

#### **BACHELOR THESIS**

## Alexander Gažo

## Pole Shifting Theorem in Control Theory

Department of Algebra

Supervisor of the bachelor thesis: doc. RNDr. Jiří Tůma, DrSc.

Study programme: Mathematics

Study branch: Mathematical Structures

# Contents

1	Introduction			4
	1.1	Basics		4
		1.1.1	Systems of First Order Differential Equations	4
		1.1.2	Linear System With A Control	ļ
	1.2	Contro	ollable pairs	(
		1.2.1	Discrete-time systems	(
		1.2.2	Continuous-time systems	,
			Decomposition theorem	

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

#### 1.1 Basics

Pole shifting theorem deals with linear differential systems and claims, that it is possible to achieve arbitrary asymptotic behavior. To understand this basic theorem of control theory, we must first describe few basic concepts.

#### 1.1.1 Systems of First Order Differential Equations

Definition. A system of linear differential equations of order of one with constant coefficients is a system

$$\dot{x}_1(t) = a_{1,1}x_1(t) + \dots + a_{1,n}x_n(t)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\dot{x}_n(t) = a_{n,1}x_1(t) + \dots + a_{n,n}x_n(t)$$

The system can be written in a matrix form

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$$

where  $x(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . The matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$  is a fundamental matrix of the system.

TODO pociatocna podmiena a state

We will use this representation as it is a very compact way of describing the system.

To express solution of this system in similarly compact matter we will establish a notion of matrix exponential.

**Definition.** Let X be real or complex square matrix. The exponential of X, denoted by  $e^X$ , is the square matrix of same dimensions given by the power series

$$e^X = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} X^k$$

where  $X^0$  is defined to be the identity matrix I with the same dimensions as X.

**Lemma 1.** Let A, B and X be real or complex square matrices of same dimensions. Then

- 1.  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} X^k$  converges for any matrix X.
- 2.  $\frac{d}{dt}e^{tX} = Xe^{tX}$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$
- 3.  $AB = BA \Rightarrow e^{A+B} = e^A e^B$
- 4.  $AB = BA \Rightarrow e^AB = Be^A$
- 5. If R is invertible then  $e^{R^{-1}XR} = R^{-1}e^AR$
- *Proof.* 1. We want to show that the partial sums  $S_N = \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{1}{k!} X^k$  converge to  $e^X$ . This can be shown by choosing any matrix norm satisfying ||AB|| < $||A|| \cdot ||B||$  and writing

$$||e^X - S_N|| = ||\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} X^k|| = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} ||X||^k$$

where right side approaches zero since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{||A||^k}{k!}$  converges.

2. Thanks to the convergence we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{tX} = \frac{d}{dt}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!}X^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!}X^{k+1} = X\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!}X^k = Xe^{tX}$$

3. Let us write

$$e^{A+B} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (A+B)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{k} {k \choose l} \frac{1}{k!} A^l B^{k-l} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} B^k = e^A e^B$$

In the second equation we are using the assumption AB = BA and in the third equation the Cauchy product formula is used.

4. From definition follows

$$e^{A}B = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^{k} B \stackrel{AB=BA}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} B A^{k} = B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^{k} = B e^{A}$$

5. We have

$$e^{R^{-1}XR} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (R^{-1}XR)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} R^{-1}X^k R = R^{-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} X^k) R = R^{-1} e^X R$$

Now, using properties from Lemma 1 we can see that  $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$  is actually solved by  $x(0)e^At$ . Let us now discuss under what circumstances does this system

Let A be real or complex matrix. Then there is a regular matrix  $R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$J = R^{-1}AR$$

is in the Jordan normal form. By substituting  $y(t) = R^{-1}x(t)$ , which is equivalent with changing the basis of our system, we get

$$R\dot{y}(t) = ARy(t)$$
$$\dot{y}(t) = R^{-1}ARy(t)$$
$$\dot{y}(t) = Jy(t)$$

and therefore the solution is

$$y(t) = e^{tJ}y(0)$$

We know that every Jordan block  $J_{\lambda,n}$  in the matrix J can be decomposed as  $J_{\lambda,n} = \lambda I_n + N_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $N_n$  is  $n \times n$  nilpotent matrix satisfying  $n_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j-1}$ . For example for n = 4 we have

It is also true that  $(N_n)_{i,j}^k = \delta_{i,j-k}$  and  $(N_n)^n = O_{n\times n}$ , since every right multiplication by matrix N shifts the multiplied matrix's columns to the right by one column that is it maps matrix  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  onto  $(o, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$ .

