

6) There exists a non-negative continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is integrable, but where  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ . However, if  $f$  is uniformly continuous and integrable, then  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$ .

*Proof:* Let  $g(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k \chi_{I_k}(x)$  where  $I_k = [k, k + 1/k^3)$ . By **Corollary 10**, we have that

$$\int g(x) dx = \int \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k \chi_{I_k}(x) dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int k \chi_{I_k}(x) dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k \cdot m(I_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty,$$

thus  $g$  is integrable. For each  $k$ , define the function  $g_k(x) = \min \{h_k(x), h'_k(x)\} \chi_{I_k}(x)$ , where

$$h_k(x) = 2k^4(x - k) \quad \text{and} \quad h'_k(x) = -2k^4(x - k - 1/k^3).$$

$h_k$  and  $h'_k$  are both lines, and thus continuous. Additionally, the minimum of two continuous functions is continuous, thus  $g_k$  is continuous on  $I_k$ . We also have that  $g_k(k) = g_k(k + 1/k^3) = 0$ , and since  $g_k$  is clearly zero outside of  $I_k$ , we have that  $g_k$  is continuous everywhere.

We will now show that  $g_k(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x$  and  $k$ . If  $k \leq x < k + 1/2k^3$ , then

$$h_k(x) < 2k^4(k + 1/2k^3 - k) = 2k^4/2k^3 = k = g(x) < h'_k(x),$$

and if  $k + 1/2k^3 \leq x < k + 1/k^3$ , we have

$$h'_k(x) \leq -2k^4(k + 1/2k^3 - k - 1/k^3) = -2k^4/(-2k^3) = k = g(x) \leq h_k(x),$$

and since  $g(x) = g_k(x) = 0$  for  $x$  not contained in any  $I_k$ , we have that  $g_k(x) \leq g(x)$  everywhere.

Now, define the function  $f$  as

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} g_k(x)$$

The  $I_k$  are disjoint, and so if  $x \in [k, k + 1/k^3)$  for some  $k$ , then  $f(x) = g_k(x)$  and  $f(x) = g_m(x) = 0$  for all  $m \neq k$ . This combined with the fact that  $g_k(x)$  is continuous everywhere for all  $k$  shows that  $f$  is continuous. We also have for all  $x$  that  $f(x) \leq g_k(x) \leq g(x)$  for some  $k$ , and thus by monotonicity  $f$  is integrable. Finally, since  $f(k + 1/2k^3) = k$  for arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ , thus completing the first part of the proof.  $\blacktriangle$

Next, restrict  $f$  to being uniformly continuous and integrable, and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , define the intervals  $I_k = [k, k + 1]$ . The uniform continuity of  $f$  guarantees a minimum value over all compact sets, thus we can define  $x_k = \min_{x \in I_k} f(x)$ . Additionally, since the

intervals  $I_k$  are almost disjoint, we have that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} x_k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} x_k m(I_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \int_{I_k} x_k dx \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \int_{I_k} f(x) dx \leq \int f(x) dx < \infty,$$

thus the sum  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} x_n$  must be finite. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L > 0$ , then for any  $0 < \varepsilon < L$ , we can choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $x > N \implies |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ , thus if  $x > N$ , we have that  $f(x) > L - \varepsilon$ . But we have that

$$\infty = \sum_{k > N} L - \varepsilon \leq \sum_{k > N} x_k \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} x_k \leq \int f(x) dx,$$

which is a contradiction. This forces  $L = 0$  since  $f$  is non-negative, showing that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$ . Defining  $g(x) = f(-x)$  and using the immediate result, we can see that  $0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} g(-x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x)$ , thus  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$  and the proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

**10)** Fix a non-negative measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  define the sets  $E_k$  and  $F_k$  as follows:

$$E_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^k < f(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad F_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^k < f(x) \leq 2^{k+1}\},$$

then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $f$  is integrable
- (b)  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k m(E_k) < \infty$
- (c)  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k m(F_k) < \infty$

*Proof:* We will show that (a)  $\implies$  (b)  $\implies$  (c)  $\implies$  (a).

