

# PDE'S - EXERCISES 21-27

ALEXANDER ZAHRER

In all these exercises we use the abbreviations  $H^s := H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\dot{H}^s := \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\mathcal{S}' := \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_b := \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_b^k := \mathcal{C}_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty := \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover, for  $r > 0$  we define  $B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x| < r\}$  and  $S^{d-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x| = 1\}$ .

## 1 EXERCISE 21:

From exercises 17 and 18 we deduce that  $F \mapsto \phi_F$  is an antilinear map  $H^s \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We now need to show that for  $s \geq 0$  this map is actually injective. It suffices to show that if  $F \in H^s$  satisfies  $\phi_F = 0$ , then  $F = 0$ . Let  $(f_k) \subset \mathcal{S}$  be a representative for  $F$  in  $H^s$ . First observe that  $g_k := \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\langle \cdot \rangle^{2s} \mathcal{F} f_k] \in \mathcal{S}$ . A rather dull calculation shows  $\|f_k - f_l\|_{H^s}^2 \xrightarrow{(l \rightarrow \infty)} \|f_k\|_{H^s}^2 + \|F\|_{H^s}^2$ . Indeed,

$$\|f_k - f_l\|_{H^s}^2 = (\langle \cdot \rangle^s \mathcal{F}(f_k - f_l) \mid \langle \cdot \rangle^s \mathcal{F}(f_k - f_l)) = \|f_k\|_{H^s}^2 + \|f_l\|_{H^s}^2 - 2\Re(\langle \cdot \rangle^s \mathcal{F} f_k \mid \langle \cdot \rangle^s \mathcal{F} f_l) \quad (1)$$

$$= \|f_k\|_{H^s}^2 + \|f_l\|_{H^s}^2 - 2\Re(\langle \cdot \rangle^{2s} \mathcal{F} f_k \mid \mathcal{F} f_l) = \|f_k\|_{H^s}^2 + \|f_l\|_{H^s}^2 - 2\Re(\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\langle \cdot \rangle^{2s} \mathcal{F} f_k] \mid f_l) \quad (2)$$

$$= \|f_k\|_{H^s}^2 + \|f_l\|_{H^s}^2 - 2\Re(g_k \mid f_l) \xrightarrow{(l \rightarrow \infty)} \|f_k\|_{H^s}^2 + \|F\|_{H^s}^2 \quad (3)$$

where we have used that  $(g_k \mid f_l) \rightarrow 0$  (which holds since  $\phi_F = 0$ ). Using this we obtain

$$0 = \lim_k \lim_l \|f_k - f_l\|_{H^s}^2 = 2\|F\|_{H^s}^2$$

and therefore  $F = 0$ , as wanted.

## 2 EXERCISE 22:

Fix  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $s \leq t$ . We have to prove that there exists a linear embedding  $H^t \hookrightarrow H^s$ . Let  $F \in H^t$  and pick some representative  $(f_l) \subset \mathcal{S}$  for  $F$ . Clearly

$$\|f_l - f_k\|_{H^s} \leq \|f_l - f_k\|_{H^t}$$

and therefore the sequence  $(f_l)$  is also Cauchy in  $H^s$  and hence defines an element  $[(f_l)]_{H^s} \in H^s$ . If  $(g_l) \subset \mathcal{S}$  is another representative of  $F$ , then of course

$$\|f_l - g_l\|_{H^s} \leq \|f_l - g_l\|_{H^t} \rightarrow 0$$

which shows that  $[(f_l)]_{H^s} \in H^s$  is independent of the chosen representative for  $F$ . In particular, this yields that the map

$$\iota: H^t \rightarrow H^s \quad F = [(f_l)]_{H^t} \mapsto [(f_l)]_{H^s}$$

is a well defined map. Of course  $\iota$  is linear and it is continuous, since if  $F = [(f_l)]_{H^t}$  with  $(f_l) \subset \mathcal{S}$  Cauchy then

$$\|\iota(F)\|_{H^s} = \lim_l \|f_l\|_{H^s} \leq \lim_l \|f_l\|_{H^t} = \|F\|_{H^t}$$

In particular, if we view  $\mathcal{S}$  as a subset of both  $H^s$  and  $H^t$ , then  $\iota|_{\mathcal{S}}: \mathcal{S} \subset H^t \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \subset H^s$  is just the "identity". It remains to show that  $\iota(F) = 0$  implies  $F = 0$ . But if  $F \in H^t$  satisfies  $\iota(F) = 0$ , then we of course must also have  $\phi_{\iota(F)} = 0$  and therefore for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}$

$$0 = \phi_{\iota(F)}(f) = \lim_l (f | f_l) = \phi_F(f) \quad (*)$$

However, in exercise 21 we showed that the antilinear map

$$H^t \mapsto \mathcal{S}' \quad G \mapsto \phi_G$$

is injective and from  $(*)$  we deduce  $\phi_F = 0$ . Thus  $F = 0$ , as wanted.

