the development of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces see Triebel [55] and Qui [52] for the unweighted and weighted settings respectively. For weighted Triebel-Lizorkin spaces the so called lifting property is as follows: for s, p , and q as in (2.1.6) and (2.1.5) and $w \in A_\infty$ we have that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \simeq \|D^s f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^0(w)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(w)} \simeq \|J^s f\|_{F_{p,q}^0(w)}.$$

The corresponding statement for Besov spaces is: for s , p , and q as in (2.1.6) and (2.1.5) and $w \in A_\infty$ we have that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} \simeq \|D^s f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^0(w)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(w)} \simeq \|J^s f\|_{B_{p,q}^0(w)}.$$

Nikol'skij representations for weighted homogeneous and inhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces

An important tool for the proof of Theorem 1.0.1 is the Nikol'skij representation for weighted Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces. Here we state a weighted version of [58, Theorem 3.7] (see also [55, Section 2.5.2]).

Theorem 2.1.2. *For $D > 0$, let $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence of tempered distributions such that*

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{u_j}) \subset B(0, D 2^j) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If $w \in A_\infty$, then the following holds:

- (i) *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$. If $\|\{2^{js} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^p(w)(\ell^q)} < \infty$, then the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j$ converges in $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)$ (in $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $q = \infty$) and*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j \right\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|\{2^{js} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^p(w)(\ell^q)},$$

where the implicit constant depends only on n , D , s , p and q . An analogous statement, with $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, holds true for $F_{p,q}^s(w)$ (when $q = \infty$, the convergence is in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

- (ii) *Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$. If $\|\{2^{js} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q(L^p(w))} < \infty$, then the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j$ converges in $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)$ (in $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $q = \infty$) and*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j \right\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|\{2^{js} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q(L^p(w))},$$

where the implicit constant depends only on n, D, s, p and q . An analogous statement, with $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, holds true for $B_{p,q}^s(w)$ (when $q = \infty$, the convergence is in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

2.2 Leibniz-type rules in weighted Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces

2.2.1 Homogeneous Leibniz-type rules

In the setting of weighted homogeneous Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces we obtain Leibniz-type rules in Theorem 1.0.1. As we will see in the corollaries to this result it improves the Leibniz-type rule (1.0.2) and has extensions to weighted versions of (1.0.2).

We note that if $m \geq 0$ then the above estimates hold for any $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a subspace of the function spaces on the right-hand side. This is the case when $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $w_1 \in A_{p_1}$, and $w_2 \in A_{p_2}$ in (1.0.5) and (1.0.6) and $w \in A_p$ for (1.0.7).

For $w \in A_\infty$ and $0 < p \leq \infty$ we denote $\tau_w = \inf\{\tau \in (1, \infty] : w \in A_p\}$ and $\tau_p(w) := n \left(\frac{1}{\min(p/\tau_w, 1)} - 1 \right)$. By the lifting property of weighted Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces in Section 2.1.2 and their relation to weighted Hardy spaces (2.1.7), the estimates (1.0.5) and (1.0.6) imply the following Leibniz-type rule for Coifman-Meyer multipliers of order zero.

Corollary 2.2.1. *Let $\sigma(\xi, \eta)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be a Coifman-Meyer multiplier of order 0. Consider $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$; let $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$ and set $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$. If $s > \tau_p(w)$, it holds that*

$$\|D^s(T_\sigma(f, g))\|_{H^p(w)} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|D^s g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.2.10)$$

If $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand side of (2.2.10);

moreover, if $w \in A_\infty$, then

$$\|D^s(T_\sigma(f, g))\|_{H^p(w)} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{H^p(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s g\|_{H^p(w)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.2.11)$$

where $0 < p < \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$.

Corollary 2.2.1 gives estimates related to those in Brummer-Naibo [10], where, using different methods, the following result was proven:

σ is a Coifman-Meyer multiplier of order 0, $1 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{2} < p < \infty$, $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$, $w_1 \in A_{p_1}$, $w_2 \in A_{p_2}$, $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$ and $s > \tau_{p,2}(w)$, then for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it holds that

$$\|D^s(T_\sigma(f, g))\|_{L^p(w)} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)} \|D^s g\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)}. \quad (2.2.12)$$

Moreover, if $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand side of (2.2.12).

Corollary 2.2.1 and the result stated above from Brummer-Naibo compare as follows:

- The estimate (2.2.10) allows for $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$, and the $H^p(w)$ on the left-hand side if $s > \tau_p(w)$. On the other hand, (2.2.12) requires $1 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$, $w_1 \in A_{p_1}$, $w_2 \in A_{p_2}$ and the smaller $L^p(w)$ norm on the left-hand side when $s > \tau_{p,2}(w)$. Therefore, (2.2.10) is less restrictive than (2.2.12) in terms of the indices p , p_1 , and p_2 and the classes that the weights w_1 and w_2 belong to. However, since $\tau_{p,2}(w) \leq \tau_p(w)$, (2.2.10) is more restrictive than (2.2.12) in terms of the range of the regularity s .
- If $s > \tau_p(w)$, $1/2 < p < \infty$, $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$, $w_1 \in A_{p_1}$ and $w_2 \in A_{p_2}$, then (2.2.10) implies (2.2.12). However if $\tau_p < \tau_p(w)$ then (2.2.10) does not imply (2.2.12) for $\tau_p < s < \tau_p(w)$. We next give examples of weights w_1 and w_2 such that the corresponding weight w satisfies $\tau_p < \tau_p(w)$. Let $1 < p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty$ and $w_1(x) = w_2(x) = w(x) = |x|^a$ with $n(r-1) < a < n(p_1-1)$ for some $1 < r < p_1$.

Then $w \in A_{p_1}$, $A_{p_1} \subset A_{p_2}$, and $w \notin A_r$. This implies that $1 < \tau_w$ which leads to that $\tau_p < \tau_p(w)$.

- The estimate (2.2.12) implies (2.2.11) for $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p$, and $s > \tau_{p,2}(w)$ and gives the endpoint estimate

$$\|D^s(T_\sigma(f, g))\|_{L^p(w)} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{L^p(w)} + \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \|D^s g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

However, (2.2.11) allows $0 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty$ if $s > \tau_p(w)$.

2.2.2 Connection to Kato-Ponce inequalities

Using the equivalences 1.0.8 and following discussion we obtain the following corollary to Theorem 1.0.1 by setting $\sigma \equiv 1$.

Corollary 2.2.2. *Consider $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$; let $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$ and set $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$. If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$, it holds that*

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p_1,q}^s(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{p_2,q}^s(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.2.13)$$

If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$, it holds that

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,q}^s(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,q}^s(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.2.14)$$

where the Hardy spaces $H^{p_1}(w_1)$ and $H^{p_2}(w_2)$ must be replaced by L^∞ if $p_1 = \infty$ or $p_2 = \infty$, respectively.

If $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand sides of (2.2.13) and (2.2.14); moreover, if $w \in A_\infty$, then

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.2.15)$$

where $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$.

In particular if we set $q = 2$ and use the connection between weighted Hardy spaces and weighted Triebel-Lizorkin spaces (2.1.7) we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2.3. *Consider $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$; let $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$ and set $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$. If $s > \tau_p(w)$, it holds that*

$$\|D^s(fg)\|_{H^p(w)} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|D^s g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.2.16)$$

If $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand side of (2.2.16); moreover, if $w \in A_\infty$, then

$$\|D^s(fg)\|_{H^p(w)} \lesssim \|D^s f\|_{H^p(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s g\|_{H^p(w)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $0 < p < \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$.

2.2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.0.1

The following lemma will be useful in the proofs of Theorems 1.0.1 and 2.2.5.

Lemma 2.2.4. *Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\widehat{\phi}_1$ and $\widehat{\phi}_2$ have compact supports and $\widehat{\phi}_1 \widehat{\phi}_2 = \widehat{\phi}_1$. If $0 < r \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, it holds that*

$$\left| P_j^{\tau_a \phi_1} f(x) \right| \lesssim (1 + |a|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r}} \mathcal{M}_r(P_j^{\phi_2} f)(x) \quad \forall x, a \in \mathbb{R}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. This estimate is a consequence of Lemma 2.3.2. In view of the supports of $\widehat{\phi}_1$ and $\widehat{\phi}_2$ we have $P_j^{\tau_a \phi_1} f = P_j^{\tau_a \phi_1} P_j^{\phi_2} f$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Applying Lemma 2.3.2 with $\phi(x) = 2^{nj} \tau_a \phi_1(2^j x)$, $A = 2^j$, $R \geq 1$ such that $\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}_2) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ and

$d = \varepsilon + n/r$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| P_j^{\tau_a \phi_1} f(x) \right| &\lesssim R^{n(\frac{1}{r}-1)} 2^{-jn} \left\| (1 + |2^j \cdot|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r}} 2^{nj} \tau_a \phi_1(2^j \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{M}_r(P_j^{\phi_2} f)(x) \\ &\sim \left\| (1 + |2^j \cdot|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r}} \tau_a \phi_1(2^j \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{M}_r(P_j^{\phi_2} f)(x) \quad \forall x, a \in \mathbb{R}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$|\tau_a \phi_1(2^j x)| = |\phi_1(2^j x + a)| \lesssim \frac{(1 + |a|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r}}}{(1 + |2^j x|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r}}} \quad \forall x, a \in \mathbb{R}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Combining these two estimates completes the proof. \square

We now prove Theorem 1.0.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.0.1. Consider $\Phi, \Psi, T_\sigma^1, T_\sigma^2, \{\mathcal{C}_j(a, b)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ as in Section ???. Let $m, \sigma, p, p_1, p_2, q, s, w_1, w_2$ and w be as in the hypotheses. For ease of notation, p_1 and p_2 will be assumed to be finite; the same proof applies for (1.0.6) if that is not the case, and for (1.0.7).

