

# PDE 'S - EXERCISES 17-20

ALEXANDER ZHRER

## 1 EXERCISE 17:

We have to prove that the map  $F \mapsto \phi_F: L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is well defined. Take  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and let  $\{f_k\}_k \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a representative for  $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We then observe that

$$|\phi_{f_k}(f) - \phi_{f_l}(f)| = |(f | f_k - f_l)| \leq \|f\|_q \|f_k - f_l\|_p \rightarrow 0$$

for the appropriate  $q \geq 1$  so that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Hence  $\{\phi_{f_k}(f)\}_k \subset \mathbb{C}$  is Cauchy and thus convergent for all Schwarz functions  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In particular, if  $\{g_k\}$  is another representative for  $F$ , then

$$|\phi_{f_k}(f) - \phi_{g_k}(f)| \leq \|f\|_q \|f_k - g_k\|_p \rightarrow 0$$

which assures us that  $\phi_F$  is indeed well defined.

## 2 EXERCISE 18:

We are asked to verify  $\phi_{\lambda F + G} = \bar{\lambda} \phi_F + \phi_G$ .

$$\lambda \phi_F(f) + \phi_G(f) = \lim \{\lambda \phi_{f_k}(f) + \phi_{g_k}(f)\} = \lim (f | \bar{\lambda} f_k + g_k) = \phi_{\bar{\lambda} F + G}(f)$$

## 3 EXERCISE 19:

We are to show that if  $\{F_k\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $F_k \rightarrow F$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $\phi_{F_k} \rightarrow \phi_F$  in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . So fix some arbitrary Schwarz function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ; all we need to show is  $\lim_k \phi_{F_k}(f) = \phi_F(f)$ . By assumption

$$\|F - F_k\|_2 \rightarrow 0$$

so if we take a representative  $\{f_k\}_k \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of  $F$  and representatives  $\{f_l^k\}_l$  for each  $F_k$ , then

$$0 = \lim_k \|F_k - F\|_2 = \lim_k \lim_l \|f_l^k - f_l\|_2$$

We then deduce

$$|\phi_{F_k}(f) - \phi_F(f)| = \lim_l |(f | f_l^k) - (f | f_l)| = \lim_l |(f | f_l^k - f_l)| \leq \|f\|_2 \|f_l^k - f_l\|_2 = \|f\|_2 \|F_k - F\| \rightarrow 0$$

as wanted.

## 4 EXERCISE 20:

We want to show that for  $s \geq 0$  we have

$$(|\nabla|^s f | g) = (f | |\nabla|^s g)$$

Plugging in definitions and using Plancherel's theorem twice yields

$$(|\nabla|^s f | g) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\cdot|^s \mathcal{F} f) | g) = (|\cdot|^s \mathcal{F} f | \mathcal{F} g) = (\mathcal{F} f | |\cdot|^s \mathcal{F} g) = (f | |\nabla|^s g)$$

as wanted.