The second part of exercise 22 asks whether an analogous statement for homogeneous Sobolev spaces is true. The answer to this question is no and we verify this by a counterexample. It suffices to prove that the approximate inequality

$$\int |\cdot|^{2s} |f|^2 \lesssim \int |\cdot|^{2t} |f|^2$$

is not uniformly satisfied for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  (this is sufficient because then  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim \|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^t}$  cannot hold and thus the proof in the case of  $H^s$  respective  $H^t$  from before cannot be executed analogously and in particular we cannot find an embedding  $\iota: \dot{H}^t \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^s$  such that  $\iota(f) = f \in \dot{H}^s$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{S} \subset \dot{H}^t$ ). To construct our counterexample: Let  $(\phi_l) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty \subset \mathcal{S}$  be a sequence of bump functions such that  $\text{supp}(\phi_l) \subset B_{1/l}$  and  $\phi_l|_{\overline{B_{1/(2l)}}} \equiv 1$  (we know that such a sequence exists from lectures on analysis). We then estimate

$$\int |\cdot|^{2t} |\phi_l|^2 \leq \int_{B_{1/l}} \frac{1}{l^{2t}} = \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{1/l})}{l^{2t}} = \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})}{l^{2t+d}} \quad (4)$$

$$\int |\cdot|^{2s} |\phi_l|^2 \geq \int_{B_{1/(2l)}} |\cdot|^{2s} = \text{Vol}(S^{d-1}) \int_0^{1/(2l)} r^{2s+d-1} dr = \left(\frac{1}{2l}\right)^{2s+d} \frac{\text{Vol}(S^{d-1})}{2s+d} \quad (5)$$

and therefore we calculate

$$\frac{\int |\cdot|^{2s} |\phi_l|^2}{\int |\cdot|^{2t} |\phi_l|^2} \geq \frac{\int_{B_{1/(2l)}} \frac{1}{l^{2t}}}{\int_{B_{1/(2l)}} |\cdot|^{2s}} \simeq l^{2(t-s)} \xrightarrow{(l \rightarrow \infty)} \infty \quad (6)$$

### 3 EXERCISE 23:

It is standard knowledge from functional analysis that the space  $(\mathcal{C}_b, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a Banach space. Suppose first that the dimension  $d$  is equal to 1. Now if we are given a sequence  $(f_l) \subset \mathcal{C}_b^1$  satisfying

$$\|f_l' - f_k'\|_\infty + \|f_l - f_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$$

then since  $(f_l), (f_l') \subset \mathcal{C}_b$  we have that there exist unique functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_b$  such that  $f_l \rightarrow f$  and  $f_l' \rightarrow g$  in the uniform topology. A quick application of the fundamental theorem of calculus along with the fact that uniform convergence allows us to interchange limit and integral shows

$$f(x) \xleftarrow{(l \rightarrow \infty)} f_l(x) = f_l(0) + \int_0^x f_l'(t) dt \xrightarrow{(l \rightarrow \infty)} f(0) + \int_0^x g(t) dt$$

and therefore again by the FTC  $f' = g$ , which verifies completeness of  $(\mathcal{C}_b^1, \|\cdot\|_{W^{1,\infty}})$  in the case for  $d = 1$ . Now let's get to the general statement where  $d$  and  $k \geq 1$  are arbitrary. Let  $(f_l) \subset \mathcal{C}_b^k$  be Cauchy with respect to the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,\infty}} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha \cdot\|_\infty$$

Of course we then must have that for every multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$  the sequence  $(\partial^\alpha f_l) \subset \mathcal{C}_b$  is Cauchy in  $\mathcal{C}_b$  and thus it has a limit  $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_b$ . Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . To make notation a tiny bit

more convenient we write  $(\bar{x}, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_{d-j})$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-j}$  and  $j \geq 1$ . Similarly to what we did before we have by the FTC

$$f_l(x) = f_l(\bar{x}, 0) + \int_0^{x_d} \partial_d f_l(\bar{x}, t_1) dt_1 = f_l(\bar{x}, 0) + x_d \partial_d f_l(\bar{x}, 0) + \int_0^{x_d} \int_0^{t_1} \partial_d^2 f_l(\bar{x}, t_2) dt_2 dt_1 = \dots \quad (7)$$

$$= \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \frac{x_d^v}{v!} \partial_d^v f_l(\bar{x}, 0) + \int_0^{x_d} \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \partial_d^m f_l(\bar{x}, t_m) dt_m \dots dt_1 \quad (8)$$

It follows immediately by the same arguments as before that sending  $l \rightarrow \infty$  yields

$$f(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \frac{x_d^v}{v!} g_{(0, \dots, 0, v)}(\bar{x}, 0) + \int_0^{x_d} \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} g_{(0, \dots, m)}(\bar{x}, t_m) dt_m \dots dt_1$$

Thus  $\partial^{(0, \dots, m)} f = g_{(0, \dots, m)}$ . Taking an arbitrary multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  works analogously by an inductive procedure and therefore indeed  $\partial^\alpha f = g_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_b$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . This proves that  $(\mathcal{C}_b^k, \|\cdot\|_{W^{k, \infty}})$  is indeed a Banach space for every  $k \geq 1$  and for every possible dimension  $d \geq 1$ .