We next prove (1.0.5) and (1.0.6). Here we will only work with T_σ^1 as the estimate for T_σ^2 is shown through symmetry. Hence we will prove that

$$\|T_\sigma^1(f, g)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p_1,q}^{s+m}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_\sigma^1(f, g)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,q}^{s+m}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)}.$$

Moreover, since $\|\sum f_j\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)}^{\min(p,q,1)} \lesssim \sum \|f_j\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)}^{\min(p,q,1)}$ and similarly for $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)$, it suffices to prove that, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $0 < r_1, r_2 \leq 1$ such that for all $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)$ or $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)$ if $m \geq 0$), it holds that

$$\|T^{a,b}(f, g)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim (1 + |a|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_1}} (1 + |b|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_2}} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p_1,q}^{s+m}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)}, \quad (2.2.17)$$

$$\|T^{a,b}(f, g)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim (1 + |a|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_1}} (1 + |b|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_2}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,q}^{s+m}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)}, \quad (2.2.18)$$

where

$$T^{a,b}(f, g) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}_j(a, b) (\Delta_j^{\tau_a \Psi} f) (S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g)$$

and the implicit constants are independent of a and b . We will assume q finite; obvious changes apply if that is not the case.

In view of the supports of Ψ and Φ we have that

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{C}_j(a, b) (\Delta_j^{\tau_a \Psi} f) (S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g)]) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \lesssim 2^j\} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

For (2.2.17), Theorem 2.1.2(2.1.2), the bound (2.1.4) for $\mathcal{C}_j(a, b)$, and Hölder's inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{a,b}(f, g)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} &\lesssim \left\| \{2^{sj} \mathcal{C}_j(a, b) (\Delta_j^{\tau_a \Psi} f) (S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{L^p(w)(\ell^q)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+m)qj} |(\Delta_j^{\tau_a \Psi} f)(x) (S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^p(w)} \\ &\leq \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+m)qj} |(\Delta_j^{\tau_a \Psi} f)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^p(w)} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+m)qj} |\Delta_j^{\tau_a \Psi} f|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g| \right\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as in Section 2.1.2 such that $\widehat{\varphi} \equiv 1$ on $\text{supp}(\widehat{\Phi})$ and $\widehat{\psi} \equiv 1$ on $\text{supp}(\widehat{\Psi})$.

Let $0 < r_1 < \min(1, p_1/\tau_{w_1}, q)$; by Lemma 2.2.4 and the weighted Fefferman-Stein inequality

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+m)qj} |(\Delta_j^{\tau_a} \Psi f)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)} &\lesssim (1 + |a|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_1}} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+m)qj} |\mathcal{M}_{r_1}(\Delta_j^\psi f)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)} \\
&\lesssim (1 + |a|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_1}} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+m)qj} |\Delta_j^\psi f|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)} \\
&\sim (1 + |a|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_1}} \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{s+m}(w_1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constants are independent of a and f . Next, let $0 < r_2 < \min(1, p_2/\tau_{w_2})$; by Lemma 2.2.4 and the boundedness properties of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on weighted Lebesgue space we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g| \right\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)} &\lesssim (1 + |b|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_2}} \left\| \mathcal{M}_{r_2}(\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_j^\varphi g|) \right\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)} \\
&\lesssim (1 + |b|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_2}} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_j^\varphi g| \right\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)} \\
&\sim (1 + |b|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_2}} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constants are independent of b and g . Putting all together we obtain (2.2.17).

For (2.2.18), Theorem 2.1.2(2.1.2), the bound (2.1.4) for $\mathcal{C}_j(a, b)$ and Hölder's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^{a,b}(f, g)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s,w}(w)} &\lesssim \left\| \{2^{sj} \mathcal{C}_j(a, b) (\Delta_j^{\tau_a} \Psi f) (S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q(L^p(w))} \\
&\lesssim \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+m)qj} \left\| (\Delta_j^{\tau_a} \Psi f) (S_j^{\tau_b \Phi} g) \right\|_{L^p(w)}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(s+m)qj} \left\| (\Delta_j^{\tau_a} \Psi f) \right\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_k^{\tau_b \Phi} g| \right\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)} \\
&\lesssim (1 + |a|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_1}} (1 + |b|)^{\varepsilon + \frac{n}{r_2}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,q}^{s+m}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used Lemma 2.2.4 and the boundedness properties of \mathcal{M} with $0 < r_j < \min(1, p_j/\tau_{w_j})$ for $j = 1, 2$.

It is clear from the proof above that if $w_1 = w_2$, then different pairs of p_1, p_2 related to p through the Hölder condition can be used on the right-hand sides of (1.0.5) and (1.0.6); in such case $w = w_1 = w_2$. \square

We now remark in the condition 2.1.2 and its importance in the proof of Theorem 1.0.1. For convergence purposes, the relations between N in (2.1.3) and the powers $\varepsilon + n/r_1$ and $\varepsilon + n/r_2$ in (2.2.17) and (2.2.18) must be such that $(N - \varepsilon - n/r_1)r^* > n$ and $(N - \varepsilon - n/r_2)r^* > n$, where $r^* = \min(p, q, 1)$. Moreover, r_1 and r_2 were selected so that $0 < r_j < \min(1, p_j/\tau_{w_j}, q)$ in the context of Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and $0 < r_j < \min(1, p_j/\tau_{w_j})$ in the context of Besov spaces. Therefore, if $N > n(1/r^* + 1/\min(1, p_1/\tau_{w_1}, p_2/\tau_{w_2}, q))$ in the Triebel–Lizorkin setting and $N > n(1/r^* + 1/\min(1, p_1/\tau_{w_1}, p_2/\tau_{w_2}))$ in the Besov setting, ε , r_1 and r_2 can be chosen so that all the conditions above are satisfied. In view of this and Remark ??, the multiplier σ in Theorem 1.0.1 needs only satisfy (2.1.2) for $|\alpha + \beta| \leq 2([n(1/r^* + 1/\min(1, p_1/\tau_{w_1}, p_2/\tau_{w_2}, q))] + 1) = \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, tl}$ in the Triebel–Lizorkin case and $|\alpha + \beta| \leq 2([n(1/r^* + 1/\min(1, p_1/\tau_{w_1}, p_2/\tau_{w_2}))] + 1) = \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, b}$ in the Besov case. An analogous observation follows for the multiplier σ in Theorem 2.2.5 in relation to the condition obtained from (2.1.2) with $|\xi| + |\eta|$ replaced by $1 + |\xi| + |\eta|$.

2.2.4 Inhomogeneous Leibniz-type rules

In this section we obtain Leibniz-type rules for Coifman-Meyer multiplier operators associated to inhomogeneous symbols. Our main result is the inhomogenous counterpart to Theorem 1.0.1.

Theorem 2.2.5. *For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\sigma(\xi, \eta)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be an inhomogeneous Coifman-Meyer multiplier of order m . Consider $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$;*

let $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$ and set $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$. If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$, it holds that

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{F_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p_1,q}^{s+m}(w_1)} \|g\|_{h^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{h^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{F_{p_2,q}^{s+m}(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.2.19)$$

If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$, it holds that

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{B_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p_1,q}^{s+m}(w_1)} \|g\|_{h^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{h^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{B_{p_2,q}^{s+m}(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.2.20)$$

where the local Hardy spaces $h^{p_1}(w_1)$ and $h^{p_2}(w_2)$ must be replaced by L^∞ if $p_1 = \infty$ or $p_2 = \infty$, respectively.

If $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand sides of (2.2.19) and (2.2.20); moreover, if $w \in A_\infty$, then

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{F_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^{s+m}(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{F_{p,q}^{s+m}(w)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$.

The proof of Theorem 2.2.5 follows along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 1.0.1.

The corollaries that follow are similar to those in the homogeneous setting. As an example we state the inhomogeneous counterpart to Corollary 2.2.1.

Corollary 2.2.6. *Let $\sigma(\xi, \eta)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be an inhomogeneous Coifman-Meyer multiplier of order 0. Consider $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$; let $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$ and set $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$. If $s > \tau_p(w)$, it holds that*

$$\|J^s(T_\sigma(f, g))\|_{h^p(w)} \lesssim \|J^s f\|_{h^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{h^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{h^{p_1}(w_1)} \|J^s g\|_{h^{p_2}(w_2)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

If $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand side of (2.2.10);

moreover, if $w \in A_\infty$, then

$$\|J^s(T_\sigma(f, g))\|_{h^p(w)} \lesssim \|J^s f\|_{h^p(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|J^s g\|_{h^p(w)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $0 < p < \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$.

2.3 Leibniz rules in other functions spaces

The method used to prove Theorem (1.0.1) is quite versatile and can be applied to Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces that are based in other quasi-Banach spaces. Here we highlight the important features of Lebesgue spaces that are necessary for the proof of Theorem (1.0.1) to be adapted to other settings. We also define Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces that are based in other quasi-Banach spaces.

The main features of weighted Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces used in the proof of Theorem (1.0.1) are the following:

- (i) there exists $r > 0$ such that $\|f + g\|_{F_{p,q}^s(w)}^r \leq \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(w)}^r + \|g\|_{F_{p,q}^s(w)}^r$; similarly for the weighted inhomogeneous Besov spaces and the weighted homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces;
- (ii) Hölder's inequality in weighted Lebesgue spaces;
- (iii) the boundedness properties in weighted Lebesgue spaces of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator (for the Besov space setting) and the weighted Fefferman-Stein inequality (for the Triebel-Lizorkin space setting);
- (iv) Nikol'skij representations for weighted Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces (Theorem 2.1.2).

In the following subsections we consider quasi-Banach spaces \mathcal{X} such that properties (2.3)–(2.3) hold for the homogeneous and inhomogeneous \mathcal{X} -based Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces. Corresponding versions of Theorems (1.0.1) and 2.2.5 hold in Triebel-Lizorkin

and Besov spaces based in these spaces. The homogeneous χ -based Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces denoted by $\dot{F}_{\chi,q}^s$ and $\dot{B}_{\chi,q}^s$ respectively are defined similarly to the weighted, homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces with the $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(w)}$ quasi-norm replaced with the $\|\cdot\|_{\chi}$ quasi-norm. The inhomogeneous spaces are defined similarly.

