

Выполнил: Карягин А.Д.

Группа: ИУ5-22М

Задание: Необходимо решить задачу классификации текстов, сформировав два варианта векторизации признаков - на основе CountVectorizer и на основе TfidfVectorizer. В качестве классификаторов необходимо использовать два классификатора:

- Random Forest Classifier
- Complement Naive Bayes

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer, TfidfVectorizer
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.naive_bayes import ComplementNB
```

```
df = pd.read_csv('train.csv', usecols=['Description', 'Class Index'], nrows=1000)
df
```



	Class Index	Description
0	3	Reuters - Short-sellers, Wall Street's dwindli...
1	3	Reuters - Private investment firm Carlyle Grou...
2	3	Reuters - Soaring crude prices plus worries\ab...
3	3	Reuters - Authorities have halted oil exportf...
4	3	AFP - Tearaway world oil prices, toppling reco...
...
9995	4	Users of the music player should watch out for...
9996	4	BMC Software has released a new version of Pat...
9997	3	The chief of Beijing-backed China Aviation Oil...
9998	3	BRUSSELS The European Commission has opened an...
9999	4	Operation Digital Gridlock targets peer-to-pee...

10000 rows x 2 columns

▼ Feature preparation

```
tfidf = TfidfVectorizer()
tfidf_ngram_features = tfidf.fit_transform(df['Description'])
tfidf_ngram_features
```

```
<10000x20257 sparse matrix of type '<class 'numpy.float64'>'
  with 283180 stored elements in Compressed Sparse Row format>
```

```
countvec = CountVectorizer()
countvec_ngram_features = countvec.fit_transform(df['Description'])
countvec_ngram_features
```

```
<10000x20257 sparse matrix of type '<class 'numpy.int64'>'
  with 283180 stored elements in Compressed Sparse Row format>
```

▼ Random Forest Classifier

```
# TFIDF + RFC
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(tfidf_ngram_features, df['Cl
model = RandomForestClassifier()
model.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred, digits=4, target_names=list(map(str,
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
1	0.8767	0.7773	0.8240	741
4	0.8752	0.9127	0.8936	699
3	0.8640	0.7719	0.8154	741
2	0.7489	0.8706	0.8052	819
accuracy			0.8330	3000
macro avg	0.8412	0.8331	0.8345	3000
weighted avg	0.8383	0.8330	0.8330	3000

```
# CountVec + RFC
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(countvec_ngram_features, df[
model = RandomForestClassifier()
model.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred, digits=4, target_names=list(map(str,
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
1	0.8972	0.7773	0.8330	741
4	0.8517	0.9285	0.8884	699
3	0.8676	0.7868	0.8252	741
2	0.7662	0.8645	0.8124	819
accuracy			0.8387	3000
macro avg	0.8457	0.8393	0.8397	3000
weighted avg	0.8435	0.8387	0.8384	3000

▼ Complement Naive Bayes

```
# TFIDF + CNB
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(tfidf_ngram_features, df['Cl
model = ComplementNB()
model.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred, digits=4, target_names=list(map(str,
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
1	0.8897	0.8273	0.8573	741
4	0.8779	0.9671	0.9204	699
3	0.8386	0.8623	0.8503	741
2	0.8870	0.8437	0.8648	819
accuracy			0.8730	3000
macro avg	0.8733	0.8751	0.8732	3000
weighted avg	0.8736	0.8730	0.8723	3000

```
# CountVec + CNB
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(countvec_ngram_features, df[
model = ComplementNB()
model.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred, digits=4, target_names=list(map(str,
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
1	0.8903	0.8327	0.8605	741
4	0.8740	0.9728	0.9208	699
3	0.8593	0.8408	0.8499	741
2	0.8756	0.8596	0.8675	819
accuracy			0.8747	3000
macro avg	0.8748	0.8765	0.8747	3000
weighted avg	0.8749	0.8747	0.8739	3000

▼ Выводы:

1. CountVectorizer показал лучший результат в обоих моделях
2. Complement Naive Bayes показал лучший результат по сравнению с Random Forest

