

... for a brighter future







A U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC

Hybrid Programming Working Group Proposals

What's this talk about?

- Introduce some of things we are planning to work on
- We haven't started meetings or formal discussions yet
 - Intend to start in January
- TRAC link:

https://svn.mpi-forum.org/trac/mpi-forum-web/wiki/MPI3Hybrid

- Working group mailing list:
 - Please subscribe if you plan to participate
- Not soliciting votes/straw votes at this point
 - Just initial feedback on the working group



Three Proposals Currently

- Treating Threads as MPI Processes
- Dynamic Thread levels
- Thread Init/Finalize routines for thread-core mapping support
 - Could be integrated into the first proposal



Dynamic Thread Levels

- Problem: MPI specifies thread-level support at Init time
 - Even if a small fraction of the code uses
 THREAD_MULTIPLE, the entire code is forced to go through locks
- Performance Impact (messaging rate):
 - 2X on PROC_NULL (emulating infinitely fast networks)
 - About 20% on TCP/IP
- Proposal:
 - Add calls for MPI_Set_thread_level() to dynamically change thread-levels within the application



Dynamic Thread Levels (contd.)

- MPI_Set_thread_level(int required, int * provided)
 - Hinting mechanism only
- Relevant Issues:
 - If an implementation allows the thread-level reduction, but not increase, the application might not be able to deal with it
 - Asynchronous Progress Threads
 - Requires synchronization with the progress thread to change thread level
 - Collective Operations: Some MPI implementations use different collective operations based on the thread-level
 - Maybe add a stricter collective version as well?



Thread Init/Finalize Routines

- Problem:
 - MPI currently does not explicitly know threads
 - Process can be mapped to different cores/SMTs
 - Thread scheduling is left to the OS
- Proposal:
 - Explicit Thread Init/Finalize Routines
 - Allow the process manager to perform intelligent mapping
 - Optional calls application does not necessarily have to call these
- Can potentially be merged into Alexander's Thread Register/Deregister proposal

