# Formale Methoden der Informatik Block 1: Computability and Complexity

Exercises 1-10 Submission deadline: 21.04.2014

SS 2014

Exercise 1 Prove that the following problem is undecidable:

## **DOUBLE**

INSTANCE: A pair  $(\Pi, I)$ , where I is a string and  $\Pi$  is a program that takes one string as input and outputs a string.

QUESTION: Does the program  $\Pi$  on the string I as input return as output the string I+I? Here + is the operator for string concatenation.

Prove the undecidability by providing a reduction from the **HALTING** problem to **DOU-BLE**, and arguing that your reduction is correct.

**Solution.** The proof proceeds by reduction from HALTING to DOUBLE.

Let( $\Pi, I$ ) be an arbitrary instance of the **HALTING** problem, i.e.,  $\Pi$  is a program that takes one string and I is an Input for  $\Pi$ . From this, we construct an instance ( $\Pi', I$ ) of **DOUBLE** by building ( $\Pi'$ ) as follows:

## Listing 1: $\Pi'$

```
1 String Π' (String S) {
2 call Π(S);
3 return S+S;
4 }
```

It remains to show that the following equivalence holds:

 $\Pi$  halts on  $I \Leftrightarrow \Pi'$  returns I+I

 $\rightarrow$ 

Assume  $\Pi$  halts on I. This means line three is reached and I+I is returned.

 $\leftarrow$ 

Assume  $\Pi'$  returns I+I. This implies, that the program must have passed line two. This implies that  $\Pi$  halts on I because it means running  $\Pi$  on I and returning from it.  $\square$ 

Exercise 2 Prove that **DOUBLE** is semi-decidable. To this end, provide a semi-decision procedure and justify your solution.

**Solution.** We can write an interpreter program  $\Pi_i$  such that:

- $\Pi_i$  takes as input a source code  $\Pi$  and an Input I for  $\Pi$
- $\Pi_i$  parses  $\Pi$  and simulates a run of  $\Pi$  on I.
- If the simulation of  $\Pi$  on I reaches the end and  $\Pi$  returns I+I,  $\Pi_i$  returns true
- If the simulation of  $\Pi$  on I reaches the end and  $\Pi$  doesn't return I+I,  $\Pi_i$  returns false
- If the simulation of  $\Pi$  on I doesn't reach the end, then, clearly  $\Pi_i$  cannot return any value

Exercise 3 Give a formal proof that SUBSET SUM is in NP, i.e. define a certificate relation and discuss that it is polynomially balanced and polynomial-time decidable.

### SUBSET SUM:

INSTANCE: A finite set of integer numbers  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  and an integer number t

QUESTION: Does there exist a subset  $S' \subseteq S$ , s.t. the sum of the elements in S' is equal to t, i.e.  $(\sum_{a_i \in S'} a_i) = t$ ?

**Solution.** As proof I provide a certificate relation R and discuss why it is polynomially balanced and polynomial-time decidable:

$$R = \{ ((S, t), S') \mid S' \subseteq S \land \left( \sum_{a_i \in S'} a_i \right) = t \}$$

- R is certificate relation by construction: (S,t) is a positive instance of **SUBSET SUM**  $\leftrightarrow$  There is a subset of S, S' for which if all the integers in the set are added provide a sum which is equal to t.  $\leftrightarrow$  ((S,t), S')  $\in$  R
- R is polynomially balanced because S' is a subset of S.
- R is polynomial-time decidable because adding numbers in set S' and then comparing the sum to d is in O(|S'|+1).

Exercise 4 Formally prove that **PARTITION** is NP-complete. For this you may use the fact that **SUBSET SUM** is NP-complete.

## PARTITION:

INSTANCE: A finite set of n positive integers  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ .

QUESTION: Can the set P be partitioned into two subsets  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  such that the sum of the numbers in  $P_1$  equals the sum of the numbers in  $P_2$ ?

