

# Projects - Quantile estimation

- R is the only programming language allowed in answers. Questions requiring R code are indicated by the symbol ♠
- The report (file name: groupe\_rapport\_noms, e.g., 001\_rapport\_robert\_duche)
  - to be returned in .pdf format and must contain your answers and comments. Careful drafting is expected. It is important to justify/comment on the theoretical and numerical results
  - To integrate all or part of your code and outputs into your report, you can use the dedicated tools Notebook, Rmarkdown or LATEX+ knitr. However, it is forbidden to copy and paste raw code into the body of the text. Graphics must be carefully annotated and presented (title, colour, captions, etc.).
- A version of the code that can be tested must be provided (same file name). This code must:
  - run without errors and reproduce all the results presented in the report. You specify the seed used for the results obtained.
  - be well commented. You may be asked for an oral explanation.
  - use as much as possible the specificities of the language (bonus for the most efficient codes).
- The project will be emailed at the address stanislasduche@gmail.com with the object **groupe\_name1\_name2 - Monte Carlo Project Part 1**
- The project will be released in 3 times, with blocks of 3/4 exercises. **This is the first block of the project** to be returned for **Sunday November 24<sup>th</sup> 2024 at 23:59:59. Every hour late starting from November 25<sup>th</sup>, 00:00:01, will be penalized by a point.**
- The project can be carried out in groups of two or three. For groups of 3, a requirement for detail and initiative will be included in the marking of the project.
- **I would remind you that plagiarism is strictly forbidden and any suspicion will result in a 0 for the exercise. The Large Language Models chatbots can be used as an aid; but any code suspected to be written by an assistant will not be corrected.**

## Exercise 1: Negative weighted mixture

Consider a random variable of a negative weighted mixture  $X$  following a density law proportional to

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) \propto f_1(x) - af_2(x)$$

with  $f_1(x) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $f_2(x) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$  density laws of two normal distributions; and  $a > 0$ . The objective of this exercise is to understand the behavior of the random variable and to compute statistics.

## Definition

**Question 1:** Recall the conditions for a function  $f$  to be a probability density. Considering the tail behavior of  $f$ , derive necessary conditions on  $(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2)$  for  $f$  to be a density.

**Question 2:** For given parameters  $\theta_1 = (\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $\theta_2 = (\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ , determine a bound  $a^*$  on  $a$  for  $f(x) \propto f_1(x) - af_2(x)$  to be a well-defined density. Provide its normalization constant.

**Question 3:** (♠) Create an R function `f(a, mu_1, mu_2, s_1, s_2, x)` that produces the pdf of  $f$  as a function of  $x$  and of the parameters  $a, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ . Plot on the same graph the pdf of  $f$  for different values of  $a$ , especially for  $a = a^*$ . In another graph, do the same for different values of  $\sigma_2$ , for  $\sigma_1$  fixed. Examine the impact of  $a$  and  $\sigma_2$  on the shape of  $f$ .

From now on, we set the following numerical values:  $\mu_1 = 0, \mu_2 = 1, \sigma_1^2 = 9, \sigma_2^2 = 1$ , and  $a = 0.2$ .

Check they are compatible with the constraint derived above.

## Inverse c.d.f Random Variable simulation

**Question 4:** (♠) Show that the cumulative density function associated with  $f$  is available in closed form. Create an R function `F(a, mu_1, mu_2, s_1, s_2, x)` that produces the cdf of  $f$  as a function of  $x$  and of the parameters  $a, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ . Construct an algorithm that returns the value of the inverse function method as a function of  $u \in (0, 1)$ , of the parameters  $a, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ , and of an approximation precision  $\varepsilon$ . Deduce an algorithm that implements the inverse function method for the generation of random variables from  $F$ .

**Question 5:** (♠) Write an R function `inv_cdf(n)` that generates  $n$  samples from  $f$  using the inverse function method. Generate  $n = 10000$  samples, and graphically check that `inv_cdf()` is correct.

