### MATH 355: NOTES

### ALEXANDER LEE

## THE REAL NUMBERS

## Some Preliminaries.

**Theorem.** Two real numbers a and b are equal if and only if for every real number  $\epsilon > 0$  it follows that  $|a - b| < \epsilon$ .

# The Axiom of Completeness.

**Axiom** (Axiom of Completeness). Every nonempty set of real numbers that is bounded above has a least upper bound.

**Definition.** A set  $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is *bounded above* if there exists a number  $b \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $a \leq b$  for all  $a \in A$ . The number b is called an *upper bound* for A.

Similarly, the set A is bounded below if there exists a lower bound  $l \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfying  $l \leq a$  for every  $a \in A$ .

**Definition.** A real number s is the *least upper bound* for a set  $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  if it meets the following two criteria:

- (i) s is an upper bound for A;
- (ii) if b is any upper bound for A, then  $s \leq b$ .

The least upper bound is also frequently called the *supremum* of the set A. We write  $s = \sup A$  for the least upper bound.

The greatest lower bound or infimum for A is defined in a similar way and is denoted by inf A.

**Theorem.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be bounded above and below. Then, the sup A and inf A are unique.

**Definition.** A real number  $a_0$  is a *maximum* of the set A if  $a_0$  is an element of A and  $a_0 \ge a$  for all  $a \in A$ . Similarly, a number  $a_1$  is a *minimum* of A if  $a_1 \in A$  and  $a_1 \le a$  for every  $a \in A$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be nonempty and bounded above.

(i) Let  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  and define the set c + A by

$$c + A = \{c + a : a \in A\}.$$

Then  $\sup(c+A) = c + \sup A$ .

(ii) Let  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  with c > 0 and define the set cA by

$$cA = \{ca : a \in A\}.$$

Then  $\sup(cA) = c \sup A$ .

**Lemma.** Assume  $s \in \mathbf{R}$  is an upper bound for a set  $A \subseteq R$ . Then,  $s = \sup A$  if and only if, for every choice of  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an element  $a \in A$  satisfying  $s - \epsilon < a$ .

1

## Consequences of Completeness.

**Theorem** (Nested Interval Property). For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , assume we are given a closed interval  $I_n = [a_n, b_n] = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : a_n \leq x \leq b_n\}$ . Assume also that each  $I_n$  contains  $I_{n+1}$ . Then, the resulting nested sequence of closed intervals

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq I_4 \supseteq \cdots$$

has a nonempty intersection; that is,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem** (Archimedean Property). (i) Given any number  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  satisfying n > x.

(ii) Given any real number y > 0, there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying 1/n < y.

**Definition.** A set X is dense in **R** if for any  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  with a < b,  $\exists x \in X$  with a < x < b.

**Theorem** (Density of Q in R). For every two real numbers a and b with a < b, there exists a rational number r satisfying a < r < b.