By using Lemma 1, we now for each Jordan block  $J_{\lambda,n}$  have

$$e^{J_{\lambda,n}t} = e^{(\lambda I + N)t} = e^{\lambda It}e^{Nt} = e^{\lambda t}e^{Nt}$$

Let  $\lambda = a + bi$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have

$$e^{J_n t} = e^{at} e^{bit} e^{tN}$$

We know that  $|e^{bit}| = 1$  and that

$$e^{tN} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} N^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} N^k$$

since  $(N_n)^n = O_{n \times n}$ . Therefore we can see that every element of matrix  $e^{tN}$  is a polynom of degree less than n. It follows that the whole expression approaches 0 in infinity if

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{at} t^{n-1} = 0$$

This holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  as long as a < 0.

TODO spojit bunky do celej sustavy

Now, since y(0) is a constant vector, we see that  $y(t) = e^{Jt}y(0)$  converges to 0 if all the eigenvalues of matrix A are negative in their real parts.

Example 1. Consider higher order differential equation

$$x^{(n)}(t) + a_1 x^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_{n-1} x'(t) + a_n x(t) = 0$$

where  $x(t): \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ . This equation can be solved as system of linear differential equations of first order  $\dot{z}(t) = Az(t)$  by choosing fundamental matrix A and state vector z(t) as follows

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_n & -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \dots & -a_1 \end{pmatrix}, z(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ x'(t) \\ \vdots \\ x^{(n-1)}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

#### 1.1.2 Linear System With A Control

**Definition.** A continuous dynamical linear system with control u is a system of linear differential equations of first order with constant coefficients in the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  is a control matrix,  $u(t) \in \mathbb{C}^m$  is a control vector. Vector  $x(t) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is called a state of the system.

Since the system is uniquely defined by the pair (A, B) we also regard this system as (A, B) system.

TODO pociatocna podmienka

In a general case, this is called an **open-loop control** system because the control is not dependent on previous state of the system.

We can imagine this system as follows. The first part of the equation  $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$  can be thought of as the model of machine or event that we want to control and Bu(t) as our control mechanism. The B matrix is our "control board" and u(t) is us deciding, which levers and buttons we want to push.

Of course, if we want this system to be self-regulating, we cannot input our own values into u(t) and therefore it has to be calculated from the current state of our system.

**Definition.** Let us have linear differential system with control u(t) defined as follows

$$u(t) = Fx(t)$$

where  $F \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  is a feedback matrix. This system is then called a closed-loop control system or a linear feedback control system.

Typically, we require a feedback control system to stabilize itself back into its stable state after some disturbances. This means that we require that the system converges to some set point. We can assume without loss of generality that this point is the origin of our state space i.e. all the possible states of x(t). This can be achieved by transforming the system into different basis.

The feedback control system can be expressed as a first order linear differential system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + BFx(t) = (A + BF)x(t)$$

TODO As discussed in the first section, we now know that the system converges to  $\mathbf{o}$  if all of the eigenvalues of matrix A + BF are negative in their real parts.

Therefore the system can stabilize itself if we find such matrix  $F \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  that A + BF have all eigenvalues with negative real part. This requirement can be expressed through characteristic polynomial of matrix A + BF.

**Definition.** Let A be a  $n \times n$  matrix. Then the characteristic polynomial of A, denoted by  $\chi_A$ , is defined as

$$\chi_A(s) = \det(sI_n - A)$$

Through our observations we got to a conclusion that we need to satisfy

$$\chi_{A+BF} = (x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2) \cdots (x - \lambda_n)$$

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  have their real parts negative. This leads to an important definition.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field and let  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times m}$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that polynomial  $\chi$  is assignable for the pair (A, B) if there exists such matrix  $F \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$  that

$$\chi_{A+BF} = \chi$$

The pole shifting theorem states, that if A and B are "sensible" in a sense that we will discuss in the next section, then arbitrary polynomial  $\chi$  of dimension that depends on how "sensible" A and B are, can be assigned to the pair (A, B). It also claims that it is immaterial over what field A and B are.

## 1.2 Controllable pairs

In this section we will establish the notion of controllability. We will first explain this concept for *discrete-time systems* and then we will show that the requirement for controllability for *discrete-time systems* also holds for *continuos-time systems*.