## Accept-Reject Random Variable simulation.

**Question 6:** Describe a method to simulate under  $f$  using the accept-reject algorithm and give the expression theoretical acceptance rate according to the parameters of  $f$ .

**Question 7:** (♠) Write a function `accept_reject(n)` that generates  $n$  samples from  $f$  using the accept-reject method. Generate  $n=10000$  samples, and graphically check that `accept_reject()` is correct. Compute the empirical acceptance rate and check whether it agrees with its theoretical value.

**Question 8:** (♠) Vary the value of  $a$  and plot the acceptance rate for different values of  $a$ . Describe its impact when  $a \rightarrow a^*$ .

## Random Variable simulation with stratification

Consider a partition  $\mathcal{P} = (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_k), k \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $D_0$  covers the tails of  $f_1$  and  $f_1$  is upper bounded and  $f_2$  lower bounded in  $D_1, \dots, D_k$ . We consider the following dominating function  $g$  conditioned on the partition:

$$\begin{cases} g(x) = \frac{1}{Z} f_1(x) & \text{if } x \in D_0 \\ g(x) = \frac{1}{Z} (\sup_{D_i} f_1(x) - a \inf_{D_i} f_2(x)) & \text{if } x \in D_i, i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \end{cases}$$

where  $Z$  is the normalization constant of  $f$ .

**Question 9:** Describe an accept-reject algorithm using the dominating function  $g$  and the partition  $\mathcal{P}$ , and calculate the acceptance rate of this new algorithm.

**Question 10:** For  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , prove that there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P} = (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{n_\delta})$ , such that the acceptance rate is greater than  $\delta$ .

**Question 11:** (♠) Write a function `stratified(n)` that generates  $n$  samples from  $f$  using the accept-reject method. Generate  $n=10000$  samples, and graphically check that `stratified()` is correct. Compute the empirical acceptance rate and check whether it agrees with its theoretical value.

**Question 12:** (♠) Write a function `stratified(n,delta)` that generates  $n$  samples from  $f$  using the accept-reject method with an acceptance rate of  $\delta$ . You will return the  $n$  samples generated and the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  used. Generate  $n=10000$  samples and compute the empirical acceptance rate and check that your code is correct.

### Cumulative density function.

**Question 13:** Write down the cumulative density function  $F_X(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ; and for a given  $x$ , write a Monte Carlo estimator  $F_n(x)$  using  $n$  random variables  $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$ , *i.i.d.* following the law of  $X$ .

**Question 14:** Prove the strong consistency of the estimator  $F_n(x)$  for a given  $x$ .

*Remark 14:* In fact, Glivenko-Cantelli theorem asserts that  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(x) - F(x)| \rightarrow 0$  almost surely. Hence  $F_n$  is a good estimate of  $F$  as a function of  $x$ .

**Question 15:** (♠) Write a function `empirical_cdf(x,Xn)` that returns an estimate of the empirical cdf of  $f$  at value  $x$ , using  $n$  *i.i.d.* random draws  $\mathbf{Xn}$ . Illustrate graphically strong consistency using increasing values of  $n$ .

**Question 16:** Remind the Central Limit Theorem. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , deduce a 95 % confidence interval for  $F(x)$  using  $F_n(x)$ .

**Question 17:** (♠) Using a R code, give  $n$ , the number of simulation needed to have a 95% confidence interval of  $F(x)$  for  $x = 1$ , and for  $x = -15$ . What do you notice ?

### Empirical quantile function

We define the empirical quantile function defined on  $(0, 1)$  by :

$$Q_n(u) := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : u \leq F_n(x)\}$$

By the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, we can deduce the almost surely convergence of  $Q_n(u) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} Q(u)$

**Question 18:** From *i.i.d.* samples  $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$  following the same law as  $X$ , give the value of  $Q_n(u)$  for  $u \in (0, 1)$ .