**Solution.** To formally prove that **PARTITION** is NP-complete we need to first show that it is in NP. Therefore we need to provide a certificate relation R and discuss why it is polynomially balanced and polynomial-time decidable:

$$R = \{ (P, P_1) \mid P_1 \subseteq P \land \left( \sum_{a_i \in P_1} a_i \right) = \left( \sum_{a_i \in P \setminus P_1} a_i \right) \}$$

• R is certificate relation by construction:  $(P,P_1)$  is a positive instance of **PARTI-TION**  $\leftrightarrow$  There is a subset of P,  $P_1$  for which if you partion P with  $P_1$  you get a second subset  $P_2$ . If the integers in both sets are added up (seperately) they are equal.  $\leftrightarrow ((P,P_1) \in R)$ 

- R is polynomially balanced because  $P_1$  is a subset of P.
- R is polynomial-time decidable because adding |R| integers and then comparing two numbers is in P.

We proceed our proof by reducing **SUBSET SUM** to **PARTITION** in order to show hardness. Let an arbitrary instance of **SUBSET SUM** be given by a Set S and an integer t.

Then we construct the following instance of **PARTITION**:

$$b = \left(\sum_{a_i \in S} a_i\right)$$
  
$$P = S \cup b + t \cup 2b - t$$

To prove the correctness of the reduction, we must show that (S,t) has a subset S' where the sum of the elements equal to  $t \leftrightarrow$  the resulting instance P of **PARTITION** is positive.

We need to show that under the assumption of a positive instance (S,t) of **SUBSET SUM** the resulting instance P of **PARTITION**is positive:

Assume (S,t) has a subset S' where the sum of the elements equal to t. By our reduction  $P = S \cup b + t \cup 2b - t$ 

Let  $P_1 = S' \cup (2b-t)$  this implies that  $P_2 = S \setminus S' \cup (b+t)$  by the definition of **PARTITION**. As  $t = (\sum_{a_i \in S'} a_i)$  and t + (2b - t) = 2b the sum of the elements in  $P_1$  is equal to 2b. The sum of the elements in  $S \setminus S'$  is equal to b - t and (b - t) + (b + t) = 2b. Thus the sum of the elements in  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are equal and we have a positive resulting instance P of **SUBSET SUM**.

 $\leftarrow$ 

Suppose that P is a positive instance of **PARTITION**. Now we need to show, that (S,t) is a positive instance of **SUBSET SUM**. Because of the reduction  $P = S \cup b + t \cup 2b - t$  and we can assume that there exist two sets  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  which partition P. The only way to partition P into two sets is to exactly split it into two sets where the sum of the elements add up to 2b, because the total sum of the elements in P is 4b. The only way to do this is to take some elements out of S which when summed up equal to the value t and add the element (2b-t). (The other set is formed by  $S \setminus S' \cup (b+t)$ ). Because we assumed that our set can be partioned we can be sure that there are elements of S which when summed up equal to the value t. Let the elements of S which when summed up equal to the value t form the Set S'. This is the definition of a positive instance of **SUBSET SUM**.  $\square$ 

Exercise 5 Provide a reduction from VERTEX COVER to SET COVER. Additionally, prove the " $\Rightarrow$ " direction in the proof of correctness of the reduction, i.e. prove the following statement: if a VERTEX COVER instance is a yes instance then the created SET COVER instance is also a yes instance.

#### **VERTEX COVER:**

INSTANCE: An undirected graph G = (V, E) and integer k.

QUESTION: Does there exist a vertex cover N of size  $\leq k$ ? i.e.  $N \subseteq V$ , s.t. for all  $[i,j] \in E$ , either  $i \in N$  or  $j \in N$ ?

## SET COVER:

INSTANCE: A finite set X of elements, a collection of n subsets  $S_i \subseteq X$ , such that every element of X belongs to at least one subset  $S_i$ , and an integer m.

QUESTION: Does there exist a collection C of at most m of these subsets, such that the members of C cover all elements of X? i.e.  $\bigcup_{S \in C} S = X$ .

Example: The following Set Cover instance:  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, S_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}, S_2 = \{3, 4\}, S_3 = \{1, 2, 5\}, S_4 = \{4, 5\}$  and m = 2, is a yes instance, because there exists a collection C with two subsets that cover all elements of  $X: C = \{S_1, S_4\}$ .

Exercise 6 Provide a reduction from a simplified EMPLOYEE SCHEDULING problem to SAT.