#### 4 EXERCISE 24:

Let  $s > \frac{d}{2}$  and suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We are asked to prove the Sobolev embedding  $H^{s+k} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_b^k$ . Let  $F \in H^{s+k}$  and suppose  $(f_l) \subset \mathcal{S}$  is a representative for  $F$  in  $H^{s+k}$ . Now fix some multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , then by means of Lemma 2.9 from the lecture we get

$$\|\partial^\alpha (f_k - f_l)\|_\infty \lesssim \|\partial^\alpha (f_k - f_l)\|_{H^s} = \| \langle \cdot \rangle^s \mathcal{F}(\partial^\alpha (f_k - f_l)) \|_2 \quad (9)$$

$$\simeq \| \langle \cdot \rangle^s \xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f_k - f_l) \|_2 \lesssim \| \langle \cdot \rangle^{s+k} \mathcal{F}(f_k - f_l) \|_2 = \|f_k - f_l\|_{H^{s+k}} \quad (10)$$

Hence in total  $\|\partial^\alpha (f_k - f_l)\|_\infty \lesssim \|f_k - f_l\|_{H^{s+k}}$  and therefore  $(\partial^\alpha f_l) \subset \mathcal{C}_b$  is Cauchy with respect to the uniform topology for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . In particular this means that  $(f_l) \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{C}_b^k$  is Cauchy with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k, \infty}}$  and by Exercise 23 we already know that the space  $(\mathcal{C}_b^k, \|\cdot\|_{W^{k, \infty}})$  is a Banach space. Thus there exists  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^k$  such that  $(f_l)$  converges to  $f$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k, \infty}}$ . By means of this procedure define the map

$$\psi: H^{s+k} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b^k \quad [(f_l)] \mapsto f$$

Of course  $\psi$  is well defined, for if  $(f_l), (g_l) \subset \mathcal{S}$  are both representatives for  $F \in H^{s+k}$ , then  $\|f_l - g_l\|_\infty \lesssim \|f_l - g_l\|_{H^{s+k}} \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover,  $\psi$  is a linear embedding. Linearity is trivial, so now let  $F \in H^{s+k}$  be such that  $\psi(F) = 0$ . Let  $(f_l) \subset \mathcal{S}$  be a representative for  $F$ , then  $\|f_l\|_{W^{k, \infty}} \rightarrow 0$  (so in particular  $\|f_l\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ ) and thus for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  we have

$$|\phi_{f_l}(f)| \leq \|f\|_1 \|f_l\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$$

This yields that  $\phi_{f_l} \rightarrow 0 \in \mathcal{S}'$ . However,  $f_l \rightarrow F$  in  $H^{s+k}$  yields  $\phi_{f_l} \rightarrow \phi_F$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  and therefore  $\phi_F = 0$  (by uniqueness of limits). Making use of Exercise 21 we deduce  $F = 0$ , which concludes the proof.

#### 5 EXERCISE 25:

Recall that the space of tempered distributions  $\mathcal{S}'$  is the space of all those linear functionals  $\phi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$|\phi(f)| \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| \langle \cdot \rangle^N \partial^\alpha f \|_\infty$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  the distributional derivative  $\partial^\alpha \phi$  of some distribution  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'$  evaluated at  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  is given by

$$\partial^\alpha \phi(f) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \phi(\partial^\alpha f)$$

We are asked to verify that  $\partial^\alpha \phi \in \mathcal{S}'$ . It is clear that  $\partial^\alpha \phi$  is again linear. Moreover, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  we have

$$|\partial^\alpha \phi(f)| = |\phi(\partial^\alpha f)| \lesssim \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^N \partial^{\beta+\alpha} f\|_\infty \leq \sum_{|\beta| \leq N+|\alpha|} \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{N+|\alpha|} \partial^\beta f\|_\infty$$

which verifies  $\partial^\alpha \phi \in \mathcal{S}'$ .

## 6 EXERCISE 26:

We already made use of the multi-index derivative for tempered distributions in the preceding exercise. The original definition given in the lecture was

$$\partial_j \phi(f) := -\phi(\partial_j f)$$

Now let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , then we calculate

$$(\partial^\alpha \phi)(f) = (\partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_d^{\alpha_d} \phi)(f) = (-1)^{\alpha_1} (\partial_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_d^{\alpha_d} \phi)(\partial_1^{\alpha_1} f) = \dots = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \phi(\partial^\alpha f)$$

## 7 EXERCISE 27:

Suppose  $s > \frac{d}{2}$  and  $g \in H^s \subset \mathcal{C}_b$ . We are asked to show that

$$\phi_g(f) = (f | g)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . We use the notation of exercise 24. By construction of the Sobolev embedding we know that if  $F \in H^s$ , then  $\psi(F) \in \mathcal{C}_b$  is given by  $\psi(F) = \lim f_l$  (limit is taken with respect to the uniform topology) for some representative  $(f_l) \subset \mathcal{S}$  for  $F$ . But from that we easily obtain

$$|\phi_F(f) - (f | \psi(F))| = \lim |(f | f_l - f_l)| = 0$$

In other words

$$\phi_F = (\cdot | \psi(F))$$

which is exactly what we wanted to prove.