

From: naturecommunications@nature.com
Subject: CONFIDENTIAL; Nature Communications manuscript NCOMMS-23-12773-T
Date: 13 April 2023 at 13:56
To: Alexander_Bates@hms.harvard.edu

N

Dear Dr Bates,

Thank you for agreeing to review the manuscript entitled "Online conversion of reconstructed neural morphologies into standardized SWC format" for Nature Communications.

Your review is due in two weeks unless you have agreed otherwise with the editor. If you would like to assist, but would need more than 14 days to review the manuscript, please do not hesitate to let me know.

You must notify us immediately if you realize that you have a [competing financial or non-financial interest](#) in relation to this manuscript.

If you wish to consult with colleagues— within or outside your institution — you must ask our approval before you approach them; if we approve their involvement, you must then identify them in the confidential comments to the editor when you submit the report. It is not necessary to ask our approval when consulting with your postdocs or students, but please mention their involvement in the confidential comments. You and anyone you consult with must keep the content of the manuscript confidential and refrain from using it in your research before it is published.

Nature Communications uses a [transparent peer review](#) system for published work, and therefore publishes reviewer comments and the authors' rebuttal alongside the paper. By submitting a reviewer report you agree to the publication of the comments made to the authors (any confidential comments to the editor will not be published). You will remain fully anonymous unless you sign your reviewer report. We support you signing your report to the authors if you wish to. Please note that reports are also shared with the other reviewers.

We [formally acknowledge](#) the reviewers of published articles in recognition of their time and expertise. All reviewers are acknowledged anonymously by default in a reviewer recognition statement on the paper; you will have the option to be named in this statement if the paper is published. You can select this option when you submit your report.

Details of our editorial and peer-review policies can be found [here](#).

WRITING YOUR REPORT

The notes below can aid in writing your report. If you have any questions about the review process please contact us.

Nature Communications publishes high-quality multidisciplinary research which is of interest to specialists within each field. If you are not familiar with our editorial criteria, please consult our [For Reviewers page](#).

The primary purpose of your review is to provide feedback on the soundness of the research reported. This will help authors to improve their manuscript and editors to reach a decision. When composing your report, the following questions might assist you in writing a well-justified review, but please feel free to raise any further questions and concerns about the paper.

- What are the noteworthy results?
- Will the work be of significance to the field and related fields? How does it compare to the established literature? If the work is not original, please provide relevant references.
- Does the work support the conclusions and claims, or is additional evidence needed?
- Are there any flaws in the data analysis, interpretation and conclusions? - Do these prohibit publication or require revision?
- Is the methodology sound? Does the work meet the expected standards in your field?
- Is there enough detail provided in the methods for the work to be reproduced?

We strongly encourage researchers to follow the '[Sex and Gender Equity in Research – SAGER – guidelines](#)' and to include sex and gender considerations for studies involving humans, vertebrate animals and cell lines where relevant to the topic of study (an overview can be found [here](#)). Your comments on whether the authors have not complied with the following recommendations will be helpful to guide revisions.

For such studies, we expect that the title and/or abstract must indicate when findings apply to only one sex or gender. The methods section should include whether sex and/or gender were considered in the study design and whether sex and/or gender of participants was determined based on self-report or assigned (and methodology used). Data should be reported disaggregated for sex and gender where this information has been collected. If sex- and gender-based analyses have been performed a priori, results should be reported regardless of positive or negative outcome. However, we discourage authors from conducting post hoc sex- and gender-based analysis if the study design was insufficient (for example, low sample size) to enable meaningful conclusions.

Where applicable, reporting summaries are requested from the authors to improve the transparency and reproducibility of published results. We hope the file, if included, will aid in your evaluation of the paper as they contain key information pertaining to study design and analysis. If a reporting summary is relevant to this manuscript but not yet available among the manuscript files, it will be sent to you by email as soon as we receive it from the authors.

We recommend that authors submit data supporting the findings reported to Springer Nature's figshare data repository. In instances where the authors have done this, we encourage you to check the data as part of the peer review process; the data will be accessible anonymously through the private link listed under 'Manuscript Items' on your reviewer page in our manuscript tracking system. Please note that the data files are confidential and should not be shared with anyone; similarly the private figshare link should not be shared with anyone else. Once the article is published, the data in figshare will be made public and will be shared with a DOI that will persistently link to the data.

To access the manuscript, instructions and review form, please click on the link below and follow the link to manuscript NCOMMS-23-12773-T:

<https://mts-ncomms.nature.com/cgi-bin/main.plex?el=A1S5BDI2A7OKLw3J5A9ftdv2cJyuDhrSvReqfTsAljwgZ>

The review form will allow you to provide feedback in the following areas:

Remarks to the Author (which are transmitted to the authors in full)

Remarks to the Editor (which are read by editorial staff only and remain confidential)

In the future, you can enter the system by using the link above or by logging into the site at <https://mts-ncomms.nature.com>, which requires a username and password. If you do not know your username and password, please click on the forgotten password link on the login page.

Best regards,

The editorial team
Nature Communications

Springer Nature would like to invite you to take part in some user research. We are currently working on improving the peer review process and experience. We are looking for peer reviewers who have accepted an invitation to review, as we want to capture their experience along the entire reviewing journey. If you are interested in taking part in this user research please complete this [short survey](#), if you have any questions please contact uxresearch@springernature.com.

We regularly do research across our products and services. By understanding your needs as researchers and what you want to achieve, we can improve what we offer. You can find out more and sign up to take part in other kinds of research at: <https://uxresearch.springernature.com> We will only invite you to research that is relevant to you.

This email has been sent through the Springer Nature Tracking System NY-610A-NPG&MTS

Confidentiality Statement:

This e-mail is confidential and subject to copyright. Any unauthorised use or disclosure of its contents is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify our Manuscript Tracking System Helpdesk team at <http://platformsupport.nature.com>.

Details of the confidentiality and pre-publicity policy may be found here <http://www.nature.com/authors/policies/confidentiality.html>

[Privacy Policy](#) | [Update Profile](#)