#### EMPLOYEE SCHEDULING:

INSTANCE:

- Number of employees: n.
- Set  $A = \{D, A, N, -\}$  where D = "day shift", A = "afternoon shift", N = "night shift", and = "day-off".
- w: length of schedule. Suppose that w = 7.
- Temporal requirements: The requirement matrix R (3×7), where each element  $r_{i,j}$  of the requirement matrix R shows the required number of employees for shift i during day j.
- Constraints:
  - Sequences of shifts not permitted to be assigned to employees. Suppose that these sequences are not permitted: (N D), (A D), and (N A). For example, the sequence (N D) (Night Day) indicates that after working in the night shift, it is not allowed to work the next day in the day shift.
  - Maximum and minimum length of blocks of workdays: MAXW, MINW. A Work block is a sequence consisting only from the working shifts (without day-off in beetwen). Suppose that MAXW = 5 and MINW = 2.

QUESTION: Find a schedule (assignment of shifts to employees) that satisfies the requirement matrix, and the two given constraints.

A schedule is represented by an  $n \times w$  matrix  $S \in A^{nw}$ . Each element  $s_{i,j}$  of matrix S corresponds to one shift. Element  $s_{i,j}$  shows on which shift employee i works during day j or whether the employee has day-off.

EXAMPLE: Suppose that we are given an instance of **EMPLOYEE SCHEDULING** with n = 9 and the following requirement matrix:

In Table 1 an employee schedule is presented that satisfies the requirement matrix, and the two given constraints in the problem definition. This schedule describes explicitly the working schedule of 9 employees during one week. The first employee works from Monday until Friday in a day shift (D) and during Saturday and Sunday has days-off. The second employee has days-off on Monday and Tuesday and works in a day shift during the rest of the week. Further, the last employee works from Monday until Wednesday in a night shift (N), on Thursday and Friday has days-off, and on Saturday and Sunday works in the day shift. Each row of this table represents the weekly schedule of one employee.

Table 1: A typical week schedule for 9 employees

Emp./day	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
1	D	D	D	D	D	-	-
2	-	-	D	D	D	D	D
3	-	-	-	N	N	N	N
4	D	D	-	-	A	A	A
5	A	A	A	A	-	-	-
6	N	N	N	N	N	-	-
7	-	-	A	A	A	A	A
8	A	A	-	-	-	N	N
9	N	N	N	-	-	D	D

Exercise 7 Give a proof sketch of the correctness of your reduction in the previous exercise. Does this reduction imply that **EMPLOYEE SCHEDULING** is an NP-complete problem? Argue your answer.

Exercise 8 Fomally prove that logical entailment in propositional logic is co-NP-complete.

## $ENTAILMENT (\models)$ :

INSTANCE: Propositional logic sentences  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

QUESTION: Does the sentence  $\alpha$  entails the sentence  $\beta$  ( $\alpha \models \beta$ )?

 $\alpha \models \beta$  if and only if, in every truth assignment in which  $\alpha$  is true,  $\beta$  is also true.

Exercise 9 Consider the following problem:

## SELECT-3RD

INSTANCE: An integer n, and a list  $L = (n_1, n_2, ..., n_m)$  of integers, where no integer occurs twice in L (i.e. L represents a set).

QUESTION: Is n the third biggest element in L?

Provide a logarithmic space algorithm for solving **SELECT-3RD** (use pseudo-code notation). Argue why it uses only logarithmic space.

**Hint.** Each element in L can be addressed using a pointer. Each pointer requires only logarithmic space.

Exercise 10 Design a Turing machine that increments by one a positive value represented by a string of 0s and 1s.

**Solution.** Turing machine M for incrementing a value represented by a string of 0s and 1s. M assumes that the lsb is left and the msb is right.  $M = (K, \Sigma, \delta, s)$  with  $K = \{s, q\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \sqcup, \triangleright\}$  and a transition function  $\delta$  as follows:

$p \in K$	$\sigma \in \Sigma$	$\delta(p,\sigma)$
s	$\triangleright$	$(s, \triangleright, \rightarrow)$
s	0	(h, 1, -)
s	1	$(s,1,\rightarrow)$
s	П	$(q,1,\leftarrow)$
q	1	$(q,0,\leftarrow)$
q	$\triangleright$	$(h, \triangleright, -)$