First, suppose  $f$  is integrable. Using **Corollary 10** we can see that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k m(E_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{E_k} 2^k dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int 2^k \chi_{E_k}(x) dx = \int \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \chi_{E_k}(x) dx.$$

We also have that  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \chi_{E_k}(x) < 2f(x)$ , since if  $2^n < f(x) \leq 2^{n+1}$ , then

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \chi_{E_k}(x) = \sum_{k \leq n} 2^k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-k} + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} 2^k = 1 + 2^{n+1} - 1 = 2^{n+1} < 2f(x),$$

which shows that

$$\int \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \chi_{E_k}(x) dx < \int 2f(x) dx = 2 \int f(x) dx < \infty,$$

thus proving (a)  $\implies$  (b). Next, assume (b). Because  $F_k \subset E_k$ , we have that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k m(F_k) < \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k m(E_k) < \infty,$$

showing that (b)  $\implies$  (c). Finally, assume (c). Since  $f$  is non-negative, we have that  $\{f \neq 0\} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_k$ , and since the  $F_k$  are disjoint, we have

$$\int f(x) dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{F_k} f(x) dx \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{F_k} 2^{k+1} dx = 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^k m(F_k) < \infty,$$

thus (c)  $\implies$  (a), completing the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary)** Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and define the functions  $f_a$  and  $g_a$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as

$$f_a(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-a} & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g_a(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-a} & \text{if } |x| > 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

then  $f_a$  is integrable if and only if  $0 < a < d$ , and  $g_a$  is integrable if and only if  $a > d$ .

*Proof:* Define the sets  $F_k$  with  $f(x) = |x|^{-a}$  as before. Solving for  $|x|$ , we find that

$$F_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^{-(k+1)/a} \leq |x| < 2^{-k/a}\}.$$

Additionally,  $F_k = 2^{-k/a} F$ , where  $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^{-1/a} \leq |x| < 1\}$ , and thus  $m(F_k) = (2^{-k/a})^d m(F)$ . This combined with the fact that, for  $f_a$ ,  $k < 0$  implies  $F_k = \emptyset$ , shows that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k m(F_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^k m(F_k) = m(F) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{k(1-d/a)},$$

but this series converges if and only if  $1 - d/a$  is negative, i.e. when  $0 < a < d$ , thus by the previous theorem we have established that  $f_a$  is integrable if and only if  $0 < a < d$ . We also have that, for  $g_a(x)$ ,  $k \geq 0$  implies  $F_k = \emptyset$ , thus

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k m(F_k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{m(F_{-k})}{2^k} = m(F) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{k(d/a-1)},$$

which converges if and only if  $d/a - 1$  is negative, i.e. when  $a > d$ , and again by the previous theorem we have proven the case of  $g_a$ .  $\blacksquare$

**11)** If  $f$  is a real-valued function integrable on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and if  $\int_E f \geq 0$  for all measurable sets  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $f \geq 0$  a.e.

*Proof:* Suppose the proposition is false, then there exists some  $r > 0$  where the set  $E = \{f < -r\}$  has non-zero measure. Since  $f$  is integrable and thus measurable, we have that  $E$  is also measurable. From this, we see that

$$\int_E f(x) dx < \int_E -r dx = -r \cdot m(E) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction since  $E$  is measurable. Thus, we must have that  $m(E) = 0$  for all  $r > 0$ , showing that  $f \geq 0$  a.e.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary)** If  $\int_E f = 0$  for all measurable sets  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $f = 0$  a.e.

*Proof:* By the previous theorem, we have that  $f \geq 0$  a.e. We also have that  $\int_E -f = -\int_E f = 0$  for all  $E$ , showing that  $-f \geq 0$  a.e., and thus  $f \leq 0$  a.e. This proves that  $0 \leq f \leq 0$  a.e., showing  $f = 0$  a.e.  $\blacksquare$

**12)** There exists an integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and a sequence of integrable functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  where, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\|f - f_n\| \rightarrow 0$  but  $f_n \rightarrow f$  nowhere.