2.3.1 Leibniz-type rules in the setting of Lorentz-based Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces

For $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < t \leq \infty$ or $p = t = \infty$, and an A_∞ weight w defined on \mathbb{R}^n , we denote by $L^{p,t}(w)$ the weighted Lorentz space consisting of complex-valued, measurable functions f defined on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} = \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\tau^{\frac{1}{p}} f_w^*(\tau) \right)^t \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} < \infty,$$

where $f_w^*(\tau) = \inf\{\lambda \geq 0 : w_f(\lambda) \leq \tau\}$ with $w_f(\lambda) = w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\})$. In the case that $t = \infty$, $\|f\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f_w^*(t)$. We note that for $p = t$ we have $L^{p,p}(w) = L^p(w)$ and $L^{1,\infty}(w)$ is the weighted weak $L^1(w)$ space. For more details on these spaces and their properties see Hunt [31].

We now turn our attention to the analogues to properties (2.3)-(2.3) in the setting of weighted Lorentz-based Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces.

Property (2.3) follows from the work of Hunt [31] that the quasi norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,t}(w)}$ is comparable to a quasi-norm $|||\cdot|||_{L^{p,t}(w)}$ that is subadditive. Therefore we have that the norms $|||\cdot|||_{\dot{F}_{(p,t),q}^s}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{F}_{(p,t),q}^s}$ are comparable where $|||\cdot|||_{\dot{F}_{(p,t),q}^s}$ is the quasi-norm with $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,t}(w)}$ replaced by $|||\cdot|||_{L^{p,t}(w)}$ and for some $r > 0$ $|||f+g|||_{\dot{F}_{(p,t),q}^s}^r \leq |||f|||_{\dot{F}_{(p,t),q}^s}^r + |||g|||_{\dot{F}_{(p,t),q}^s}^r$.

By using the following version of Hölder's inequality we obtain property (2.3) [Theorem 4.5, [31]]: Let $f, g \in L^{p,t}(w)$. Then for $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ and $\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2}$ it holds that

$$\|fg\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1,t_1}(w)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2,t_2}(w)}.$$

The corresponding version of property (2.3) is: If $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < t, q \leq \infty$, $0 < r < \min(p/\tau_w, q)$ and $0 < r \leq t$, it holds that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{M}_r(f_j)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} |f_j|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} \quad \forall \{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in L^{p,t}(w)(\ell^q); \quad (2.3.21)$$

in particular, if $0 < r < p/\tau_w$ and $0 < r \leq t$, it holds that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_r(f)\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} \quad \forall f \in L^{p,t}(w).$$

This is established by the extrapolation theorem [15, Theorem 4.10 and comments on page 70] when $r = 1$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq t \leq \infty$ and $1 < q \leq \infty$. The remaining cases are shown using the previous cases and the following scaling property for Lorentz spaces: For $0 < s < \infty$

$$\| |f|^s \|_{L^{p,t}(w)} = \|f\|_{L^{sp,st}(w)}^s.$$

The substitute for property 2.3 is the following Nikols'kij representation in weighted Lorentz spaces.

Theorem 2.3.1. *For $D > 0$, let $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence of tempered distributions such that*

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{u}_j) \subset B(0, D 2^j) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

If $w \in A_\infty$, then the following holds:

- (i) *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < t, q \leq \infty$ and $s > \left(\frac{1}{\min(p/\tau_w, t, q, 1)} - 1 \right)$. If $\|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^{(p,t)}(w)(\ell^q)} < \infty$, then the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} u_j$ converges in $F_{(p,t),q}^s(w)$ (in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $q = \infty$) and*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j \right\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}\|_{L^{(p,t)}(w)(\ell^q)},$$

where the implicit constant depends only on n , D , s , p and q .

- (ii) *Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,t}(w)$. If $\|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}\|_{\ell^q(L^{(p,t)}(w))} < \infty$, then the series*

$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} u_j$ converges in $B_{(p,t),q}^s(w)$ (in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $q = \infty$) and

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j \right\|_{B_{(p,t),q}^s(w)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \right\|_{\ell^q(L^{(p,t)}(w))},$$

where the implicit constant depends only on n, D, s, p and q .

For the proof of Theorem 2.3.1 we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3.2 (Particular case of Corollary 2.11 in [58]). *Suppose $0 < r \leq 1$, $A > 0$, $R \geq 1$ and $d > n/r$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and f is such that $\text{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq AR\}$, it holds that*

$$|\phi * f(x)| \lesssim R^{n(\frac{1}{r}-1)} A^{-n} \|(1 + |A \cdot|)^d \phi\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{M}_r f(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where the implicit constant is independent of A, R, ϕ , and f .

Remark 2.3.1. [58, Corollary 2.11] incorrectly states $A^{-n/r}$ instead of A^{-n} . Also, it states $A \geq 1$, but the result is true for $A > 0$ as stated in Lemma 2.3.2.

Lemma 2.3.3. *Suppose $w \in A_\infty$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, $A > 0$, $R \geq 1$, and $d > b > n/\min(1, p/\tau_w)$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and f is such that $\text{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq AR\}$, it holds that*

$$\|\phi * f\|_{L^p(w)} \lesssim R^{b-n} A^{-n} \|(1 + |A \cdot|^d) \phi\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^p(w)},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of A, R, ϕ and f .

Proof. Set $r := n/b < \min(1, p/\tau_w)$. The hypothesis $d > b$ means $d > n/r$ and Lemma 2.3.2 yields

$$|\phi * f(x)| \lesssim R^{n(\frac{1}{r}-1)} A^{-n} \|(1 + |A \cdot|^d) \phi\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{M}_r f(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since $r < p/\tau_w$, we have $\|\mathcal{M}_r f\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p,t}(w)}$ and therefore

$$\|\phi * f\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} \lesssim R^{n(\frac{1}{r}-1)} A^{-n} \|(1 + |A \cdot|^d) \phi\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^{p,t}(w)};$$

observing that $1/r - 1 = (b - n)/n$, the desired estimate follows. \square

The following lemma is a modified version of [58, Lemma 3.8].

Lemma 2.3.4. *Let $\tau < 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for any sequence $\{d_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset [0, \infty)$ it holds that*

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{\tau k} 2^{\lambda(j+k)} d_{j+k} \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{j\lambda} d_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q},$$

where the implicit constant depends only on k_0, τ, λ and q .

Proof. Suppose first that $0 < q \leq 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{\tau k} 2^{\lambda(j+k)} d_{j+k} \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q} &= \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{\tau k} 2^{\lambda(j+k)} d_{j+k} \right)^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{\tau q k} 2^{\lambda q(j+k)} d_{j+k}^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left[\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{\tau q k} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\lambda q(j+k)} d_{j+k}^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{\tau q k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\| \{2^{j\lambda} d_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q} = C_{k_0, \tau, q} \left\| \{2^{j\lambda} d_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used that $\tau < 0$. If $1 < q < \infty$ we use Hölder's inequality with q and q' to write

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{\tau k} 2^{\lambda(j+k)} d_{j+k} \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q} &\leq \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{\tau k q/2} 2^{\lambda q(j+k)} d_{j+k}^q \right) \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{\tau k q'/2} \right)^{q/q'} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= C_{k_0, \tau, q} \left\| \{2^{j\lambda} d_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q}. \end{aligned}$$

The case $q = \infty$ is straightforward. \square

We now show the proof of Theorem 2.3.1.

Proof. We first establish the theorem for finite families of functions and then extend this

result to families that are not necessarily finite. We will do this in the homogeneous settings, with the proof in the inhomogeneous settings being similar. Suppose $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is such that $u_j = 0$ for all j except those belonging to some finite subset of \mathbb{Z} ; this assumption allows us to avoid convergence issues since all the sums considered will be finite.

For Part (2.1.2), let D, w, p, q and s be as in the hypotheses. Fix $0 < r < \min(1, p/\tau_w, q)$ such that $s > n(1/r - 1)$; note that the latter is possible since $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$.

Let $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $2^{k_0-1} < D \leq 2^{k_0}$, then

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{u}_\ell) \subset B(0, 2^\ell D) \subset B(0, 2^{\ell+k_0}) \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define $u = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} u_\ell$ and let ψ be as in the definition of $\dot{F}_{(p,t),q}^s(w)$ in Section ???. We have

$$\Delta_j^\psi u = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j^\psi u_\ell = \sum_{\ell=j-k_0}^{\infty} \Delta_j^\psi u_\ell = \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} \Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k}. \quad (2.3.22)$$

We will use Lemma 2.3.2 with $\phi(x) = 2^{jn}\psi(2^j x)$, $f = u_{j+k}$, $A = 2^j > 0$, and $R = 2^{k+k_0}$. (Notice that $\text{supp}(\widehat{u_{j+k}}) \subset B(0, 2^j 2^{k+k_0})$ and, since $k \geq -k_0$, we get $R \geq 1$.) Fixing $d > n/r$ and applying Lemma 2.3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k}(x)| &\lesssim 2^{k_0 n(\frac{1}{r}-1)} 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-1)} 2^{-jn} \left\| (1 + |2^j \cdot|)^d 2^{jn} \psi(2^j \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{M}_r(u_{j+k})(x) \\ &\sim 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-1)} \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |2^j y|)^d |\psi(2^j y)| \right) \mathcal{M}_r(u_{j+k})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$2^{js} |\Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k}(x)| \lesssim 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-1-\frac{s}{n})} 2^{s(j+k)} \mathcal{M}_r(u_{j+k})(x),$$

and then, recalling (2.3.28),

$$2^{js} |\Delta_j^\psi u(x)| \lesssim \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-1-\frac{s}{n})} 2^{s(j+k)} \mathcal{M}_r(u_{j+k})(x).$$

Since $1/r - 1 - s/n < 0$, Lemma 2.3.4 yields

$$\left\| \{2^{js} |\Delta_j^\psi u|\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{L^{p,t}(w)(\ell^q)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} \mathcal{M}_r u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{L^{p,t}(w)(\ell^q)}$$

with an implicit constant independent of $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Applying the weighted Fefferman-Stein inequality to the right-hand side of the last inequality leads to the desired estimate

$$\|u\|_{\dot{F}_{(p,t),q}^s(w)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{L^{p,t}(\ell^q)}.$$

Assume that the theorem is true for finite families. Let $\{u_j\} \subset S'$ be as in the hypothesis. Then using the theorem for finite families we have that

$$\|U_N - U_M\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^s} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\}_{M+1 \leq j \leq N} \right\|_{L^{p,t}(w)(\ell^q)}. \quad (2.3.23)$$

Now we apply the following version of the dominated convergence theorem.

Suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure and $|f_n(x)| \leq |g(x)|$ for some $g \in L^{p,t}(w)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{L^{p,t}(w)} = 0.$$

We need to check that the functions $U_{N,M} = \left(\sum_{j=M+1}^N (2^{js} u_j)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$, $U = 0$, and $g = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{js} u_j)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. Now we need to check that $f_{N,M} \rightarrow f$ in measure. Let $A_N = \{x : \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} |2^{js} u_j|^q > \tau^q\}$. Because $\{u_j\} \subset L^{(p,t)}(w)(\ell^q)$ we have that $|A_1| < \infty$. Then since $|A_{N+1}| \leq |A_N|$ it follows that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |A_N| = |\cap A_N|$. $\{u_j\} \subset L^{(p,t)}(w)(\ell^q)$ so $u_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $f_N \rightarrow 0$ in measure. This implies that

$$\|U_N - U_M\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^s} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\}_{M+1 \leq j \leq N} \right\|_{L^{p,t}(w)(\ell^q)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j$ converges in $F_{(p,t),q}^s$.

Now we consider the case where $q = \infty$. Then $\{2^{j(s-\epsilon)}\}_{j \geq 0}$ belongs to $\ell^1(L^{p,t}(w))$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then by the case for $q < \infty$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j$ converges in $B_{(p,t),1}^s$ and so it converges in S' . Then by using the case for finite families applied to $\{u_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq N}$ (this case holds because the lemmas used only depend on the indexes considered and maximal function inequalities in Lorentz spaces) we have that

$$\|U_N\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^s} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq N}\|_{F_{(p,t),\infty}^s} \leq \|\{2^{js}u_j\}\|_{F_{(p,t),\infty}^s}.$$

Then using the Fatou property finishes the proof. \square

With these four properties theorems analogous those earlier in this chapter hold in the setting of weighted Lorentz-based Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces and as an example the analogue to Theorem 2.2.5 in the context of the spaces $F_{(p,t),q}^s(w)$ is below.

Theorem 2.3.5. *For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\sigma(\xi, \eta)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be an inhomogeneous Coifman-Meyer multiplier of order m . If $w \in A_{\infty}$, $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $0 < t, t_1, t_2 \leq \infty$ are such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $1/t = 1/t_1 + 1/t_2$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,t,q}(w)$, it holds that*

$$\|T_{\sigma}(f, g)\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{(p_1,t_1),q}^{s+m}(w)} \|g\|_{h^{p_2,t_2}(w)} + \|f\|_{h^{p_1,t_1}(w)} \|g\|_{F_{(p_2,t_2),q}^{s+m}(w)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Different pairs of p_1, p_2 and t_1, t_2 can be used on the right-hand side of the inequality above.

Moreover, if $w \in A_{\infty}$, $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < t, q \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,t,q}(w)$, it holds that

$$\|T_{\sigma}(f, g)\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^{s+m}(w)} \|g\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \|g\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^{s+m}(w)} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

By the Fefferman–Stein inequality (2.3.21) the lifting property $\|f\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^s} \simeq \|J^s f\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^0}$ holds true for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < t, q \leq \infty$. Then, under the assumptions of

Theorem 2.3.5 we obtain, in particular,

$$\|J^s(fg)\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^0(w)} \lesssim \|J^s f\|_{F_{(p_1,t_1),q}^0(w)} \|g\|_{h^{p_2,t_2}(w)} + \|f\|_{h^{p_1,t_1}(w)} \|J^s g\|_{F_{(p_2,t_2),q}^0(w)} ;$$

$$\|J^s(fg)\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^0(w)} \lesssim \|J^s f\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^0(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|J^s g\|_{F_{(p,t),q}^0(w)} .$$

These last two estimates supplement the results in [16, Theorem 6.1], where related Leibniz-type rules in Lorentz spaces were obtained.

2.3.2 Morrey spaces

Given $0 < p \leq t < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty$, we denote by $M_p^t(w)$ the weighted Morrey space consisting of functions $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{M_p^t(w)} = \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} w(B)^{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_B |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all Euclidean balls B contained in \mathbb{R}^n . We note that $M_p^p(w) = L^p(w)$. For more details on Morrey spaces see the work Rosenthal–Schmeisser [54] and the references contained therein. The corresponding weighted inhomogeneous Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and inhomogeneous Besov spaces are denoted by $F_{[p,t],q}^s(w)$ and $B_{[p,t],q}^s(w)$, respectively. These Morrey-based Triebel–Lizorkin and Besov spaces are independent of the choice of φ and ψ given in Section ?? and are quasi-Banach spaces that contain $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see the works Kozono–Yamazaki [37], Mazzucato [40], Izuki et al. [32] and the references they contain). The corresponding local Hardy spaces are denoted by $h_p^t(w)$.

We now show the analogues to properties 2.3–2.3 for weighted Morrey spaces.

$$\|f + g\|_{M_p^t(w)}^r \lesssim \|f\|_{M_p^t(w)}^r + \|g\|_{M_p^t(w)}^r$$

for $r = \min(1, p)$. It follows that for $r := \min(1, p, q)$

$$\|f + g\|_{F_{[p,t],q}^s(w)}^r \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{[p,t],q}^s(w)}^r + \|g\|_{F_{[p,t],q}^s(w)}^r$$

with similar inequalities for inhomogeneous weighted Morry-based Besov spaces and homogeneous weighted Morry-based Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces.

A version of property 2.3 follows from Hölder's inequality for weighted Lebesgue spaces. For $0 < p \leq t < \infty$, $0 < p_1 \leq t_1 < \infty$ and $0 < p_2 \leq t_2 < \infty$ are such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $1/t = 1/t_1 + 1/t_2$, then

$$\|fg\|_{M_p^t(w)} \leq \|f\|_{M_{p_1}^{t_1}(w)} \|g\|_{M_{p_2}^{t_2}(w)};$$

also, if $0 < p \leq t < \infty$, $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ are such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$ for weights w_1 and w_2 , then

$$\|fg\|_{M_p^t(w)} \leq \|f\|_{M_{p_1}^{\frac{p_1 t}{p}}(w_1)} \|g\|_{M_{p_2}^{\frac{p_2 t}{p}}(w_2)}.$$

The analogue to property 2.3 is the following Fefferman-Stein inequality: Let $0 < p \leq t < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $0 < r < \min(p/\tau_w, q)$, then

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{M}_r(f_j)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{M_p^t(w)} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} |f_j|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{M_p^t(w)} \quad \forall \{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in M_p^t(w)(\ell^q). \quad (2.3.24)$$

If $0 < p \leq t < \infty$ and $0 < r < p/\tau_w$, then

$$\|\mathcal{M}_r(f)\|_{M_p^t(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{M_p^t(w)} \quad \forall f \in M_p^t(w).$$

The case for $r = 1$, $1 < p \leq t < \infty$ and $1 < q \leq \infty$ are shown using extrapolation and the Fefferman-Stein inequality in weighted Lebesgue spaces. For the extrapolation

theorem see [54, Theorem 5.3]. The remaining cases are shown using that for $0 < s < \infty$ $\| |f|^s \|_{M_p^t(w)} = \|f\|_{M_{sp}^{st}(w)}^s$ and the previous case.

The Nikol'skij representation for weighted Morrey-based Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces is as follows.

Theorem 2.3.6. *For $D > 0$, let $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence of tempered distributions such that*

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{u}_j) \subset B(0, D 2^j) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

If $w \in A_\infty$, then the following holds:

- (i) *Let $0 < p \leq t < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > \left(\frac{1}{\min(p/\tau_w, t, q, 1)} - 1 \right)$. If $\|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{M_p^t(w)(\ell^q)} < \infty$, then the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} u_j$ converges in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j \right\|_{F_{[p, t], q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}\|_{M_p^t(w)(\ell^q)},$$

where the implicit constant depends only on n , D , s , p and q .

- (ii) *Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p, t}(w)$. If $\|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}\|_{\ell^q(M_p^t(w))} < \infty$, then the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} u_j$ converges in $B_{[p, t], q}^s(w)$ (in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $q = \infty$) and*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j \right\|_{B_{[p, t], q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}\|_{\ell^q(M_p^t(w))},$$

where the implicit constant depends only on n , D , s , p and q .

The proof of Theorem (2.1.2) uses Lemma 2.3.2, Lemma 2.3.4, and a modified version of Lemma (2.3.3).

Lemma 2.3.7. *Let $0 < p \leq t < \infty$, $A > 0$, $R \geq 1$ and $d > b > \frac{n}{\min(p/\tau_w, 1)}$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \leq AR\}$. Then*

$$\|\phi * f\|_{M_p^t(w)} \lesssim R^{b-n} A^{-n} \|(1 + |A \cdot|^d) \phi\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{M_p^t(w)} \quad (2.3.25)$$

with the implicit constant independent of R, A, ϕ , and f .

The proof follows along the same lines as Lemma 2.3.2.

