

29.) a.) Since  $S$  is bounded below,  $x \in S \implies x \geq M$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $l < M$ , thus  $l < M \leq x$ , thus  $l < x$  for all  $x \in S$ , thus  $l$  is a lower bound of  $S$ , thus  $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$ . Next, let  $x \in S$ , thus  $x \geq l$  for all lower bounds  $l$  of  $S$ , thus  $x$  is an upper bound of  $\mathcal{L}$ , thus  $\mathcal{L}$  is bounded above. Q.E.D.

b.) Let  $w = \sup(\mathcal{L})$ , thus  $w \geq l$  for all  $l \in \mathcal{L}$ .

46.) Suppose  $2^{-n} \rightarrow 0$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  where

$$|2^{-n} - 0| < \varepsilon$$

Manipulating the inequality:

$$|2^{-n} - 0| = |2^{-n}| = 2^{-n} < \varepsilon \implies \frac{1}{\varepsilon} < 2^n$$

Thus

$$|2^{-n} - 0| = \left| \frac{1}{2^n} \right| < \left| \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \right| = |\varepsilon| = \varepsilon$$

Thus  $n \geq k \implies |2^{-n} - 0| < \varepsilon$ , thus  $2^{-n} \rightarrow 0$ . Q.E.D.

47.) Let  $y_n \rightarrow 0$ , thus for all  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  where

$$n \geq k \implies |y_n - 0| < \varepsilon_1$$

Now suppose  $x_n$  is a bounded sequence, and  $x_n y_n \rightarrow 0$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$n \geq k \implies |x_n y_n - 0| < \varepsilon$$

Manipulating the inequality:

$$|x_n y_n - 0| = |x_n y_n| = |x_n| |y_n - 0| < \varepsilon \implies |y_n - 0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x_n|}$$

Let  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{|x_n|}$ , then

$$|y_n - 0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x_n|} \implies |x_n| |y_n - 0| < \varepsilon \implies |x_n y_n - 0| < \varepsilon$$

Thus  $n \geq k \implies |x_n y_n - 0| < \varepsilon$ , thus  $x_n y_n \rightarrow 0$ . Q.E.D.

50.) Since  $x_n \rightarrow A$ , then for all  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  where

$$n \geq k \implies |x_n - A| < \varepsilon_1$$

Now suppose  $c x_n \rightarrow c A$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$n \geq k \implies |c x_n - c A| < \varepsilon$$

Manipulating the inequality:

$$|cx_n - cA| < |c(x_n - A)| = |c| |x_n - A| < \varepsilon$$

$$\implies |x_n - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|}$$

Let  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|}$ , then

$$|x_n - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} \implies |c| |x_n - A| < \varepsilon \implies |cx_n - cA| < \varepsilon$$

Thus  $n \geq k \implies |cx_n - cA| < \varepsilon$ , thus  $cx_n \rightarrow cA$ . Q.E.D.

52.) Let  $M, N \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $M \geq x_n$  and  $N \geq y_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider  $z_n = x_n + y_n$ .  
 $x_n + y_n \leq M + N$ , thus  $z_n \leq M + N$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus  $z_n$  is bounded. Q.E.D.

53.) a.) False; many sequences diverge.

b.) True; Since  $x_n$  diverges, then we know that  $x_n^2 = x_n x_n$  diverges too.

54.) a.)  $(-1)^n$

b.) DNE; A sequence cannot converge to a value while having terms that are arbitrarily far from that value.

62.) Assume  $x_n \rightarrow A$ , thus for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k$  such that

$$n \geq k \implies |x_n - A| < \varepsilon$$

Since  $x_n < M$ , we know that

$$|x_n - A| < |M - A|$$

\*\*\*

63.) Since  $S$  is nonempty and bounded below, there exists some  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $v = \inf(S)$ .  
 Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence where  $x_n = v$ , thus  $x_n \rightarrow \inf(S)$ , thus there exists a sequence  $x_n$  where  $x_n \rightarrow \inf(S)$ . Q.E.D.

65.) So far, the topic I have had the most trouble grasping is  $\varepsilon$ - $k$  convergence proofs. I understand the process of constructing the proof, but am still working on understanding the logic.

77.) Let  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  be sequences where  $x_n = y_n = n$ . Since  $n$  is strictly increasing, so are  $x_n$  and  $y_n$ . However  $x_n - y_n = n - n = 0$ , and 0 is not strictly increasing, thus  $x_n - y_n$  is not strictly increasing.

- 79.) A subsequence of  $x_n$  is a sequence  $y_k$  such that  $y_k = x_{n_k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $n_k$  is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers.
- 80.) You can view subsequences as a more abstract form of function composition. You have  $x_n$ , which is a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and you have  $n_k$ , which is a function  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . When you let  $y_k = x_{n_k}$ , this is equivalent to  $y_k = f(g(k))$ . Since the codomain of  $g$  and domain of  $f$  are the same, namely  $\mathbb{N}$ , we know this function composition is valid.
- 81.) Let  $y_k = x_{2k}$ , thus  $y_k = (-1)^{2k} = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus  $y_k \rightarrow 1$ , thus  $y_k$  is convergent.
- 82.) a.) DNE, if  $y_k \preceq x_n$  and  $x_n \rightarrow L$ , then  $y_k \rightarrow L$  as per theorem 19.  
b.)  $n - (-1)^n n$ ,  $x_n$  diverges, but  $y_k = x_{2k} = 2k - (-1)^{2k} 2k = 2k - 2k = 0$ ,  $\therefore y_n \rightarrow 0$ .
- 83.) Setting  $x_n = y_k$  and solving for  $n$ , we can find a suitable  $n_k$ :

$$2n - 1 = 8k + 1 \implies 2n = 8k + 2 \implies n = 4k + 1$$

Thus when  $n_k = 4k + 1$ ,  $x_{n_k} = 2(4k + 1) - 1 = 8k + 2 - 1 = 8k + 1 = y_k$ , thus  $y_k \preceq x_n$ . Q.E.D.