*Proof:* For  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the sets  $I_{k,m}$  as

$$I_{k,m} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : (m-1)/2^k \leq |x| < m/2^k\}.$$

We will consider the sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined as  $f_n = \chi_{I_{k,m}}$ , where  $f_1 = \chi_{I_{1,1}}$ , and for  $k$  to increase,  $m$  must traverse from 1 to  $k2^k$ . For example, the sequence starts like this:

| $n$   | 1                | 2                | 3                | 4                | 5                | 6                | 7                |
|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| $f_n$ | $\chi_{I_{1,1}}$ | $\chi_{I_{1,2}}$ | $\chi_{I_{2,1}}$ | $\chi_{I_{2,2}}$ | $\chi_{I_{2,3}}$ | $\chi_{I_{2,4}}$ | $\chi_{I_{2,5}}$ |
| $n$   | 8                | 9                | 10               | 11               | 12               | 13               | 14               |
| $f_n$ | $\chi_{I_{2,6}}$ | $\chi_{I_{2,7}}$ | $\chi_{I_{2,8}}$ | $\chi_{I_{3,1}}$ | $\chi_{I_{3,2}}$ | $\chi_{I_{3,3}}$ | $\chi_{I_{3,4}}$ |

Now, define the set  $I_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < r\}$  if  $r > 0$  and  $I_r = \emptyset$  if  $r = 0$ . Fixing  $k$ , we can see that

$$I_{k,m} = I_{m/2^k} \setminus I_{(m-1)/2^k},$$

and since  $I_{r_1} \subseteq I_{r_2}$  if  $r_1 \leq r_2$ , we have that

$$m(I_{k,m}) = m(I_{m/2^k}) - m(I_{(m-1)/2^k}) = m(I_1) \left( \frac{m^d}{2^{kd}} - \frac{(m-1)^d}{2^{kd}} \right)$$

$$= m(I_1) \left( \frac{m^d - (m-1)^d}{2^{kd}} \right).$$

Note that the derivative of  $x^d - (x-1)^d$  w.r.t.  $x$  is  $d(x^{d-1} - (x-1)^{d-1})$ , which is positive for all  $x > 0$ , thus  $m^d - (m-1)^d$  is increasing over the positive integers. Using this we see that  $m_1 \leq m_2$  implies that  $m(I_{k,m_1}) \leq m(I_{k,m_2})$ , and thus

$$\int \chi_{I_{k,m_1}}(x) dx = m(I_{k,m_1}) \leq m(I_{k,m_2}) = \int \chi_{I_{k,m_2}}(x) dx.$$

Additionally, we have that

$$m(I_{k,k2^k}) = m(I_1) \left( \frac{k^d 2^{kd} - (k2^k - 1)^d}{2^{kd}} \right), \quad (1)$$

as well as

$$k^d 2^{kd} - (k2^k - 1)^d = -(d-1)k^{d-1}2^{k(d-1)} + \dots + (-1)^{d-1}(d-1)k2^k + (-1)^d \leq M2^{k(d-1)},$$

where  $M$  is any constant larger than the sum of the binomial coefficients in the expansion of  $(k2^k - 1)^d$ . Note that  $M$  is defined independently of  $k$ , thus we can see that (1) is bounded by  $M2^{k(d-1)}/2^{kd} = M/2^k$ , but this tends to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and thus (1) tends to 0 as well. Consequently, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n(x) dx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \chi_{I_{\eta_k, \eta_m}}(x) dx \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \chi_{I_{\eta_k, \eta_k 2^{\eta_k}}}(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(I_{k, k2^k}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta_k$  and  $\eta_m$  are the corresponding values of  $k$  and  $m$  for each  $n$  taken in the limit. Thus, setting  $f(x) = 0$ , we have shown that  $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some function  $f$ .

We will now show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists nowhere. Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Note that for fixed  $k$ , the sets  $I_{k,m}$  are disjoint over  $1 \leq m \leq k2^k$ , and that

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq k2^k} I_{k,m} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < k\}.$$

Thus, if  $|x| = r$ , then for each integer  $k > r$ , there exists  $m_k$  where  $x \in I_{k,m_k}$ . This means that  $x$  is contained in infinitely many of the  $I_{k,m}$ , hence  $f_n(x) = 1$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For fixed  $k > r$ , we have that  $x$  is contained in one of  $k2^k$  disjoint sets, and thus for each  $k$  we have  $m'_k$  where  $x \notin I_{k,m'_k}$ , thus showing that  $f_n(x) = 0$  for infinitely many  $n$ . This is only possible when  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  does not exist at  $x$ , thus completing the proof.  $\blacksquare$