Proof. Proof of part (ii): Using Lemma 4.3 we have that

$$\left\| \Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k} \right\|_{M_p^t(w)} \lesssim 2^{k(b-n)} \|u_{j+k}\|_{M_p^t(w)}$$

where we have used boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on Morrey spaces when $0 < r < p/\tau_w$. Then by setting $r^* = \min(1, p, q)$ and using property (1) for Morrey spaces

$$2^{jsr^*} \left\| \Delta_j^\psi u \right\|_{M_p^t(w)}^{r^*} \lesssim 2^{jsr^*} \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} \left\| \Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k} \right\|_{M_p^t(w)}^{r^*} = \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} 2^{k(b-n-s)r^*} 2^{sr^*(j+k)} \|u_{j+k}\|_{M_p^t(w)}^{r^*}.$$

Now by applying Lemma A.3 we have

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{[p,t],q}^s(w)} \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} 2^{k(b-n-s)r^*} 2^{sr^*(j+k)} \|u_{j+k}\|_{M_p^t(w)}^{p^*} \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \right\|_{\ell^{q/r^*}}^{\frac{1}{r^*}} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}\|_{\ell^q(M_p^t(w))}$$

and part (ii) is shown for the finite family case. For a family that is not necessarily finite we apply the finite family case to $U_N - U_M := \sum_{j=M+1}^N u_j$. For finite q this gives us

$$\|U_N - U_M\|_{\dot{B}_{[p,t],q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{M+1 \leq j \leq N}\|_{\ell^q(M_p^t(w))} \leq \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}\|_{\ell^q(M_p^t(w))} < \infty$$

and by the dominated convergence theorem the left side converges to 0 as $M, N \rightarrow \infty$. So U_N converges in $B_{[p,t],q}^s(w)$. If $q = \infty$ then $\{2^{j(s-\epsilon)}\} \in \ell^1(M_p^t(w))$. By the case for finite q we have that $\sum_{j=0}^N u_j$ converges in $B_{[p,t],q}^{s-\epsilon}(w)$. Therefore it converges in \mathcal{S}' .

Proof of part (i): First we will assume that $u_j = 0$ for all but finitely many j . From Lemma 4.2 we have that

$$|\Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k}(x)| \lesssim 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-1-\frac{s}{n})} 2^{j(s+k)} \mathcal{M}_r(u_{j+k})(x)$$

where $\Delta_j^\psi u = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Delta_j^\psi u_\ell$. By Lemma 3.4 and the Fefferman-Stein inequality for Morrey spaces we get

$$\left\| \{2^{js} |\Delta_j^\psi u|\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \right\|_{M_t^p(w)(\ell^q)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} \mathcal{M}_r u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \right\|_{M_t^p(w)(\ell^q)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \right\|_{M_t^p(w)(\ell^q)}$$

for $0 < r < \min(\frac{p}{\tau_w}, q)$. Now we prove the theorem for families which are not necessarily finite. Let $U_N := \sum_{j=0}^N u_j$. Then by the finite family case we have that

$$\|u_N\|_{F_{[p,t],q}^s(w)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq N} \right\|_{M_t^p(w)(\ell^q)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\} \right\|_{M_t^p(w)(\ell^q)} < \infty.$$

Assume that $1 < q < \infty$. Because $\left\| \{2^{js} u_j\} \right\|_{M_t^p(w)(\ell^q)} < \infty$ we have that $\sup_j \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\} \right\|_{M_t^p(w)} < \infty$ which falls in the $q = \infty$ case for part (ii). Then $\sum_{j=0}^\infty u_j$ converges in S' and from the Fatou property

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|U_N\|_{F_{[p,t],q}^s(w)} \leq \|U\|_{F_{[p,t],q}^s(w)} v \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\} \right\|_{M_t^p(w)(\ell^q)}.$$

If $q = \infty$ then $\{2^{j(s-\epsilon)} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is in $\ell^1(M_p^t(w))$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then the case for finite q shows that $\{2^{j(s-\epsilon)} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ converges in $B_{[p,t],1}^{s-\epsilon}(w)$ and we have convergence in S' . Then using the finite family case we have

$$\|U_N\|_{F_{[p,t],\infty}^s(w)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq N} \right\|_{\ell^1(M_p^t(w))} \leq \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\} \right\|_{\ell^1(M_p^t(w))} < \infty$$

Then after using the Fatou property of $F_{[p,t],q}^s(w)$ we are finished. \square

2.3.3 Variable Lebesgue spaces

Let \mathcal{P}_0 be the collection of measurable functions $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$p_- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_+ := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) < \infty.$$

For $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0$, the variable-exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}$ consists of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{f(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\} < \infty;$$

such quasi-norm turns $L^{p(\cdot)}$ into a quasi-Banach space (Banach space if $p_- \geq 1$). We note that if $p(\cdot) = p$ is constant then $L^{p(\cdot)} \simeq L^p$ with equality of norms and that

$$\| |f|^t \|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = \|f\|_{L^{tp(\cdot)}}^t \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (2.3.26)$$

Let \mathcal{B} be the family of all $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0$ such that \mathcal{M} , the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, is bounded from $L^{p(\cdot)}$ to $L^{p(\cdot)}$. Such exponents satisfy $p_- > 1$ and the following log-Hölder continuity properties

- there exists a constant C_0 such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|x - y| < 1/2$

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C_0}{-\log(|x - y|)},$$

- there exist constants C_∞ and p_∞ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|p(x) - p_\infty| \leq \frac{C_\infty}{\log(e + |x|)}.$$

Furthermore if $\tau_0 > 0$ is such that $p(\cdot)/\tau_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ then $p(\cdot)/\tau \in \mathcal{B}$ for $0 < \tau < \tau_0$. Indeed, by Jensen's inequality it holds that $\mathcal{M}(f)^{\tau_0/\tau}(x) \leq \mathcal{M}(|f|^{\tau_0/\tau})(x)$ so by 2.3.26 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}f\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{\tau}}} &\leq \|\mathcal{M}(|f|^{\tau_0/\tau})^{\tau/\tau_0}\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{\tau}}} \\ &= \|\mathcal{M}(|f|^{\tau_0/\tau})\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{\tau_0}}}^{\tau/\tau_0} \\ &\leq \left\| |f|^{\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{\tau}}}^{\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}} \\ &= \|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{\tau}}} . \end{aligned}$$

We then define

$$\tau_{p(\cdot)} = \sup\{\tau > 0 : \frac{p(\cdot)}{\tau} \in \mathcal{B}\}, \quad p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0^*,$$

where \mathcal{P}_0^* denotes the class of variable exponents in \mathcal{P}_0 such that $p(\cdot)/\tau_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ for some $\tau_0 > 0$.

Note that $\tau_{p(\cdot)} \leq p_-$.

Given $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0$, the corresponding inhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces are denoted by $F_{p(\cdot),q}^s$ and $B_{p(\cdot),q}^s$, respectively. If $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0^*$, these spaces are independent of the functions ψ and φ given in Section 2.1.2 (see Xu [57]), contain $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and are quasi-Banach spaces. If $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $s > 0$, $F_{p(\cdot),2}^s$ coincides with the variable-exponent Sobolev space $W^{s,p(\cdot)}$ (see Gurka et al. [27] and Xu [56]). More general versions of variable-exponent Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces, where s and q are also allowed to be functions, were introduced in Diening et al. [18] and Almeida-Hästö [1], respectively. The local Hardy space with variable exponent $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0$, denoted $h^{p(\cdot)}$, is defined analogously to $h^p(w)$ with the quasi-norm in $L^p(w)$ replaced by the quasi-norm in $L^{p(\cdot)}$.

We now consider the analogues of properties 2.3-2.3 in the setting of variable Lebesgue based spaces.

For 2.3 we apply 2.3.26 to get for $r = \min(p_-, q, 1)$

$$\|f + g\|_{F_{p(\cdot),q}^s}^r \leq \|f\|_{F_{p(\cdot),q}^s}^r + \|g\|_{F_{p(\cdot),q}^s}^r,$$

$$\|f + g\|_{B_{p(\cdot),q}^s}^r \leq \|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot),q}^s}^r + \|g\|_{B_{p(\cdot),q}^s}^r.$$

To prove 2.3 we use [14, Corollary 2.28] and 2.3.26 to get the following version of Hölder's inequality: If $p_1(\cdot)$, $p_2(\cdot)$, $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0$ are such that $1/p(\cdot) = 1/p_1(\cdot) + 1/p_2(\cdot)$ then

$$\|fg\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1(\cdot)}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2(\cdot)}} \quad \forall f \in L^{p_1(\cdot)}, g \in L^{p_2(\cdot)}.$$

The case when $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0$ has $p_- \geq 1$ is shown in [14, Corollary 2.28]. If $0 < p_- < 1$ then we

use 2.3.26 to get

$$\|fg\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = \| |fg|^{p_-} \|_{L^{\frac{1}{p_-}}(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}$$

and then use the first case since $\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-} > 1$.

A Fefferman-Stein inequality in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces follows from the discussion in [14, Section 5.6.8] and (2.3.26). For property 2.3 we have the following: If $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0^*$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $0 < r < \min(\tau_{p(\cdot)}, q)$ then

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{M}_r(f_j)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} |f_j|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \quad \forall \{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\ell^q);$$

in particular, if $0 < r < \tau_{p(\cdot)}$ it holds that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_r(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \quad \forall f \in L^{p(\cdot)}.$$

Property 2.3, the Nikol'skij representation for $F_{p(\cdot),q}^s$ and $B_{p(\cdot),q}^s$, for variable exponent Lebesgue spaces is stated below.

Theorem 2.3.8. *For $D > 0$, let $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence of tempered distributions such that $\text{supp}(\widehat{u}_j) \subset B(0, D2^j)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0^*$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > n(1/\min(\tau_{p(\cdot)}, q, 1) - 1)$. If $\|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\ell^q)} < \infty$, then the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j$ converges in $F_{p(\cdot),q}^s$ (in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $q = \infty$) and*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} u_j \right\|_{F_{p(\cdot),q}^s} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\ell^q)},$$

where the implicit constant depends only on n , D , s , $p(\cdot)$ and q . An analogous statement holds true for $B_{p(\cdot),q}^s$ with $s > n(1/\min(\tau_{p(\cdot)}, 1) - 1)$.