#### 1.2.1 Discrete-time systems

TODO definicia diskretneho systemu, stavov a reach

#### Definition.

States that we can reach in set number of iterations in a open-loop control discrete-time systems can be derived as follows. From state  $x_k$  and control vector  $u_k$  is the next state  $x_{k+1}$  computed by equation

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k$$

where  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field,  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times m}$ . The starting condition is  $x_0 = \mathbf{o}$  and we can choose arbitrary  $u_k$ . Then, for k = 0 we have

$$x_1 = Ax_0 + Bu_0 = Bu_0 \in \text{Im}B.$$

For k = 1 we get

$$x_2 = Ax_1 + Bu_1 = ABu_0 + Bu_1 \in (AB|B).$$

It is clear, that

$$x_k \in \operatorname{Im}(A^{k-1}B|\dots|AB|B).$$

We can observe that  $\operatorname{Im}(B|AB|\dots|A^kB)\subseteq \operatorname{Im}(B|AB|\dots|A^{k+1}B)$ . From Cayley-Hamilton theorem we know that  $\chi_A(A)=O_{n\times n}$ . That means that  $A^n$  can be expressed as linear combination of matrices  $\{I,A,\dots,A^{n-1}\}$  or equivalently that  $A^nB$  can be expressed as linear combination of matrices  $\{B,AB,\dots,A^{n-1}B\}$ . We now see that

$$\operatorname{Im}(B|AB|\dots|A^{n-1}B) = \operatorname{Im}(B|AB|\dots|A^{n-1}B|A^nB).$$

Therefore all the states we could ever reach are already in space

$$\operatorname{Im}(B|AB|\dots|A^{n-1}B)$$

**Definition.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field and let  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times m}$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define reachable space  $\mathcal{R}(A, B)$  of the pair (A, B) as  $Im(B|AB|...|A^{n-1}B)$ .

We have seen that by left multiplying  $\mathcal{R}(A, B)$  by A we get the same subspace. This leads to an important property of some subspaces.

**Definition.** Let V be a vector space, W be its subspace and f be a mapping from V to V. We call W an **invariant subspace** of f if  $f(W) \subseteq W$ .

We also say that W is f-invariant. When  $f = f_A$  for some matrix A we also shortly say that W is A-invariant.

**Remark 1.**  $\mathcal{R}(A, B)$  is a A-invariant subspace.

The maximum dimension of  $\mathcal{R}(A, B)$  is, of course, n. This leads us to important property of pair (A, B), where we want to able to get the "machine" into any state in state space by controlling it with our control matrix B. Therefore we desire that  $\mathcal{R}(A, B) = \mathbb{K}^n$ . The equivalent condition is  $\dim \mathcal{R}(A, B) = n$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field and let  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times m}$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The pair (A, B) is controllable if  $\dim \mathcal{R}(A, B) = n$ .

### 1.2.2 Continuous-time systems

TODO opravit dokaz + doplnit lemma 1, idealne aj reach nejak

We will now show that the condition for discrete-time systems also characterizes continuous-time systems. For this we have to express solution of such system using matrices  $A^iB$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

We utilize matrix exponential in solving system of inhomogeneous linear system  $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$ . By left multiplying it by  $e^{-tA}$  we get

$$e^{-tA}\dot{x}(t) - e^{-tA}Ax(t) = e^{-tA}Bu(t)$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{-tA}x(t)) = e^{-tA}Bu(t)$$

Note, we used  $-AA = A(-A) \Rightarrow e^{-tA}A = Ae^{-tA}$ . After integrating both sides with respect to t on interval  $(t_0, t_1)$  we have

$$[e^{-tA}x(t)]_{t_0}^{t_1} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{-tA}Bu(t)dt$$

$$e^{-t_1A}x(t_1) - e^{-t_0A}x(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{-tA}Bu(t)dt$$

$$x(t_1) = e^{(t_1 - t_0)A}x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{(t_1 - t)A}Bu(t)dt$$

Now it is clear that in system where  $x(0) = \mathbf{o}$  can every state in time  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  be expressed as

$$x(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} Bu(s) ds$$

**Theorem 1.** The n-dimensional continuos-time linear system is controllable, meaning that x(t) can be equal to any vector in  $\mathbb{K}^n$ , if and only if  $\dim \mathcal{R}(A, B) = n$ .

*Proof.* From discussion above we have

$$x(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} Bu(s) ds = \int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(t-s)^k}{k!} A^k Bu(s)$$

We can see that

$$x(t) \in \operatorname{Im}(B|AB|\dots|A^kB|\dots) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(B|AB|\dots|A^{n-1}B) = \mathcal{R}(A,B)$$

If the system is controllable then x(t) can be equal to any of the vectors of an arbitrary basis of  $\mathbb{K}^n$ . Therefore we know that n linearly independent vectors belong into  $\mathcal{R}(A,B)$  and naturally it follows  $\dim \mathcal{R}(A,B) = n$ .

Conversely, if dimension of reachable space is less than n, then any basis vector of complement space to  $\mathcal{R}(A,B)$  cannot be equal to x(t). Therefore the system is not controllable.

