Classes & Objects: A few more tricks of the trade



But first ... which would you prefer (and why, or when)?

```
class Point:
...
def move(self, dx, dy):
self.x = self.x + dx
self.y = self.y + dy
```

mutable style

```
class Point:
...
def move(self, dx, dy):
    return Point(self.x+dx, self.y+dy)
```

immutable

Mutable and immutable classes in Python

```
Python has immutable classes int, str, frozenset, tuple
Python has mutable classes
list, dict, set
```

Python has mutating and non-mutating versions of some methods
list.sort() and list.reverse()
vs. sorted(li) and reversed(li)



Some considerations

Sometimes a small change is cheaper than a copy (e.g., change one element of a list)

Sometimes mutation makes sharing (aliasing) difficult

The 'str' class of Python illustrates both:

A loop to compose a string from letters is expensive

But you don't have to worry about accidental changes to another copy of a string!



Mutation gotcha

Suppose we reuse some Point objects in a Rect method, e.g., def scale(self, sfx, sfy):

```
urx = self.ll.x + sfx * (self.ur.x - self.ll.x)
ury = self.ll.y + sfy * (self.ur.y – self.ll.y)
return Rect(self.ll, Point(urx, ury))
```

def move(self, dx, dy):

```
self.ll.move(dx,dy)
self.ur.move(dx,dy)
```



Rules of thumb

Immutability is nice if the cost is acceptable Fewer nasty surprises; more flexible Mutable objects must be clonable

Be clear:

Not returning a result is a clear indication of mutation.

If a method or function returns a result, it should either be non-mutating OR it should very clearly and explicitly document the change.



Magic methods! (aka special methods)

```
__repr__(self): "official" representation
str (self): "informal" representation
__lt__, __le__, __gt___, __ge___,
 ___eq___, ___ne___:
  comparisons \langle , \langle =, \rangle, \rangle = , ==, !=
  (all independent except ne calls eq )
  bool (self): truthiness, for use in
  if thing:
```

Collection magic:

```
len (self) : number of elements
 getitem (self, key) : thing[key]
__setitem__(self, key, value) :
 thing[key] = value
iter (self) : iterator
  for el in thing:
contains (self, key): membership
 if el in thing
```



Numeric and Logical operations

```
__add__(self, other), __sub__,
__mul__, __truediv__, __floordiv__,
__mod__, ...:
+, -, *, /, //, %, ...
```

__and__(self, other), __xor__, __or__
and, xor, or

And even more. (Don't try to memorize them.)

Why so much magic?

So that you can write natural-looking, understandable code operating on objects from custom classes.

E.g., maybe Rect + Point -> Rect?

Maybe len(Polyline) is the number of points?

Maybe Polyline[i] is the i'th point?

Maybe our collection has special properties, but can still be indexed like a list?



Class methods

Most methods are called through *objects*, like obj.m(...)

Sometimes we want a method in the *class* itself, typically as an alternative constructor, called a *factory method*



What is the difference?

```
class Polyline:
  @classsmethod
 def from rect(cls, p):
      return cls([(p.llx, p.lly),
                 (p.urx, p.ury)])
VS.
  @classsmethod
 def from rect(cls, p):
       return Polyline([(p.llx, p.lly),
                   (p.urx, p.ury)])
```



Let's build a class (or classes)

Option 1: Bag of letters (for Scrabble, anagrams, ...) [Collection class]

Option 2: Combinatorial logic gates (simulated circuit) [Related subclasses]

Option 3: <Your idea here>



Class design exercise (option)

For some word games (anagrams, scrabble, ...), a "bag of letters" class is useful

Bag: like a set, but with counts of elements

Operations:

Create a bag from a string

Can string s be made from bag b?

(others ... what do you want?)



Class design exercise (option)

Combinatorial gates: And, Or, Not, ..., plus sources & sinks.

Each gate calculates an output signal based on its input signals.

Set sources to try a combination; sinks are where we read the outcome

Combinatorial as versus Sequential: No loops, steady output for steady input