*Reminder:* Lindeberg-Levy Central Limit theorem: At  $n$  fixed, consider independent random variables  $(X_{n,j})_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  uniformly bounded in  $j$  and in  $n$ ,  $\text{Var} X_{n,j} = \sigma_{n,j}^2 < \infty$ . If  $s_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{n,j}^2 \rightarrow \infty$  and if  $t_n \rightarrow t$ , then :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_{n,j} - \mathbb{E}(X_{n,j}))}{s_n} < t_n \right) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\exp(-u^2/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} du$$

**Question 19:** Notice that  $Y_{j,n} := \mathbb{1}_{X_{n,j} < Q(u) + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sqrt{u(1-u)}}{f(Q(u))}}$  are Bernoulli random variable, using the

Lindeberg-Levy CLT, deduce a Central Limit Theorem for  $Q_n(u)$ .

**Question 20:** What do you notice when  $u \rightarrow 0$  or  $u \rightarrow 1$  ?

**Question 21:** (♠) Write a function `empirical_quantile(u,Xn)` that returns the empirical quantile using  $n$  *i.i.d.* random draws  $\mathbf{Xn}$ . Check numerically the intuition of the previous question for different values of  $u$ .

**Question 22:** (♠) Using a R code, give  $n$ , the number of simulation needed to have a 95% confidence interval of  $Q(u)$  for  $u \in \{0.5, 0.9, 0.99, 0.999, 0.9999\}$ .

### Quantile estimation Naïve Reject algorithm

**Question 23:** Describe a method using accept-reject method to simulate a random variable  $X$  conditional to the event  $\{X \in A\}$ ,  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Justify theoretically

**Question 24:** (♠) Using the previous question algorithm, propose a way to simulate  $\delta = \mathbb{P}(X \geq q)$ . Compute a function `accept_reject_quantile(q,n)` that returns a Monte Carlo estimate  $\hat{\delta}_n^{Reject}$  of the target probability using  $n$  random variable simulations.

**Question 25:** (♠) Compute a confidence interval for  $\delta$  at level 95% for a required precision  $\epsilon$ .

## Importance Sampling

**Question 26:** Propose a sampling distribution  $g$  to realise importance sampling and remind the importance sampling Monte Carlo estimator  $\hat{\delta}_n^{IS}$  of  $\delta$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . On which condition, the importance sampling estimator is preferred to the classical Monte Carlo estimator.

From now on,  $g$  will be a Cauchy distribution of the parameters  $(\mu_0, \gamma)$

**Question 27:** Remind the density of a Cauchy distribution. Choose parameters  $(\mu_0, \gamma)$ , explain your reasoning.

**Question 28:** (♠) Compute a R function `IS_quantile(q,n)` that gives, for  $n = 10000$ , the estimator  $\hat{\delta}_n^{IS}$  using  $n$  simulated random variables. Compute a confidence interval for  $\delta$  at level 95% at precision  $\epsilon$ .

## Control Variate

**Question 29:** Remind the definition of the score. Derive the partial derivative of the log-likelihood  $\log f(x|\theta_1, \theta_2)$  under  $\mu_1$ . We note  $s_{\mu_1}(x|\theta) = \frac{\partial \log f(x|\theta_1, \theta_2)}{\partial \mu_1}$ . (Remind that  $\theta_1 = (\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $\theta_2 = (\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ )

**Question 30:** Propose a control variate Monte Carlo Estimator  $\hat{\delta}_n^{CV}$  using the control random variable  $s_{\mu_1}(X|\theta)$

**Question 31:** (♠) Compute a R function `CV_quantile(q,n)` that gives for  $n = 10000$ , the estimator  $\hat{\delta}_n^{CV}$  using  $n$  simulated random variables. Compute a confidence interval for  $\delta$  at level 95 % at precision  $\epsilon$

**Question 32:** Compare the 3 methods: Naive, Control Variate and Importance Sampling. You can asses their algorithmic complexity, their computational cost for a required precision, etc.