- 28.) a.) Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  be an upper bound of S, thus  $u \geq x$  for all  $x \in S$ , thus  $-u \leq -x$  for all  $x \in S$ , thus  $-u \leq y$  for all  $y \in -S$ , thus -u is a lower bound of -S, thus -S is bounded below. Q.E.D.
  - b.) Let  $u = \sup(S)$ . Since u is an upper bound of S, -u is a lower bound of -S. For the sake of establishing a contradiction, suppose there exists  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  such that -u < v and v is a lower bound of -S, thus u > -v. Since v is a lower bound of -S,  $v \le y$  for all  $y \in -S$ , thus  $-v \ge -y$  for all  $y \in -S$ , thus  $-v \ge x$  for all  $x \in S$ , thus -v is an upper bound of S, but since u > -v,  $u \ne \sup(S) \Rightarrow \leftarrow$ , thus  $-\sup(S) = -u = \inf(-S)$ . Q.E.D.
- 30.) a.) For unbounded sets, infinite suprema and infima make sense, as for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ . In addition, there exists no  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y < -\infty$  or  $y > \infty$ , thus  $\sup(S) = \infty$  and  $\inf(S) = -\infty$ .
  - b.) \*\*\*
- 33.) Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , and consider |x|:

$$|x| = |x - y + y| \le |x - y| + |y|$$

$$\implies |x| \le |x - y| + |y|$$

$$\implies |x| - |y| \le |x - y|$$

$$\implies (|x| - |y|) - (|x| - |y|) - |x - y| \le |x| - |y| \le |x - y|$$

$$\implies 0 - |x - y| = -|x - y| \le |x| - |y| \le |x - y|$$

$$\implies |x| - |y| \le |x - y|$$

Thus the inequality holds. Q.E.D.

- 36.) When learning the various integration techniques taught in calculus 2, I noticed that some of them involved manipulating the dx term. I initially found this confusing, as I though that  $\frac{d}{dx}$  was a single operator that could not be separated. I later found out that this was not the case, and that it can often be treated just like a fraction. What helped me to realize this was when I watched a video series that explained calculus in a more intuitive, less purely algebraic way. In this context, dx being a separate variable simply made sense.
- 38.) Let  $u = \sup(S)$ , thus  $u \ge x$  for all  $x \in S$ . For the sake of establishing a contradiction, suppose  $u \notin S$ , then for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $u = x + \epsilon$  for some  $x \in S$ . Consider  $x + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$ ,
- 39.) a.) A sequence is defined as a function x(n) such that  $x: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

b.) The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 : \exists k \in \mathbb{N} : n \ge k \implies |x_n - L| < \epsilon$$

- 40.) awd
- 41.) awd
- 42.) We can simplify the fraction to  $\frac{7}{25n-10}$ .
- 43.) a.)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{10n} = 10$ 
  - b.)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sin n$  diverges
  - c.) Suppose  $x_n \to 15$  and  $x_n \to -77$ . Since  $x_n \to 15$ ,  $x_n$  gets arbitrarily close to 15. Also, since  $x_n \to -77$ ,  $x_n$  gets arbitrarily close to -77. However, as  $x_n$  gets closer to 15,  $x_n$  moves farther from -77, and vice versa, thus  $x_n$  cannot get arbitrarily close to both, thus  $x_n$  cannot converge to both.
- 44.) a.) Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence such that  $x_n \to L$ , then by definition for all n > k for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|x_n L| < \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . By the reverse triangle inequality, we know that  $\Big||x_n| |L|\Big| < |x_n L| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon_1 = |x_n L|$ , thus  $\Big||x_n| |L|\Big| < \epsilon_1$  for some  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  given n > k, thus  $|x_n| \to |L|$ . Q.E.D.
  - b.) Consider the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $x_n =$