### 1.2.3 Decomposition theorem

**Lemma 2.** Let W be an invariant subspace of linear mapping  $f: V \to V$ . Then there exists a basis C of V such that

$$[f]_C^C = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & F_2 \\ 0 & F_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $F_1$  is  $r \times r$ , r = dimW.

*Proof.* Let  $(w_1, \ldots, w_r)$  be an arbitrary basis of subspace W. We complete this sequence into basis C of V with vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-r}$  where  $n = \dim V$ , thus  $C = (w_1, \ldots, w_r, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-r})$ . We know that

$$[f]_C^C = ([f(w_1)]_C, \dots, [f(w_r)]_C, [f(v_1)]_C, \dots, [f(v_{n-r})]_C)$$

Since W is A-invariant subspace, it holds that  $f(w_i) \in W$  and therefore, thanks to our choice of the basis C, we get the desired form.

If (A, B) are not controllable then there exists subspace of our state space that is not affected by our input. This can be shown using following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that (A, B) is not controllable. Let  $\dim \mathcal{R}(A, B) = r < n$ . Then there exists invertible  $n \times n$  matrix T over  $\mathbb{K}$  such that the matrices  $\widetilde{A} := T^{-1}AT$  and  $\widetilde{B} := T^{-1}B$  have the block structure

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \widetilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_1$  is  $r \times r$  and  $B_1$  is  $r \times m$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $\mathcal{R}(A, B)$  is A-invariant subspace (Remark 1). Using Lemma 2 on matrix mapping  $f_A$  we get a basis C for which it holds

$$[f_A]_C^C = [\operatorname{id}]_C^K [f_A]_K^K [\operatorname{id}]_K^C = [\operatorname{id}]_C^K A [\operatorname{id}]_K^C$$

is in a block triangular form. By putting  $T = [\mathrm{id}]_K^C = C$  we get  $\widetilde{A} = [f_A]_C^C$  which is in the desired form.

Consider now matrix mapping  $f_B$ . We have

$$\widetilde{B} = TB = [\mathrm{id}]_C^{K_n} [f_B]_{K_n}^{K_m} = [f_B]_C^{K_m} = ([f_B(e_1)]_C, \dots, [f_B(e_m)]_C)$$

Since  $f_B(e_i)$  is *i*-th column of matrix B and trivially from definition it holds  $\operatorname{Im}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A,B)$  we get the desired form of  $\widetilde{B}$ .

We achieved the new form of matrices A and B by changing the basis of our state space. We now define the relation between (A, B) and  $(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$ .

**Definition.** Let (A, B) and  $(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$  be pairs as in Theorem 2 above. Then (A, B) is similar to  $(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$ , denoted

$$(A,B) \sim (\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B})$$

if there exists invertible matrix T for which it holds that

$$\widetilde{A} = T^{-1}AT$$
 and  $\widetilde{B} = T^{-1}B$ 

We can interpret the decomposition as follows. Consider our system  $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$ . By changing the basis by putting x(t) = Ty(t) we get

$$T\dot{y}(t) = ATy(t) + Bu(t)$$

which we can write as

$$\dot{y}(t) = T^{-1}ATy(t) + T^{-1}Bu(t) = \widetilde{A}y(t) + \widetilde{B}u(T)$$

Which gives us

$$\dot{y}_1(t) = A_1 y_1(t) + A_2 y_2(t) + B_1 u_1(t)$$
  
$$\dot{y}_2(t) = A_3 y_2(t)$$

where  $y_1(t)$  is composed of the first r elements of y(t),  $y_2(t)$  is composed of the other n-r elements of y(t) and  $u_1(t)$  is composed of the first r elements of u(t). Since  $\dot{y}_2(t)$  does not depend on control vector we cannot change these last n-r coordinates of y(t).

It is also true that  $(A_1, B_1)$  from Theorem 2 is a controllable pair which we will state in a lemma.

