## **Definitions**

- 1.) Given a set P, a partial order on P is a relation  $\leq$  on P that satisfies the following conditions:
  - 1. For all  $p \in P$ ,  $p \le p$ . (Reflexivity)
  - 2. For all  $p, q \in P$ ,  $p \le q \land q \le p \implies p = q$ . (Antisymmetry)
  - 3. For all  $p, q, r \in P, p \leq q \land q \leq r \implies p \leq r$ . (Transitivity)
- 2.) Given a relation R on a set P, R is transitive if for all  $p, q, r \in P$ ,  $p \sim q \land q \sim r \implies p \sim r$ .
- 3.) The union of a collection of sets  $\{S_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in\mathcal{A}}$  is defined as the set T where

$$T = \{s : \exists \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \text{ where } s \in S_{\alpha}\}\$$

4.) The intersection of a collection of sets  $\{S_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in\mathcal{A}}$  is defined as the set T where

$$T = \{s : s \in S_{\alpha} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

## **Proofs**

- a.) Since  $\mathcal{P}([2]) = \{\{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{0,1\}, \{0,2\}, \{1,2\}, \{0,1,2\}, \emptyset\}$ , we can see that the only open subsets of [2] are  $\{2\}, \{1,2\}, \{0,1,2\}$ , and  $\emptyset$ .
- b.) Consider the elements of  $\mathcal{P}(\{a,b\})$ . Given an open subset  $S \subset \mathcal{P}(\{a,b\})$ , consider the implications of each element existing in S. Since  $\varnothing \subset \{a\}$ ,  $\varnothing \subset \{b\}$ , and  $\varnothing \subset \{a,b\}$ , we can see that  $\varnothing \in S \implies S = \{\varnothing, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ . Next, since  $\{a\} \subset \{a,b\}$  and  $\{b\} \subset \{a,b\}$ , we can see that  $\{a\} \in S \vee \{b\} \in S \implies \{a,b\} \in S$ . Finally,  $\{a,b\} \in S$  does not necessarily implicate the existance of any other element in S. From this, we find every open subset of  $\mathcal{P}(\{a,b\})$  to be  $\{\{a,b\}\}, \{\{a\}, \{a,b\}\}, \{\{b\}, \{a,b\}\}, \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}\}$ , and  $\varnothing$ .
- c.) Let S be defined as follows:

$$S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_{\alpha} = \{x : \exists \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \text{ where } x \in U_{\alpha}\}$$

Let  $p, q \in P$  where  $p \leq q$  and  $p \in S$ . We can see that  $p \in S \implies \exists \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  where  $p \in U_{\alpha}$ . Since  $U_{\alpha}$  is an open subset of P, and since  $p \leq q$ , we know that  $q \in U_{\alpha}$ , thus  $q \in S$ , thus  $p \in S \land p \leq q \implies q \in S$ , thus by definition, S is an open subset of P.

d.) Let S be defined as follows:

$$S = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_{\alpha} = \{x : x \in U_{\alpha} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

Let  $p, q \in P$  where  $p \leq q$  and  $p \in S$ . We can see that  $p \in S \implies p \in U_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since for all  $\alpha$ ,  $U_{\alpha}$  is an open subset of P, and since  $p \leq q$ , we know that  $q \in U_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha$ , thus  $q \in S$ , thus  $p \in S \land p \leq q \implies q \in S$ , thus by definition, S is an open subset of P.

e.)