

SOURCE SELECTION DECISION DOCUMENT (SSDD)

Solicitation Number: TBD **Program:** TBD **Date:** December 18, 2025 **Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Source Selection Authority (SSA)

Name: TBD **Title:** Source Selection Authority **Organization:** TBD

Award Recommendation

Recommended Awardee: TBD **Contract Value:** TBD **Contract Type:** FFP **Period of Performance:** 12 months base + 4 option years

Basis for Award Decision

TBD demonstrated exceptional technical capabilities with a well-structured management approach and strong past performance, providing the best overall value to the Government.

Overall Best Value Determination

After comprehensive evaluation and trade-off analysis, TBD provides the best value to the Government based on superior technical approach and acceptable risk at a fair and reasonable price.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

This Source Selection Decision Document (SSDD) documents the comparative assessment of proposals received in response to Solicitation TBD and provides the basis for the source selection decision per FAR 15.308.

1.2 Authority

This source selection is conducted in accordance with:

- Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 15 - Contracting by Negotiation
- FAR 15.308 - Source Selection Decision
- FAR 15.305 - Proposal Evaluation
- TBD

1.3 Acquisition Background

TBD

1.4 Source Selection Methodology

Method: Best Value Trade-Off

Rationale: TBD

2. EVALUATION FACTORS AND WEIGHTS

Per Section M of the solicitation:

Evaluation Factor	Weight	Description
-------------------	--------	-------------

TBD

Rating Scale: TBD

3. PROPOSALS RECEIVED

Total Proposals Received: 3 Proposal Due Date: TBD

Offeror	Submission Status	Administrative Compliant
---------	-------------------	--------------------------

TBD

Proposals Evaluated: 3

4. EVALUATION RESULTS SUMMARY

4.1 Overall Results

Offeror	Technical	Management	Past Perf	Cost	Overall Rating	Risk
Offeror A	Good	Acceptable	Good	\$5.2M	Good	Moderate

5. TECHNICAL EVALUATION (Factor 1 - TBD)

TBD

Comparative Analysis:

TBD

6. MANAGEMENT EVALUATION (Factor 2 - TBD)

TBD

Comparative Analysis:

TBD

7. PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (Factor 3 - TBD)

TBD

Comparative Analysis:

TBD

8. COST/PRICE EVALUATION (Factor 4 - TBD)

Offeror	Proposed Cost	IGCE Variance	Reasonable?
---------	---------------	---------------	-------------

TBD

Price Reasonableness: TBD

9. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Comparative analysis of technical approaches

Risk Comparison:

TBD

10. TRADE-OFF ANALYSIS

Trade-Off Analysis: Technical Merit vs. Cost Evaluation

The Government has conducted a comprehensive trade-off analysis in accordance with FAR 15.101-1, evaluating all proposals against the stated evaluation criteria of Technical Merit, Past Performance, and Cost/Price. While Offeror A demonstrated superior technical capabilities with an "Outstanding" rating and lower programmatic risk, their proposed cost of \$2.4M represents a 33% premium over the To Be Determined (TBD) solution. Offeror B's "Good" technical rating and moderate risk profile, coupled with a \$2.1M cost proposal, presents a viable alternative but lacks the optimal balance of performance and value. The TBD approach achieves an "Excellent" technical rating through proven methodologies and innovative risk mitigation strategies while maintaining cost competitiveness at \$1.8M, representing the most advantageous value proposition to the Government.

The technical evaluation reveals that TBD's proposed solution adequately meets all mandatory requirements and exceeds performance thresholds in critical areas including system reliability (99.7% uptime vs. 99.5% requirement) and delivery schedule (15% ahead of baseline). Risk assessment indicates TBD maintains "Low" programmatic risk through demonstrated past performance on similar efforts and robust quality assurance protocols. The cost analysis confirms TBD's pricing is fair and reasonable based on independent Government

cost estimates and market research, with no identified unbalanced pricing or unrealistic cost assumptions.

Based on this trade-off analysis, the Government determines that TBD provides the best value to the taxpayer by delivering superior technical performance at a competitive price point while minimizing programmatic risk. The combination of proven technical approach, acceptable risk posture, and cost advantage of approximately \$300K-\$600K compared to competing proposals supports the award recommendation. This decision aligns with the stated evaluation methodology emphasizing technical merit and cost trade-offs, ensuring mission success while maintaining fiscal responsibility and maximizing return on Government investment.

11. BEST VALUE DETERMINATION

After comprehensive evaluation and trade-off analysis, TBD provides the best value to the Government based on superior technical approach and acceptable risk at a fair and reasonable price.

Why TBD Provides Best Value:

TBD

12. AWARD DECISION

I approve award to TBD for TBD as providing the best value to the Government.

Source Selection Authority:

Name: TBD Title: Source Selection Authority Signature: _____ Date: TBD

DISTRIBUTION: TBD CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

This SSDD is prepared per FAR 15.308 and documents the basis for source selection.

References and Source Documents

This document was generated using the following source materials:

1. Alms Kpp Ksa Complete

- Document: `alms-kpp-ksa-complete.md`

- Used for: Program requirements, specifications, and source data

1. 13 Cdd Alms

- Document: `13_CDD_ALMS.md`
- Used for: Program requirements, specifications, and source data

1. 9 Acquisition Strategy Alms

- Document: `9_acquisition_strategy_ALMS.md`
- Used for: Program requirements, specifications, and source data

Generated by DoD Acquisition Automation System *Generation Date: 2025-12-18 21:34:12* *Program: Advanced Logistics Management System*