

62.) Assume $x_n \rightarrow A$, thus for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists k such that

$$n \geq k \implies |x_n - A| < \varepsilon$$

Since $x_n < M$, we know that

$$|x_n - A| < |M - A|$$

63.) Since S is nonempty and bounded below, there exists some $v \in \mathbb{R}$ where $v = \inf(S)$. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence where $x_n = v$, thus $x_n \rightarrow \inf(S)$, thus there exists a sequence x_n where $x_n \rightarrow \inf(S)$. Q.E.D.

65.) So far, the topic I have had the most trouble grasping is ε - k convergence proofs. I understand the process of constructing the proof, but am still working on understanding the logic.

77.) Let x_n and y_n be sequences where $x_n = y_n = n$. Since n is strictly increasing, so are x_n and y_n . However $x_n - y_n = n - n = 0$, and 0 is not strictly increasing, thus $x_n - y_n$ is not strictly increasing.

79.) A subsequence of x_n is a sequence y_k such that $y_k = x_{n_k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where n_k is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers.

80.) You can view subsequences as a more abstract form of function composition. You have x_n , which is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and you have n_k , which is a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. When you let $y_k = x_{n_k}$, this is equivalent to $y_k = f(g(k))$. Since the codomain of g and domain of f are the same, namely \mathbb{N} , we know this function composition is valid.

81.) Let $y_k = x_{2k}$, thus $y_k = (-1)^{2k} = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, thus $y_k \rightarrow 1$, thus y_k is convergent.

82.) a.) DNE, if $y_k \preceq x_n$ and $x_n \rightarrow L$, then $y_k \rightarrow L$ as per theorem 19.

b.) $n - (-1)^n n$, x_n diverges, but $y_k = x_{2k} = 2k - (-1)^{2k} 2k = 2k - 2k = 0$, $\therefore y_n \rightarrow 0$.

83.) Setting $x_n = y_k$ and solving for n , we can find a suitable n_k :

$$2n - 1 = 8k + 1 \implies 2n = 8k + 2 \implies n = 4k + 1$$

Thus when $n_k = 4k + 1$, $x_{n_k} = 2(4k + 1) - 1 = 8k + 2 - 1 = 8k + 1 = y_k$, thus $y_k \preceq x_n$. Q.E.D.

84.) Setting $x_n = y_k$ and solving for n , we can find a suitable n_k :

$$2n - 1 = 8k^2 + 24k + 17 \implies 2n = 8k^2 + 24k + 18 \implies n = 4k^2 + 12k + 9$$

Thus when $n_k = 4k^2 + 12k + 9$, $x_{n_k} = 2(4k^2 + 12k + 9) - 1 = 8k^2 + 24k + 17 = y_k$, thus $y_k \preceq x_n$. Q.E.D.

85.) a.) ***

b.) ***

86.) a.) True; Let $n_k = k$, thus $x_k = x_{n_k}$, thus $x \preceq x$.

b.) False; Let $x_n = (-1)^n$ and $y_n = (-1)^{n+1}$.

c.) True; Let ***

90.) Since x_n is bounded, $x_n \leq M$ for some $M \in \mathbb{R}$. In addition, since $y \preceq x$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_k = x_n$, thus $y_k = x_n \leq M$, thus $y_k \leq M$ for all k , thus y_k is bounded. Q.E.D.

91.) a.) $S = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ are the friends of x_n .

b.) $S = \{1\}$ is the friend of y_n .

92.) $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 21 \leq n \leq 56\}$ are the friends of z_n .

93.) Since x_n is bounded, $x_n \leq M$ for some $M \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider \bar{x}_n : ***

113.) $z \in \mathbb{R}$ is a cluster point of S if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x \in S$ such that $0 < |x - z| < \varepsilon$.

114.) Let $S = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |x - y| < r\}$,

$$|x - y| < r \implies -r < x - y < r \implies -r - x < -y < r - x$$

$$\implies x - r < y < x + r \implies S = (x - r, x + r)$$

Thus $\{y \in \mathbb{R} : |x - y| < r\} = (x - r, x + r)$ Q.E.D.

115.) \mathbb{Z} has no cluster points.

116.) 1 is a cluster point of $[0, 1)$.

117.) For 0 to be a cluster point of $[1, 2]$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $a \in [1, 2]$ where $|a - 0| < \varepsilon$. Let $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$|a - 0| = |a| < \frac{1}{2} \implies -\frac{1}{2} < a < \frac{1}{2}$$

But since $-\frac{1}{2} < a < \frac{1}{2}$, $a \notin [1, 2]$, thus $a \in [1, 2]$ does not exist for 0, thus 0 is not a cluster point of $[1, 2]$. Q.E.D.

124.) Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $c \in E'$, and $L \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f(x) \rightarrow L$ as $x \rightarrow c$, or $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L$ if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - c| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

125.) Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = a$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ where

$$|x - c| < \delta \implies |a - a| < \varepsilon$$

Since $|a - a| = |0| = 0 < \varepsilon$, $|a - a| < \varepsilon$, thus $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = a$. Q.E.D.

126.) Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} 3x + 1 = 7$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ where

$$|x - 2| < \delta \implies |3x + 1 - 7| < \varepsilon$$

We can manipulate the inequality to find a sufficient value for δ :

$$\begin{aligned} |3x + 1 - 7| &= |3x - 6| = |3(x - 2)| = 3|x - 2| < \varepsilon \\ \implies |x - 2| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, then we know that $|x - 2| < \delta$. Manipulating the inequality:

$$|x - 2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \implies 3|x - 2| = |3(x - 2)| = |3x - 6| = |3x + 1 - 7| < \varepsilon$$

Thus $|x - 2| < \delta \implies |3x + 1 - 7| < \varepsilon$, thus $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} 3x + 1 = 7$. Q.E.D.

127.) Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} x^2 = 25$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ where

$$|x - 5| < \delta \implies |x^2 - 25| < \varepsilon$$

We can manipulate the inequality to find a sufficient value for δ :

$$\begin{aligned} |x^2 - 25| &= |(x - 5)(x + 5)| = |x - 5| |x + 5| < \varepsilon \\ \implies |x - 5| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{|x + 5|} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{|x + 5|}$, then we know that $|x - 5| < \delta$. Manipulating the inequality:

$$|x - 5| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x + 5|} \implies |x - 5| |x + 5| = |(x - 5)(x + 5)| = |x^2 - 25| < \varepsilon$$

Thus $|x - 5| < \delta \implies |x^2 - 25| < \varepsilon$, thus $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} x^2 = 25$. Q.E.D.

128.) Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{x} = 2$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ where

$$\left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \delta \implies \left| \frac{1}{x} - 2 \right| < \varepsilon$$

We can manipulate the inequality to find a sufficient value for δ :

$$\left| \frac{1}{x} - 2 \right| = * * *$$