Lemma 2.3.9. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0$, $A > 0$, $R \geq 1$ and $d > b > \frac{n}{\min(\tau_{p(\cdot)}, 1)}$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$\text{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \leq AR\}$. Then

$$\|\phi * f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \lesssim R^{b-n} A^{-n} \|(1 + |A \cdot|^d) \phi\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}, \quad (2.3.27)$$

with the implicit constant independent of R , A , ϕ , and f .

Proof. We first prove the theorem for finite families. Assume that $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that $u_j \equiv 0$ for all but finitely many j . Let D , $p(\cdot)$, q , and s be as in the hypotheses. Fix $0 < r < \min(1, p_-, q)$ such that $s > n(1/r - 1)$; note that this is possible since $s > n(1/\min(\tau_{p(\cdot)}, q, 1) - 1)$. Let $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $2^{k_0-1} < D \leq 2^{k_0}$, then

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{u_\ell}) \subset B(0, 2^\ell D) \subset B(0, 2^{\ell+k_0}) \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define $u = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} u_\ell$ and let ψ be as in the definition of $\dot{F}_{p(\cdot), q}^s(w)$. We have

$$\Delta_j^\psi u = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j^\psi u_\ell = \sum_{\ell=j-k_0}^{\infty} \Delta_j^\psi u_\ell = \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} \Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k}. \quad (2.3.28)$$

We will use Lemma 2.3.2 with $\phi(x) = 2^{jn} \psi(2^j x)$, $f = u_{j+k}$, $A = 2^j > 0$, and $R = 2^{k+k_0}$. (Notice that $\text{supp}(\widehat{u_{j+k}}) \subset B(0, 2^j 2^{k+k_0})$ and, since $k \geq -k_0$, we get $R \geq 1$.) Fixing $d > n/r$ and applying Lemma 2.3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k}(x)| &\lesssim 2^{k_0 n(\frac{1}{r}-1)} 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-1)} 2^{-jn} \|(1 + |2^j \cdot|^d) 2^{jn} \psi(2^j \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \mathcal{M}_r(u_{j+k})(x) \\ &\sim 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-1)} \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |2^j y|^d) |\psi(2^j y)| \right) \mathcal{M}_r(u_{j+k})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$2^{js} |\Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k}(x)| \lesssim 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-1-\frac{s}{n})} 2^{s(j+k)} \mathcal{M}_r(u_{j+k})(x),$$

and then, recalling (2.3.28),

$$2^{js} |\Delta_j^\psi u(x)| \lesssim \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-1-\frac{s}{n})} 2^{s(j+k)} \mathcal{M}_r(u_{j+k})(x).$$

Since $1/r - 1 - s/n < 0$, Lemma 2.3.4 yields

$$\left\| \{2^{js} |\Delta_j^\psi u|\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{L^p(w)(\ell^q)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} \mathcal{M}_r u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{L^p(w)(\ell^q)}$$

with an implicit constant independent of $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Applying the weighted Fefferman-Stein inequality to the right-hand side of the last inequality leads to the desired estimate

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{L^p(\ell^q)}.$$

For the space $\dot{B}_{p(\cdot),q}^s()$, let D , w , p , q and s be as in the hypotheses and k_0 be as above. Consider $\Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k}$ in (2.3.28) and apply Lemma 2.3.3 with $\phi(x) = 2^{jn} \psi(2^{-j}x)$, $f = u_{j+k}$, $A = 2^j$, $R = 2^{k+k_0}$, $d > b$ and $n/\min(1, p/\tau_w) < b < n + s$; note that such b exists since $s > \tau_p(w)$. We get

$$\left\| \Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \lesssim 2^{(k+k_0)(b-n)} 2^{-jn} \left\| (1 + |2^j \cdot|)^d 2^{jn} \psi(2^{-j} \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{j+k}\|_{L^p(w)} \sim 2^{k(b-n)} \|u_{j+k}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}},$$

and setting $p^* := \min(p_-, 1)$ we obtain

$$2^{jsp^*} \left\| \Delta_j^\psi u \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{p^*} \lesssim 2^{jsp^*} \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} \left\| \Delta_j^\psi u_{j+k} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{p^*} = \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} 2^{k(b-n-s)p^*} 2^{sp^*(j+k)} \|u_{j+k}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{p^*}.$$

Hence, applying Lemma 2.3.4, it follows that

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p(\cdot),q}^s} \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=-k_0}^{\infty} 2^{k(b-n-s)p^*} 2^{sp^*(j+k)} \|u_{j+k}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}^{p^*} \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q/p^* \frac{1}{p^*}} \lesssim \left\| \{2^{js} u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^q(L^{p(\cdot)})},$$

as desired. Now for families that are not necessarily finite let $U_N := \sum_{j=0}^N u_j$. First we

assume that $0 < q < \infty$. Then for $M \leq N$ we have

$$\|U_N - U_M\|_{\dot{F}_{p(\cdot),q}^s} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{M+1 \leq j \leq N}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\ell^q)}. \quad (2.3.29)$$

Now we use the following dominated convergence theorem in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces: *Suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise a.e. and $|f_n(x)| \leq |g(x)|$ for some $g \in L^{p(\cdot)}$. Then $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^{p(\cdot)}$.*

Applying this theorem with $f_{N,M} = \sum_{j=M+1}^N 2^{js}U_j$, $f = 0$, and $g = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j$ to get

$$\|U_N - U_M\|_{\dot{F}_{p(\cdot),q}^s} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{M+1 \leq j \leq N}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\ell^q)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M, N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.3.30)$$

Because $\dot{F}_{p(\cdot),q}^s$ is a quasi-Banach space it is complete so U_N converges in $\dot{F}_{p(\cdot),q}^s$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \right\|_{\dot{F}_{p(\cdot),q}^s} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\ell^q)}. \quad (2.3.31)$$

If $q = \infty$, we use that $\{2^{(s-\varepsilon)j}u_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ and $\{2^{(s+\varepsilon)j}u_j\}_{j < 0}$ belong to $\ell^1(L^{p(\cdot)})$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and apply Theorem 2.1.2 under the case of finite q to conclude that $\sum_{j=0}^N u_j$ and $\sum_{j=-N}^{-1} u_j$ converge in $\dot{B}_{p(\cdot),1}^{s-\varepsilon}$ and $\dot{B}_{p(\cdot),1}^{s+\varepsilon}$, respectively (choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $s - \varepsilon > n(1/\min(\tau_{p(\cdot)}, 1) - 1)$). Therefore, U_N convergence in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1.2 applied to the finite sequence $\{u_j\}_{-N \leq j \leq N}$, we have that $U_N \in \dot{F}_{p(\cdot),\infty}^s$ and

$$\|U_N\|_{\dot{F}_{p(\cdot),\infty}^s} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{-N \leq j \leq N}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\ell^\infty)} \leq \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\ell^\infty)},$$

with the implicit constant independent of N and $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Since $\dot{F}_{p(\cdot),\infty}^s$ has the Fatou property, we conclude that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} U_N = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j$ belongs to $\dot{F}_{p(\cdot),\infty}^s$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j \right\|_{\dot{F}_{p(\cdot),\infty}^s} \lesssim \|\{2^{js}u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\ell^\infty)}.$$

□

As a model result the we state the Leibniz type rule for variable exponent Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

Theorem 2.3.10. *For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\sigma(\xi, \eta)$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be an inhomogeneous Coifman-Meyer multiplier of order m . If $p(\cdot), p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0^*$ are such that $1/p(\cdot) = 1/p_1(\cdot) + 1/p_2(\cdot)$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > n(1/\min(\tau_{p(\cdot)}, q, 1) - 1)$, it holds that*

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{F_{p(\cdot), q}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p_1(\cdot), q}^{s+m}} \|g\|_{h^{p_2(\cdot)}} + \|f\|_{h^{p_1(\cdot)}} \|g\|_{F_{p_2(\cdot), q}^{s+m}} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, if $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0^*$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $s > n(1/\min(\tau_{p(\cdot)}, q, 1) - 1)$, it holds that

$$\|T_\sigma(f, g)\|_{F_{p(\cdot), q}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p(\cdot), q}^{s+m}} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{F_{p(\cdot), q}^{s+m}} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

2.4 Applications to scattering properties of PDEs

In this section we discuss applications of Theorem 1.0.1 to systems of partial differential equations involving powers of the Laplacian. The systems of partial differential equations that we study are on functions $u = u(t, x)$, $v = v(t, x)$, and $w = w(t, x)$, with $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, are of the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = vw, & \partial_t v + a(D)v = 0, & \partial_t w + b(D)w = 0, \\ u(0, x) = 0, & v(0, x) = f(x), & w(0, x) = g(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.4.32)$$

Here the operators $a(D)$ and $b(D)$ are *linear* Fourier multiplier operators with the symbols $a(\xi)$ and $b(\xi)$ respectively; that is, $\widehat{a(D)f}(\xi) = a(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$ and $\widehat{b(D)f}(\xi) = b(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$. Then formally, without taking issues of convergence into account, we get that

$$v(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ta(\xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi. \quad (2.4.33)$$

Indeed, using the system (2.4.32) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t v + a(D)v &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\widehat{\partial_t v(t, \cdot)}(\xi) + a(\xi)\widehat{v(t, \cdot)}(\xi)) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

so we must have $\widehat{\partial_t v(t, \cdot)}(\xi) + a(\xi)\widehat{v(t, \cdot)}(\xi) = 0$ where the Fourier transform is taken with the variable x . Then by interchanging the Fourier transform with the derivative with respect to t we get $\widehat{v}(t, \cdot)(\xi) = e^{-ta(\xi)} F(\xi)$ for some function F . Setting $t = 0$ and using system (2.4.32) it is apparent that $F(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi)$ and by inverting the Fourier transform we have

$$v(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ta(\xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

. A similar calculation shows that

$$w(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-tb(\eta)} \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \eta} d\eta.$$

These expressions for v and w yield that

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t v(s, x) w(s, x) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left(\int_0^t e^{-s(a(\xi) + b(\eta))} ds \right) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta.$$

Setting $\lambda(\xi, \eta) = a(\xi) + b(\eta)$ and assuming that λ never vanishes, the solution $u(t, x)$ can then be written as the action on f and g of the bilinear multiplier with symbol $\frac{1 - e^{-t\lambda(\xi, \eta)}}{\lambda(\xi, \eta)}$, that is,

$$u(t, x) = T_{\frac{1 - e^{-t\lambda}}{\lambda}}(f, g)(x). \quad (2.4.34)$$

Following Bernicot–Germain [7, Section 9.4], suppose there exists $u_\infty \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t, \cdot) = u_\infty \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n); \quad (2.4.35)$$

then, given a function space X , we say that the solution u of (2.4.32) scatters in the function space X if $u_\infty \in X$.

