

- 21.) Let  $v = \inf(S)$  and  $y \in S$  such that  $y > v$ . For the sake of establishing a contradiction, assume that no element  $s \in S$  exists such that  $s < y$ . Consequently,  $y \leq x$  for all  $x \in S$ , thus  $y$  is a lower bound of  $S$ , but  $y > v$ , thus  $v \neq \inf(S) \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ , thus for all  $y \in S$  such that  $y > v$ , there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $s < y$ . Q.E.D.
- 25.) Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  be a lower bound of  $B$ , thus  $v \leq x$  for all  $x \in B$ . Since for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a \in B$ ,  $v \leq a$  for all  $a \in A$ , thus  $A$  is bounded below. Now, let  $v_b = \inf(B)$  and  $v_a = \inf(A)$ . Since  $v_a \geq v$  for all lower bounds  $v$  of  $A$ , and since  $v_b$  is a lower bound of  $A$ ,  $v_a \geq v_b$ , thus  $\inf(A) \geq \inf(B)$ . Q.E.D.
- 28.) a.) Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  be an upper bound of  $S$ , thus  $u \geq x$  for all  $x \in S$ , thus  $-u \leq -x$  for all  $x \in S$ , thus  $-u \leq y$  for all  $y \in -S$ , thus  $-u$  is a lower bound of  $-S$ , thus  $-S$  is bounded below. Q.E.D.
- b.) Let  $u = \sup(S)$ . Since  $u$  is an upper bound of  $S$ ,  $-u$  is a lower bound of  $-S$ . For the sake of establishing a contradiction, suppose there exists  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $-u < v$  and  $v$  is a lower bound of  $-S$ , thus  $u > -v$ . Since  $v$  is a lower bound of  $-S$ ,  $v \leq y$  for all  $y \in -S$ , thus  $-v \geq -y$  for all  $y \in -S$ , thus  $-v \geq x$  for all  $x \in S$ , thus  $-v$  is an upper bound of  $S$ , but since  $u > -v$ ,  $u \neq \sup(S) \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ , thus  $-\sup(S) = -u = \inf(-S)$ . Q.E.D.
- 29.) a.) Since  $S \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$ . In addition, since  $S$  is bounded below, there exists  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $v = \inf(S)$ , thus  $v \geq x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{L}$ , thus  $v$  is an upper bound of  $\mathcal{L}$ , thus  $\mathcal{L}$  is bounded above. Q.E.D.
- b.) Let  $w = \sup(\mathcal{L})$ . For the sake of establishing a contradiction, suppose there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $x < w$ , thus  $x$  is not an upper bound of  $\mathcal{L}$ , thus there exists  $l \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $l > x$ , thus  $l$  is not a lower bound of  $S$ , thus  $l \notin \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ , thus  $x \in S \Rightarrow x \geq w$ , thus  $w$  is a lower bound of  $S$ . Q.E.D.
- c.) Since  $w = \sup(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $w \geq l$  for all  $l \in \mathcal{L}$ , thus  $w \geq l$  for all lower bounds  $l$  of  $S$ , thus  $w = \inf(S)$ . Q.E.D.
- 30.) a.) For unbounded sets, infinite suprema and infima make sense, as for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ . In addition, there exists no  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y < -\infty$  or  $y > \infty$ , thus  $\sup(S) = \infty$  and  $\inf(S) = -\infty$ .
- b.) If we constrict the empty set to being a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then we can reason that  $\infty$  and  $-\infty$  are vacuously upper and lower bounds of the empty set. Since  $\infty > -\infty$ ,  $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$ , and  $\sup(\emptyset) = -\infty$ .

- 31.) a.) False; let  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 \leq x < \pi\}$ . By definition, all  $x \in S$  are rational, but  $\sup(S) = \pi$  is irrational.
- b.) False; let  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} : 0 < x < 3\}$ . By definition, all  $x \in S$  are irrational, but  $\sup(S) = 3$  is rational.

33.) Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , and consider  $|x|$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x| &= |x - y + y| \leq |x - y| + |y| \\
 &\implies |x| \leq |x - y| + |y| \\
 &\implies |x| - |y| \leq |x - y| \\
 &\implies (|x| - |y|) - (|x| - |y|) - |x - y| \leq |x| - |y| \leq |x - y| \\
 &\implies 0 - |x - y| = -|x - y| \leq |x| - |y| \leq |x - y| \\
 &\implies \left| |x| - |y| \right| \leq |x - y|
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the inequality holds. Q.E.D.

35.) Let  $S$  be a bounded set, thus there exist upper and lower bounds  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  of  $S$ , thus  $v \leq x \leq u$  for all  $x \in S$ . Let  $M = \max(|u|, |v|)$ , thus  $M \geq 0$ ,  $M \geq u$  and  $-M \leq v$ , thus for all  $x \in S$ ,  $-M < x < M$ , thus  $|x| < M$  for some  $M \geq 0$ .

Now suppose there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that  $|x| \leq M$  for all  $x \in S$ , thus  $-M \leq x \leq M$ , thus  $-M \leq x$  and  $x \leq M$  for all  $x$ , thus  $-M$  and  $M$  are lower and upper bounds of  $S$  respectively, thus  $S$  is bounded, thus  $S$  is bounded if and only if there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that  $|x| \leq M$  for all  $x \in S$ . Q.E.D.

36.) When learning the various integration techniques taught in calculus 2, I noticed that some of them involved manipulating the  $dx$  term. I initially found this confusing, as I thought that  $\frac{d}{dx}$  was a single operator that could not be separated. I later found out that this was not the case, and that it can often be treated just like a fraction. What helped me to realize this was when I watched a video series that explained calculus in a more intuitive, less purely algebraic way. In this context,  $dx$  being a separate variable simply made sense.

- 39.) a.) A sequence is defined as a function  $x(n)$  such that  $x : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- b.) The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 : \exists k \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq k \implies |x_n - L| < \epsilon$$

- 43.) a.)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{10n} = 0$
- b.)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin n$  diverges
- c.) Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow 15$  and  $x_n \rightarrow -77$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow 15$ ,  $x_n$  gets arbitrarily close to 15. Also, since  $x_n \rightarrow -77$ ,  $x_n$  gets arbitrarily close to  $-77$ . However, as  $x_n$  gets closer to 15,  $x_n$  moves farther from  $-77$ , and vice versa, thus  $x_n$  cannot get arbitrarily close to both, thus  $x_n$  cannot converge to both.