

Scalable Vector Collectives

Torsten Hoefler and Christian Siebert

On behalf of the Collectives working group



UNIVERSITY OF **ILLINOIS**
AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

Current Scalability Problems

- Vector collectives need to specify displacements and offsets at each rank
 - Well-known scalability problem
 - Memory consumption and time grows linearly in P
- All but Alltoall $\{v,w\}$ can be fixed by distributing the arguments
 - Alltoall $\{v,w\}$ needs P^2 parameters, all others only P (can be distributed)



Simple Proposal

- Distribute parameters
- New distributed versions:
 - MPI_Gathervd()
 - MPI_Scattervd()
 - MPI_Allgathervd()
 - MPI_Reduce_scattervd() (!)
- Each process specifies count and displacement for its local contribution only



Proposal

- See proposal document



Issues

- Are displacements in bytes or relative to datatypes
 - Relative to which datatype? At the root or at the specifying process?
- Do we need displacements at all?
 - Would be a departure from current model!
 - Do we have a use-case for displs?



Discussion

- Let's assume k is the number of non-zero ranks in the call. A scalable algorithm would require that $k = O(\log P)$
 - Why not have functions (Jesper's comment):
 - `MPI_Collate(scount, stype, rranks[], rcounts[], rtype, root, comm)`
 - `MPI_Allcollate(scount, stype, rranks[], rcounts[], rtype, comm)`
 - `MPI_Allcollateall(sranks[], scounts[], stype, rranks[], rcounts[], rtype, comm)`



Issues with Collates

- Rank lists are replicated at each process!
 - Huge memory overhead, problematic for not-so-sparse ($k = \Omega(\log P)$) communications
- Optimizations seem harder than for distributed vector collectives
 - Trees are still possible though
- Maybe we want both?
 - Comments?



Thanks!

Please review ticket 264!

Questions?