As an application of Theorems 1.0.1 and 2.2.5 we obtain the following scattering properties for solutions to systems of the type (2.4.32) involving powers of the Laplacian.

For $0 < p_1, p_2, p, q \leq \infty$ and $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$, set

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, tl} &= 2([n(1/\min(p, q, 1) + 1/\min(1, p_1/\tau_{w_1}, p_2/\tau_{w_2}, q))] + 1), \\ \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, b} &= 2([n(1/\min(p, q, 1) + 1/\min(1, p_1/\tau_{w_1}, p_2/\tau_{w_2}))] + 1).\end{aligned}$$

For $\delta > 0$ define

$$\mathcal{S}_\delta = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : |\eta| \leq \delta^{-1} |\xi| \text{ and } |\xi| \leq \delta^{-1} |\eta|\}.$$

Theorem 2.4.1. *Consider $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$; let $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$ and set $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$. Fix $\gamma > 0$; if γ is even, or $\gamma \geq \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, tl}$ in the setting of Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, or $\gamma \geq \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, b}$ in the setting of Besov spaces, assume $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$; otherwise, assume that $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are such that $\widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\eta)$ is supported in \mathcal{S}_δ for some $0 < \delta \ll 1$. Consider the system*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = vw, & \partial_t v + D^\gamma v = 0, & \partial_t w + D^\gamma w = 0, \\ u(0, x) = 0, & v(0, x) = f(x), & w(0, x) = g(x). \end{cases} \quad (2.4.36)$$

If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p, q}(w)$, the solution u of (2.4.36) scatters in $\dot{F}_{p, q}^s(w)$ to a function u_∞ that satisfies the following estimates:

$$\|u_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{p, q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p_1, q}^{s-\gamma}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{p_2, q}^{s-\gamma}(w_2)}, \quad (2.4.37)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of f and g . If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$, the solution u of (2.4.36) scatters in $\dot{B}_{p, q}^s(w)$ to a function u_∞ that satisfies the following

estimates

$$\|u_\infty\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p_1,q}^{s-\gamma}(w_1)} \|g\|_{H^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{H^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p_2,q}^{s-\gamma}(w_2)}, \quad (2.4.38)$$

where the Hardy spaces $H^{p_1}(w_1)$ and $H^{p_2}(w_2)$ must be replaced by L^∞ if $p_1 = \infty$ or $p_2 = \infty$, respectively, and the implicit constant is independent of f and g . If $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand sides of (2.4.37) and (2.4.38); moreover, if $w \in A_\infty$, then

$$\|u_\infty\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{s-\gamma}(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{\dot{F}_{p,q}^{s-\gamma}(w)},$$

where $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$, and the implicit constant is independent of f and g .

For $\delta > 0$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\delta = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : |\eta| \leq \delta^{-1}(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } |\xi| \leq \delta^{-1}(1 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}.$$

Theorem 2.4.2. Consider $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$; let $w_1, w_2 \in A_\infty$ and set $w = w_1^{p/p_1} w_2^{p/p_2}$. Fix $\gamma > 0$; if γ is even, or $\gamma \geq \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, tl}$ in the setting of Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, or $\gamma \geq \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, b}$ in the setting of Besov spaces, assume $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; otherwise, assume that $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are such that $\widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\eta)$ is supported in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\delta$ for some $0 < \delta \ll 1$. Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = vw, & \partial_t v + J^\gamma v = 0, & \partial_t w + J^\gamma w = 0, \\ u(0, x) = 0, & v(0, x) = f(x), & w(0, x) = g(x). \end{cases} \quad (2.4.39)$$

If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$, the solution u of (2.4.39) scatters in $F_{p,q}^s(w)$ to a function u_∞ that satisfies the following estimates:

$$\|u_\infty\|_{F_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p_1,q}^{s-\gamma}(w_1)} \|g\|_{h^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{h^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{F_{p_2,q}^{s-\gamma}(w_2)}, \quad (2.4.40)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of f and g . If $0 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and $s > \tau_p(w)$, the solution u of (2.4.39) scatters in $B_{p,q}^s(w)$ to a function u_∞ that satisfies the following estimates

$$\|u_\infty\|_{B_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p_1,q}^{s-\gamma}(w_1)} \|g\|_{h^{p_2}(w_2)} + \|f\|_{h^{p_1}(w_1)} \|g\|_{B_{p_2,q}^{s-\gamma}(w_2)}, \quad (2.4.41)$$

where the Hardy spaces $h^{p_1}(w_1)$ and $h^{p_2}(w_2)$ must be replaced by L^∞ if $p_1 = \infty$ or $p_2 = \infty$, respectively, and the implicit constant is independent of f and g . If $w_1 = w_2$ then different pairs of p_1, p_2 can be used on the right-hand sides of (2.4.40) and (2.4.41); moreover, if $w \in A_\infty$, then

$$\|u_\infty\|_{F_{p,q}^s(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^{s-\gamma}(w)} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{F_{p,q}^{s-\gamma}(w)},$$

where $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $s > \tau_{p,q}(w)$, and the implicit constant is independent of f and g .

Proof of Theorem 2.4.1. We have $a(\xi) = |\xi|^\gamma$ and $b(\eta) = |\eta|^\gamma$; therefore, $\lambda(\xi, \eta) = |\xi|^\gamma + |\eta|^\gamma$. Note that all corresponding integrals for $v(t, x)$, $w(t, x)$ and $u(t, x)$ are absolutely convergent for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If we further assume that $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Dominated Convergence Theorem implies that $u(t, \cdot) \rightarrow u_\infty$ both pointwise and in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$u_\infty(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (a(\xi) + b(\eta))^{-1} \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta = T_{\lambda^{-1}}(f, g)(x).$$

Indeed, by using the Taylor expansion of \widehat{f} and \widehat{g} we obtain

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \frac{\partial^\alpha \widehat{f}(0)}{\alpha!} \xi^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1} \frac{\partial^\alpha \widehat{f}(c_1 \xi)}{\alpha!} \xi^\alpha$$

and

$$\widehat{g}(\eta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor} \frac{\partial^\alpha \widehat{g}(0)}{\alpha!} \eta^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1} \frac{\partial^\alpha \widehat{g}(c_2 \eta)}{\alpha!} \eta^\alpha$$

for some $0 < c_1, c_2 < 1$. Then by using that $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $\text{partial}^\alpha \widehat{f}(0) = 0$ and

$\text{partial}^\alpha \widehat{g}(0) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$. From this we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1 - e^{-t(|\xi|^\gamma + |\eta|^\gamma)}}{|\xi|^\gamma + |\eta|^\gamma} \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\xi + \eta)} \right| \leq \sum_{|\alpha| = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor + 1} \left| \frac{1}{|\xi|^\gamma} \frac{\partial^\alpha \widehat{f}(c_1 \xi)}{\alpha!} \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial^\alpha \widehat{g}(c_2 \eta)}{\alpha!} \eta^\alpha \right|,$$

and the right-hand side is integrable in ξ and η . So by applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get that $u(t, \cdot)$ converges to u_∞ both pointwise and in \mathcal{S}' .

Next we note that λ^{-1} is homogeneous of degree $-\gamma$ so $\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \lambda^{-1}$ is homogeneous of degree $-\gamma - |\alpha + \beta|$. That is $\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \lambda^{-1}(r\xi, r\eta) = r^{-\gamma - |\alpha + \beta|} \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \lambda^{-1}(\xi, \eta)$ for any $r > 0$. By letting $r = (|\xi| + |\eta|)^{-1}$ we obtain

$$\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \lambda^{-1}(\xi, \eta) = (|\xi| + |\eta|)^{-\gamma - |\alpha + \beta|} \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{\xi}{(|\xi| + |\eta|)^{-1}}, \frac{\eta}{(|\xi| + |\eta|)^{-1}}\right).$$

We now want to show that λ^{-1} is a Coifman-Meyer multiplier; that is λ^{-1} satisfies (2.1.2).

If γ is an even positive integer then λ^{-1} satisfies the estimates (2.1.2) with $m = -\gamma$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Then, all estimates from Theorem 1.0.1 hold for $T_{\lambda^{-1}}$ and therefore the desired estimates follow for u_∞ with constants independent of $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let p_1, p_2, p, q, w_1, w_2 be as in the hypotheses. If $\gamma > 0$ and γ is not an even integer, then λ^{-1} satisfies the estimates (2.1.2) with $m = -\gamma$ as long as $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ are such that $|\alpha| < \gamma$ and $|\beta| < \gamma$; in particular, λ^{-1} satisfies (2.1.2) with $m = -\gamma$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $|\alpha + \beta| < \gamma$. In view of Remark ??, all estimates from Theorem 1.0.1 hold for $T_{\lambda^{-1}}$ if $\gamma \geq \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, tl}$ in the context of Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and if $\gamma \geq \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, b}$ in the context of Besov spaces; as a consequence, the desired estimates follow for u_∞ with constants independent of $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for such values of γ .

