## MATH 355: HOMEWORK 1

## ALEXANDER LEE

**Exercise 1** (1.2.2). Suppose towards a contradiction that there is a rational number  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfying  $2^r = 3$ . Since  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we can write  $r = \frac{p}{q}$  for some  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $q \neq 0$ . Thus, we have  $2^{\frac{p}{q}} = 3 \Rightarrow 2^p = 3^q$ .

Suppose r > 0. We can assume that p and q have no common factors, so  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since  $2^p = 2 \cdot 2^{p-1}$  and  $2^{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $2^p$  is even. As such,  $3^q$  is even as well. However, this is a contradiction since  $3^q$  is odd because the product of odd numbers is odd.

Next, suppose r < 0. Without loss of generality, suppose  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^-$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since  $2^{\frac{p}{q}} < 1$ , we have a contradiction since we assumed that  $2^{\frac{p}{q}} = 3$ .

Finally, suppose r=0. Then,  $2^0=1\neq 3$ , which is a contradiction.

- **Exercise 2** (1.2.3). (a) False. Consider infinite set of the form  $A_n = [0, \frac{1}{n}]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Our definition of  $A_n$  satisfies  $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \supseteq A_4 \cdots$ . However, notice that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{0\}$ , which is not an infinite set.
  - (b) True
  - (c) False. Let  $A = \{0\}$ ,  $B = \{0, 1\}$ , and  $C = \{2, 3\}$ . Then,

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = \{0\} \cap (\{0,1\} \cup \{2,3\}) = \{0\},\$$

but

$$(A \cap B) \cup C = (\{0\} \cap \{0,1\}) \cup \{2,3\} = \{0,2,3\}.$$

Here,  $A \cap (B \cup C) \neq (A \cap B) \cup C$ .

- (d) True.
- (e) True.

**Exercise 3** (1.2.6). (a) Suppose  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  where a, b > 0. We have that |a+b| = a+b = |a|+|b|.

Next, suppose that a, b < 0. We have that |a + b| = -(a + b) = (-a) + (-b) = |a| + |b|.

(b) Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(a+b)^{2} = a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2}$$

$$\leq |a|^{2} + 2|a||b| + |b|^{2}$$

$$= (|a| + |b|)^{2}.$$

(c) Given  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|a - b| = |(a - c) + (c - d) + (d - b)|$$
  
 $\leq |a - c| + |(c - d) + (d - b)|$  (by the triangle inequality)  
 $\leq |a - c| + |c - d| + |d - b|$  (by the triangle inequality).

(d) Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ||a| - |b|| &= ||a - b + b| - |b|| \\ &\leq ||a - b| + |b| - |b|| \text{ (by the triangle inequality)} \\ &= ||a - b|| \\ &= |a - b|. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 4** (1.2.8). (a) Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be defined by  $f(n) = n + 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (b) Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be defined by  $f(n) = |n|, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (c) Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  be defined by  $f(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{2} & n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{-n}{2} & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Exercise 5** (1.2.10). (a) False. Let a = 1, b = 1, and  $\epsilon = 0.5$ . We have that 1 < 1 + 0.5 = 1.5, but it is not true that a < b since a = 1 = b.

- (b) False. The same counterexample as in part (a) can be used to show the statement is false.
- (c) False. Let  $a=2,\ b=1,$  and  $\epsilon=2.$  We have that 2<1+2=3, but a>b since 2>1.

**Exercise 6** (1.3.2). (a) Let  $B = \{0\}$ .  $\inf(B) = 0 = \sup(B)$ . Thus,  $\inf(B) \ge \sup(B)$ .

- (b) Impossible.
- (c) Let B be a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  where  $B = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 < r \leq 1\}$ .  $\sup(B) = 1 \in B$ , but  $\inf(B) = 0 \notin B$ .

**Exercise 7** (1.3.3). (a) By definition,  $\inf(A) \in B$  and  $\inf(A) \ge b$  for all  $b \in B$ . Thus,  $\inf(A)$  is the maximum of B, which implies that  $\inf(A) = \sup(B)$ .

- (b) For every nonempty set A of real numbers that is bounded below, we can define  $B = \{b \in \mathbb{R} : b \text{ is a lower bound for } A\}$ . By the Axiom of Completeness, we know that if B is bounded above, B has a least upper bound  $\sup(B)$ . From (a), we showed that  $\sup(B) = \inf(A)$ . Therefore, if A is bounded below, A has a greatest lower bound  $\inf(A)$ , so there is no need to assert this in the Axiom of Completeness.
- **Exercise 8** (1.3.6). (a) Given  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , consider

$$a+b \le s+b \text{ (since } s=\sup(A) \ge a)$$
  
  $\le s+t \text{ (since } t=\sup(B) \ge b).$ 

Therefore, s + t is an upper bound for A + B.

- (b) Given an arbitrary upper bound u for A+B,  $a \in A$ , and  $b \in B$ , we have  $a+b \le u \Rightarrow b \le u-a$ . Thus, u-a is an upper bound for B. Since  $t = \sup(B)$  is the least upper bound for B,  $t \le u-a$ .
- (c) It follows from (b) that  $a \le u t$ . Thus, u t is an upper bound for A. As such,  $\sup(A) \le u t \Rightarrow s \le u t \Rightarrow s + t \le u$ . Therefore,  $\sup(A + B) = s + t$ .
- (d) Since  $s = \sup(A)$  and  $t = \sup(B)$ , given an arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $a \in A$ , and  $b \in B$ , we know  $s \frac{\epsilon}{2} < a$  and  $t \frac{\epsilon}{2} < b$  by Lemma 1.3.8. Then,

$$\left(s - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) + \left(t - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) < a + b$$
  
$$s + t - \epsilon < a + b.$$

By Lemma 1.3.8,  $\sup(A + B) = s + t$ .