

Proof a Day — 2026

Alexander Crabtree

Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 Weeks 1–13 | 2 |
| 1.1 Week 1 (Jan 1–Jan 7) | 2 |
| 2026-01-01 — Divisibility | 2 |
| 2026-01-02 — Divisibility | 2 |
| 2026-01-03 — Divisibility | 2 |
| 2026-01-04 — Rings | 2 |
| 2026-01-05 — Rings | 3 |
| 2026-01-06 — Rings | 3 |

1 Weeks 1–13

1.1 Week 1 (Jan 1–Jan 7)

2026-01-01 Divisibility

Theorem 1.1. *If $a|c$ and $b|c$ and $\gcd(a, b) = d$, then $ab|cd$. $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$*

Proof. As $d = \gcd(a, b)$, we have that $d = au + bv$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. We now have that $cd = c(au + bv) = cau + cbv$. Since $a|c$ and $b|c$, $c = ax = by$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting c results in $cd = cau + cbv = (by)au + (ax)bv = (ab)(yu + xv)$. As $u, v, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $yu + xv \in \mathbb{Z}$. By definition of divisibility, $ab|cd$. \square

2026-01-02 Divisibility

Theorem 1.2. *If $a|bc$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then $a|c$.*

Proof. Assume that $a|bc$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. As a and b are relatively prime, $au + bv = 1$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. If we multiply $au + bv = 1$ by c , then we have $cau + cbv = c$. As $a|bc$, $bc = ax$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, we have that

$$c = cau + cbv = cau + bcu = cau + (ax)v = a(cu + xv)$$

Since $c, u, x, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and by the definition of divisibility, $a|c$. \square

2026-01-03 Divisibility

Theorem 1.3. *If $\gcd(a, c) = 1$ and $\gcd(b, c) = 1$, then $\gcd(ab, c) = 1$.*

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that $\gcd(ab, c) \neq 1$. Thus, there exists a prime p such that

$$p|ab \text{ and } p|c.$$

Since p is prime, $p|a$ or $p|b$. If $p|a$, then $\gcd a, c \geq p > 1$, which contradicts the assumption that $\gcd(a, c) = 1$. If $p|b$, then $\gcd b, c \geq p > 1$, which contradicts the assumption that $\gcd(b, c) = 1$. Therefore ab and c share no such prime factors p , so $\gcd(ab, c) = 1$. \square

2026-01-04 Rings

Theorem 1.4. *If $a + b = a + c$ in a ring R , then $b = c$.*

Proof. Assume that for $a, b, c \in R$, $a + b = a + c$. If we add $-a$ and by using the associativity property of rings and negatives, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} -a + (a + b) &= -a + (a + c) \\ (-a + a) + b &= (-a + a) + c \\ 0_R + b &= 0_R + c \\ b &= c \end{aligned}$$

□

2026-01-05

Rings

Theorem 1.5. *If $a \neq 0_R$ and $ab = ac$ in an integral domain R , then $b = c$.*

Proof. If $ab = ac$, then $ab - ac = 0_R$. Thus, $a(b - c) = 0_R$. As R is an integral domain and $a \neq 0_R$, it must be that $b - c = 0_R$. If $b - c \neq 0_R$, then a would be a zero divisor contradicting the zero-product property of integral domains. Thus $b = c$. □

2026-01-06

Rings

Theorem 1.6. *Every field is an integral domain.*

Proof. To show that a field F is an integral domain, it suffices to show that F satisfies the zero product property. Take any $a, b \in F$ such that $ab = 0_F$. We must show that $a = 0_F$ or $b = 0_F$. Assume that $ab = 0_F$. Without loss of generality, if $b = 0_F$, then we are done, so assume that $b \neq 0_F$. As F is a field, b is a unit in F . By definition of a unit, we have that

$$a = a1_F = a(bb^{-1}) = (ab)b^{-1} = 0_Fb^{-1} = 0_F$$

So, for all $a, b \in F$, $a = 0_F$ or $b = 0_F$. □