On the other hand, if $0 < \gamma < \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, tl}$ in the Triebel–Lizorkin space setting or $0 < \gamma < \gamma_{p_1, p_2, p, q}^{w_1, w_2, b}$ in the Besov space setting, and γ is not an even positive integer, consider $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that $\text{supp}(h) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\delta/2}$ and $h \equiv 1$ on \mathcal{S}_δ . Then, for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta)$ is supported in \mathcal{S}_δ we have $h(\xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta)$; therefore, $T_{\lambda^{-1}}(f, g) = T_\Lambda(f, g)$, where $\Lambda(\xi, \eta) = h(\xi, \eta)/(|\xi|^\gamma + |\eta|^\gamma)$. The multiplier Λ verifies (2.1.2) with $m = -\gamma$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$

(with constants that depend on δ). Then all estimates from Theorem 1.0.1 hold for T_Λ and therefore the desired estimates follow for u_∞ with constants dependent on δ and independent of $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\eta)$ is supported in \mathcal{S}_δ . \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4.2. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.4.1 with $\lambda(\xi, \eta) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\gamma/2} + (1 + |\eta|^2)^{\gamma/2}$ and an application of Theorem 2.2.5. \square

Bibliography

- [1] A. Almeida and P. Hästö. Besov spaces with variable smoothness and integrability. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 258(5):1628–1655, 2010.
- [2] Á. Bényi. Bilinear pseudodifferential operators with forbidden symbols on Lipschitz and Besov spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 284(1):97–103, 2003.
- [3] Á. Bényi, D. Maldonado, V. Naibo, and R. H. Torres. On the Hörmander classes of bilinear pseudodifferential operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 67(3):341–364, 2010.
- [4] Á. Bényi, A. R. Nahmod, and R. H. Torres. Sobolev space estimates and symbolic calculus for bilinear pseudodifferential operators. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 16(3):431–453, 2006.
- [5] Á. Bényi and R. H. Torres. Symbolic calculus and the transposes of bilinear pseudodifferential operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 28(5-6):1161–1181, 2003.
- [6] Árpád Bényi, Lucas Chaffee, and Virginia Naibo. Strongly singular bilinear calderón-zygmund operators and a class of bilinear pseudodifferential operators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.09710*, 2018.
- [7] F. Bernicot and P. Germain. Bilinear oscillatory integrals and boundedness for new bilinear multipliers. *Adv. Math.*, 225(4):1739–1785, 2010.
- [8] G. Bourdaud. L^p estimates for certain nonregular pseudodifferential operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 7(9):1023–1033, 1982.
- [9] J. Bourgain and D. Li. On an endpoint Kato-Ponce inequality. *Differential Integral Equations*, 27(11-12):1037–1072, 2014.

- [10] J. Brummer and V. Naibo. Weighted fractional Leibniz-type rules for bilinear multiplier operators. *Preprint*, 2017.
- [11] J. Brummer and V. Naibo. Bilinear operators with homogeneous symbols, smooth molecules, and Kato-Ponce inequalities. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(3):1217–1230, 2018.
- [12] M. Christ and M. Weinstein. Dispersion of small amplitude solutions of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 100(1):87–109, 1991.
- [13] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer. *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, volume 57 of *Astérisque*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1978.
- [14] D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza. *Variable Lebesgue spaces*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. Foundations and harmonic analysis.
- [15] D. Cruz-Uribe, J. M. Martell, and C. Pérez. *Weights, extrapolation and the theory of Rubio de Francia*, volume 215 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
- [16] D. Cruz-Uribe and V. Naibo. Kato-Ponce inequalities on weighted and variable Lebesgue spaces. *Differential Integral Equations*, 29(9-10):801–836, 2016.
- [17] Guy David and Jean-Lin Journé. A boundedness criterion for generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 120(2):371–397, 1984.
- [18] L. Diening, P. Hästö, and S. Roudenko. Function spaces of variable smoothness and integrability. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(6):1731–1768, 2009.
- [19] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth. Decomposition of Besov spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 34(4):777–799, 1985.

- [20] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth. A discrete transform and decompositions of distribution spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 93(1):34–170, 1990.
- [21] L. Grafakos. *Modern Fourier analysis*, volume 250 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2014.
- [22] L. Grafakos, D. Maldonado, and V. Naibo. A remark on an endpoint Kato-Ponce inequality. *Differential Integral Equations*, 27(5-6):415–424, 2014.
- [23] L. Grafakos and S. Oh. The Kato-Ponce inequality. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(6):1128–1157, 2014.
- [24] Loukas Grafakos and Rodolfo H. Torres. Maximal operator and weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integrals. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 51(5):1261–1276, 2002.
- [25] Loukas Grafakos and Rodolfo H. Torres. Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory. *Adv. Math.*, 165(1):124–164, 2002.
- [26] A. Gulisashvili and M. Kon. Exact smoothing properties of Schrödinger semigroups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 118(6):1215–1248, 1996.
- [27] P. Gurka, P. Harjulehto, and A. Nekvinda. Bessel potential spaces with variable exponent. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 10(3):661–676, 2007.
- [28] J. Hart, R.H. Torres, and X. Wu. Smoothing properties of bilinear operators and Leibniz-type rules in Lebesgue and mixed Lebesgue spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/7312>.
- [29] Jodi Herbert and Virginia Naibo. Bilinear pseudodifferential operators with symbols in Besov spaces. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.*, 5(2):231–254, 2014.

- [30] Jodi Herbert and Virginia Naibo. Besov spaces, symbolic calculus, and boundedness of bilinear pseudodifferential operators. In *Harmonic analysis, partial differential equations, complex analysis, Banach spaces, and operator theory. Vol. 1*, volume 4 of *Assoc. Women Math. Ser.*, pages 275–305. Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [31] R. Hunt. On $L(p, q)$ spaces. *Enseignement Math. (2)*, 12:249–276, 1966.
- [32] M. Izuki, Y. Sawano, and H. Tanaka. Weighted Besov-Morrey spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. In *Harmonic analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B22, pages 21–60. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2010.
- [33] J. Johnsen. Domains of pseudo-differential operators: a case for the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, 3(3):263–286, 2005.
- [34] T. Kato and G. Ponce. Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41(7):891–907, 1988.
- [35] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 46(4):527–620, 1993.
- [36] K. Koezuka and N. Tomita. Bilinear Pseudo-differential Operators with Symbols in $BS_{1,1}^m$ on Triebel–Lizorkin Spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 24(1):309–319, 2018.
- [37] H. Kozono and M. Yamazaki. Semilinear heat equations and the Navier-Stokes equation with distributions in new function spaces as initial data. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 19(5-6):959–1014, 1994.
- [38] Andrei K. Lerner, Sheldy Ombrosi, Carlos Pérez, Rodolfo H. Torres, and Rodrigo Trujillo-González. New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory. *Adv. Math.*, 220(4):1222–1264, 2009.

- [39] J. Marschall. Nonregular pseudo-differential operators. *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, 15(1):109–148, 1996.
- [40] A. L. Mazzucato. Besov-Morrey spaces: function space theory and applications to non-linear PDE. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355(4):1297–1364, 2003.
- [41] Y. Meyer. Remarques sur un théorème de J.-M. Bony. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)*, (suppl. 1):1–20, 1981.
- [42] N. Michalowski, D. Rule, and W. Staubach. Multilinear pseudodifferential operators beyond Calderón-Zygmund theory. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 414(1):149–165, 2014.
- [43] A. Miyachi and N. Tomita. Calderón-Vaillancourt-type theorem for bilinear operators. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 62(4):1165–1201, 2013.
- [44] Akihiko Miyachi and Naohito Tomita. Bilinear pseudo-differential operators with exotic symbols. *Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications*, pages 1–17, 2018.
- [45] Akihiko Miyachi and Naohito Tomita. Bilinear pseudo-differential operators with exotic symbols, ii. *Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications*, pages 1–17, 2018.
- [46] Benjamin Muckenhoupt. Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 165:207–226, 1972.
- [47] C. Muscalu and W. Schlag. *Classical and multilinear harmonic analysis. Vol. II*, volume 138 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [48] V. Naibo. On the bilinear Hörmander classes in the scales of Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 21(5):1077–1104, 2015.
- [49] Virginia Naibo. On the $L^\infty \times L^\infty \rightarrow BMO$ mapping property for certain bilinear pseudodifferential operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 143(12):5323–5336, 2015.

- [50] S. M. Nikol'skiĭ. *Approximation of functions of several variables and imbedding theorems*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg., 1975. Translated from the Russian by John M. Danskin, Jr., Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 205.
- [51] B. J. Park. On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators on triebel-lizorkin and besov spaces. *Preprint, arXiv:1602.08811v2*, 2016.
- [52] Bui Huy Qui. Weighted Besov and Triebel spaces: interpolation by the real method. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 12(3):581–605, 1982.
- [53] Salvador Rodríguez-López and Wolfgang Staubach. Estimates for rough Fourier integral and pseudodifferential operators and applications to the boundedness of multilinear operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 264(10):2356–2385, 2013.
- [54] M. Rosenthal and H.-J. Schmeisser. The boundedness of operators in Muckenhoupt weighted Morrey spaces via extrapolation techniques and duality. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 29(3):623–657, 2016.
- [55] H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010. Reprint of 1983 edition. Also published in 1983 by Birkhäuser Verlag.
- [56] J. Xu. The relation between variable Bessel potential spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 19(7-8):599–605, 2008.
- [57] J. Xu. Variable Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 33(2):511–522, 2008.
- [58] M. Yamazaki. A quasihomogeneous version of paradifferential operators. I. Boundedness on spaces of Besov type. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 33(1):131–174, 1986.

Appendix A

Title for This Appendix

Enter the content for Appendix A in the appendixA.tex file. If you do not have an Appendix A, see comments in the etdrtemplate.tex file for instructions on how to remove this page.