**Lemma 3.** The pair  $(A_1, B_1)$  is controllable.

*Proof.* We know that  $\dim \mathcal{R}(A, B) = r$ . We desire  $\dim \mathcal{R}(A_1, B_1) = r$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}) = \mathcal{R}(A, B)$  and that each vector in  $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$  has its last n - r elements equal to 0 and that  $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$  restricted on its first r coordinates is equal to  $\mathcal{R}(A_1, B_1)$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}) &= \operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{A}^{n-1}\widetilde{B}|\dots|\widetilde{A}\widetilde{B}|\widetilde{B}) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}((T^{-1}AT)^{n-1}T^{-1}B|\dots|T^{-1}ATT^{-1}B|T^{-1}B) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}(T^{-1}A^{n-1}B|\dots|T^{-1}AB|T^{-1}B) \\ &= \{(T^{-1}A^{n-1}B|\dots|T^{-1}AB|T^{-1}B) \cdot v|v \in \mathbb{K}^{n \cdot m}\} \\ &= \{T^{-1}(A^{n-1}B|\dots|AB|B) \cdot v|v \in \mathbb{K}^{n \cdot m}\} \\ &= T^{-1} \cdot \{(A^{n-1}B|\dots|AB|B) \cdot v|v \in \mathbb{K}^{n \cdot m}\} \\ &= T^{-1} \cdot (\operatorname{Im}(A^{n-1}B|\dots|AB|B)) \\ &= T^{-1} \cdot (\mathcal{R}(A,B)) \end{split}$$

where by  $\cdot$ :  $\mathbb{K}^{n \times m} \times V \to W$  where V, W are vector spaces is defined as  $A \cdot V = \{Av | v \in V\}$ .

Since T is an invertible matrix, which preserves linear independence, we have

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}) = \dim(T^{-1}\mathcal{R}(A, B)) = \dim(\mathcal{R}(A, B)) = r$$

Now let us focus on the structure of  $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$ : We know that last n-r rows of  $\widetilde{B}$  are  $\mathbf{o}$ . Also because of structure of  $\widetilde{A}$  we have for an arbitrary matrix  $X \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times m}$  that

$$\widetilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where again are the last n-r rows equal to **o**. Therefore we see that for any positive integer k we have

$$\widetilde{A}^k \widetilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^k B_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_1^k B_1 \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times r}$$

It follows

$$\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{n-1} B_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \dots \middle| \begin{pmatrix} A_1 B_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

From Cayle-Hemilton theorem we therefore again have that the restriction to first r coordinates (those which are not 0) of  $\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B})$  are equal to  $\mathcal{R}(A_1, B_1)$ . Finally, it follows that

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(A_1, B_1) = \dim \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}) = \dim \mathcal{R}(A, B) = r$$

Now we can see that the decomposition from Theorem 2 decomposes the matrix A into "controllable" and "uncontrollable" parts  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  respectively.

We also see that

$$\chi_{\widetilde{A}} = \det(sI - \widetilde{A}) = \det(sI - T^{-1}AT)$$

$$= \det(sT^{-1}IT - T^{-1}AT) = \det(T^{-1}(sI - A)T)$$

$$= (\det T)^{-1}\det(sI - A)\det T = \det(sI - A)$$

$$= \chi_A$$

therefore it holds

$$\chi_A = \chi_{A_1} \chi_{A_3}$$

**Definition.** The characteristic polynomial of matrix A splits into **controllable** and **uncontrollable parts** with respect to pair (A, B) which we denote by  $\chi_c$  and  $\chi_u$  respectively. We define these polynomials as

$$\chi_c = \chi_{A_1}$$
  $\chi_u = \chi_{A_3}$ 

In case r = 0 we put  $\chi_c = 1$  and in case r = n we put  $\chi_u = 1$ .

For this definition to be correct we need to show that polynomials  $\chi_{A_1}$  and  $\chi_{A_3}$  are not dependent on the choice of basis on  $\mathcal{R}(A,B)$ . Since  $\chi_{A_3} = \chi_A/\chi_{A_1}$ , it is enough to show that matrix  $A_1$  is independent of the choice.

**Lemma 4.**  $\chi_c$  is independent of the choice of basis on  $\mathcal{R}(A, B)$ .

*Proof.* From definition we have  $\chi_c = \chi_{A_1}$  where  $A_1$  is some matrix for a specific decomposition of matrix A thanks to basis C used in a proof of Theorem 2. Consider different basis D which suffices the conditions from the said proof. We denote the  $r \times r$  matrix in the top left corner of the resulting matrix by  $X_1$ .

Suppose  $\chi_{X_1} \neq \chi_{A_1}$ . Then we can write

$$\chi_{X_1} \neq \det(sI - X_1) = \det(sI - T^{-1}AT)$$

$$= \det(sT^{-1}IT - T^{-1}AT) = \det(T^{-1}(sI - A)T)$$

$$= (\det T)^{-1}\det(sI - A)\det T = \det(sI - A)$$

$$= \chi_A$$

TODO plánujem tie matice X1 a A1 rozšíriť nulami na veľkosť nx n a potom ich charakteristicke polynomy prenasobit determinantom prechodnej matice medzi C a D a takisto inverznou hodnotou tohoto determinantu z coho ziskam nakoniec ze chiX1 sa nerovna chiX1 co je spor