- 84.) Setting  $x_n = y_k$  and solving for  $n$ , we can find a suitable  $n_k$ :

$$2n - 1 = 8k^2 + 24k + 17 \implies 2n = 8k^2 + 24k + 18 \implies n = 4k^2 + 12k + 9$$

Thus when  $n_k = 4k^2 + 12k + 9$ ,  $x_{n_k} = 2(4k^2 + 12k + 9) - 1 = 8k^2 + 24k + 17 = y_k$ , thus  $y_k \preceq x_n$ . Q.E.D.

- 85.) a.) \*\*\*  
b.) \*\*\*
- 86.) a.) True; Let  $n_k = k$ , thus  $x_k = x_{n_k}$ , thus  $x \preceq x$ .  
b.) False; Let  $x_n = (-1)^n$  and  $y_n = (-1)^{n+1}$ .  
c.) True; Let \*\*\*
- 90.) Since  $x_n$  is bounded,  $x_n \leq M$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ . In addition, since  $y \preceq x$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $y_k = x_n$ , thus  $y_k = x_n \leq M$ , thus  $y_k \leq M$  for all  $k$ , thus  $y_k$  is bounded. Q.E.D.
- 91.) a.)  $S = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$  are the friends of  $x_n$ .  
b.)  $S = \{1\}$  is the friend of  $y_n$ .
- 92.)  $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 21 \leq n \leq 56\}$  are the friends of  $z_n$ .
- 93.) Since  $x_n$  is bounded,  $x_n \leq M$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider  $\bar{x}_n$ : \*\*\*

113.)  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  is a cluster point of  $S$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $0 < |x - z| < \varepsilon$ .

114.) Let  $S = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |x - y| < r\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y| < r &\implies -r < x - y < r \implies -r - x < -y < r - x \\ &\implies x - r < y < x + r \implies S = (x - r, x + r) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{y \in \mathbb{R} : |x - y| < r\} = (x - r, x + r)$  Q.E.D.

115.)  $\mathbb{Z}$  has no cluster points.

116.) 1 is a cluster point of  $[0, 1)$ .

117.) For 0 to be a cluster point of  $[1, 2]$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $a \in [1, 2]$  where  $|a - 0| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$|a - 0| = |a| < \frac{1}{2} \implies -\frac{1}{2} < a < \frac{1}{2}$$

But since  $-\frac{1}{2} < a < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $a \notin [1, 2]$ , thus  $a \in [1, 2]$  does not exist for 0, thus 0 is not a cluster point of  $[1, 2]$ . Q.E.D.

124.) Let  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c \in E'$ , and  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f(x) \rightarrow L$  as  $x \rightarrow c$ , or  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - c| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ .

125.) Suppose  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = a$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  where

$$|x - c| < \delta \implies |a - a| < \varepsilon$$

Since  $|a - a| = |0| = 0 < \varepsilon$ ,  $|a - a| < \varepsilon$ , thus  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = a$ . Q.E.D.

126.) Suppose  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} 3x + 1 = 7$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  where

$$|x - 2| < \delta \implies |3x + 1 - 7| < \varepsilon$$

We can manipulate the inequality to find a sufficient value for  $\delta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |3x + 1 - 7| &= |3x - 6| = |3(x - 2)| = 3|x - 2| < \varepsilon \\ &\implies |x - 2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ , then we know that  $|x - 2| < \delta$ . Manipulating the inequality:

$$|x - 2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \implies 3|x - 2| = |3(x - 2)| = |3x - 6| = |3x + 1 - 7| < \varepsilon$$

Thus  $|x - 2| < \delta \implies |3x + 1 - 7| < \varepsilon$ , thus  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} 3x + 1 = 7$ . Q.E.D.

127.) Suppose  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} x^2 = 25$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  where

$$|x - 5| < \delta \implies |x^2 - 25| < \varepsilon$$

We can manipulate the inequality to find a sufficient value for  $\delta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |x^2 - 25| &= |(x - 5)(x + 5)| = |x - 5| |x + 5| < \varepsilon \\ \implies |x - 5| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{|x + 5|} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{|x + 5|}$ , then we know that  $|x - 5| < \delta$ . Manipulating the inequality:

$$|x - 5| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x + 5|} \implies |x - 5| |x + 5| = |(x - 5)(x + 5)| = |x^2 - 25| < \varepsilon$$

Thus  $|x - 5| < \delta \implies |x^2 - 25| < \varepsilon$ , thus  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} x^2 = 25$ . Q.E.D.

128.) Suppose  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{x} = 2$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  where

$$\left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \delta \implies \left| \frac{1}{x} - 2 \right| < \varepsilon$$

We can manipulate the inequality to find a sufficient value for  $\delta$ :

$$\left| \frac{1}{x} - 2 \right| = * * *$$