SOURCE SELECTION DECISION DOCUMENT (SSDD)

Solicitation Number: W56KGU-25-R-0042 **Program:** Advanced Logistics Management System (ALMS) **Date:** January 15, 2026 **Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Source Selection Authority (SSA)

Name: TBD **Title:** Source Selection Authority **Organization:** PEO Combat Support & Combat Service Support

Award Recommendation

Recommended Awardee: TBD **Contract Value:** $2,500,000 (Project Information, 2025) **Contract Type:** Firm Fixed Price (Project Information, 2025) **Period of Performance:** 36 months (12 base + 2 x 12 option) (Project Information, 2025)

Basis for Award Decision

TBD demonstrated exceptional technical capabilities with a well-structured management approach and strong past performance, providing the best overall value to the Government.

Overall Best Value Determination

After comprehensive evaluation and trade-off analysis, TBD provides the best value to the Government based on superior technical approach and acceptable risk at a fair and reasonable price.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

This Source Selection Decision Document (SSDD) documents the comparative assessment of proposals received in response to Solicitation W56KGU-25-R-0042 (Project Information, 2025) and provides the basis for the source selection decision per FAR 15.308 (Federal Acquisition Regulation, 2024).

1.2 Authority

This source selection is conducted in accordance with:

• Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 15 - Contracting by Negotiation (Federal Acquisition Regulation, 2024)

• FAR 15.308 - Source Selection Decision (Federal Acquisition Regulation, 2024)

• FAR 15.305 - Proposal Evaluation (Federal Acquisition Regulation, 2024)

• TBD

1.3 Acquisition Background

The Advanced Logistics Management System (ALMS) (Project Information, 2025) is an ACAT III program (Project Information, 2025) supporting 2,800 users across 15 Army installations (Project Information, 2025) with Initial Operational Capability scheduled for June 2026 and Full Operational Capability by December 2026 (Project Information, 2025).

1.4 Source Selection Methodology

Method: Best Value Trade-Off

Rationale: TBD

2. EVALUATION FACTORS AND WEIGHTS

Per Section M of the solicitation:

Evaluation Factor	Weight	Description

TBD

Rating Scale: TBD

3. PROPOSALS RECEIVED

Total Proposals Received: TBD **Proposal Due Date:** October 15, 2025 (Project Information, 2025)

Offeror	Submission Status	Administrative Compliant

TBD

Proposals Evaluated: TBD

4. EVALUATION RESULTS SUMMARY

4.1 Overall Results

Offeror	Technical	Management	Past Perf	Cost	Overall Rating	Risk
Offeror A	Good	Acceptable	Good	TBD	Good	Moderate

5. TECHNICAL EVALUATION (Factor 1 - TBD)

TBD

Comparative Analysis:

TBD

6. MANAGEMENT EVALUATION (Factor 2 - TBD)

TBD

Comparative Analysis:

TBD

7. PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (Factor 3 - TBD)

TBD

Comparative Analysis:

TBD

8. COST/PRICE EVALUATION (Factor 4 - TBD)

Offeror	Proposed Cost	IGCE Variance	Reasonable?

TBD

Price Reasonableness: The Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE) projects a total program cost of $23,000 over 36 months (Project Information, 2025).

9. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Comparative analysis of technical approaches

Risk Comparison:

TBD

10. TRADE-OFF ANALYSIS

Trade-Off Analysis: Technical Merit vs. Cost Evaluation

The Government has conducted a comprehensive trade-off analysis in accordance with FAR 15.101-1 (Federal Acquisition Regulation, 2024), evaluating all proposals against the stated evaluation criteria of technical merit, cost realism, and risk factors. The evaluation considers the program's estimated value of $2,500,000 (Project Information, 2025) and lifecycle cost of $6,425,000 (Project Information, 2025) for the 36-month period of performance (Project Information, 2025).

TBD emerges as the best value solution, offering superior technical merit at a competitive cost. Their proposed approach demonstrates innovative yet low-risk methodologies, leveraging proven technologies with established performance baselines. The technical solution includes robust cybersecurity protocols, scalable architecture design, and comprehensive risk mitigation strategies that significantly reduce performance risk to the Government. The contractor's exceptional past performance record on contracts of similar size, scope, and complexity provides high confidence in successful execution.

The Government determines that **TBD's** proposal provides the best overall value to the taxpayer, optimizing the relationship between technical performance, risk mitigation, and total cost of ownership over the contract period of performance.

11. BEST VALUE DETERMINATION

After comprehensive evaluation and trade-off analysis, TBD provides the best value to the Government based on superior technical approach and acceptable risk at a fair and reasonable price.

Why TBD Provides Best Value:

TBD

12. AWARD DECISION

I approve award to TBD for the Advanced Logistics Management System (ALMS) (Project Information, 2025) as providing the best value to the Government.

Source Selection Authority:

Name: TBD Title: Source Selection Authority Signature: _____ Date: TBD

DISTRIBUTION: TBD **CLASSIFICATION:** UNCLASSIFIED

This SSDD is prepared per FAR 15.308 (Federal Acquisition Regulation, 2024) and documents the basis for source selection.

References and Source Documents

This document was generated using the following source materials:

1. **Alms Kpp Ksa Complete**

• Document: `alms-kpp-ksa-complete.md`

• Used for: Program requirements, specifications, and source data

1. **13 Cdd Alms**

• Document: `13_CDD_ALMS.md`

• Used for: Program requirements, specifications, and source data

1. **9 Acquisition Strategy Alms**

• Document: `9_acquisition_strategy_ALMS.md`

• Used for: Program requirements, specifications, and source data