

## VI. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

### A. Proof of Theorem 1

For  $\Omega \in T_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$ , the Fisher Information Metric is obtained according to Theorem 1 of [1] as

$$g_{\Sigma}^{fim}(\Omega, \Omega) = -\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{L}(\{\mathbf{z}_k\} | \Sigma + t\partial\Omega, g) \Big|_{t=0} \right]. \quad (18)$$

First, recall that the log-likelihood of the sample set is

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\mathbf{z}_k\} | \Sigma, g) = \sum_{k=1}^K \log(g(\text{Tr}\{\Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{z}_k\})) - K \log|\Sigma|, \quad (19)$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}_k = \mathbf{z}_k \mathbf{z}_k^H$ . Through the second-order Taylor expansions around  $\Sigma$ , one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{L}(\{\mathbf{z}_k\} | \Sigma + t\Omega) \Big|_{t=0} &= K \text{Tr} \{ (\Omega \Sigma^{-1})^2 \} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{k=1}^K \text{Tr} \{ (\Omega \Sigma^{-1})^2 \mathbf{z}_k \Sigma^{-1} \} \phi(\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{z}_k \}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^K \text{Tr}^2 \{ \Sigma^{-1} \Omega \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{z}_k \} \phi'(\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{z}_k \}). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In order to compute the expectations, we recall that  $\mathbf{z}_k$  has the stochastic representation  $\mathbf{z}_k \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}_k} \Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{u}_k$ . This allows us some simplifications since  $\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{z}_k \} = \mathcal{Q}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_k^H \mathbf{u}_k = 1$ , and since that  $\mathbf{u}_k$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_k$  are independent (allowing to split the expectations). Hence we have for the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} [\text{Tr} \{ (\Omega \Sigma^{-1})^2 \mathbf{z}_k \Sigma^{-1} \} \phi(\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{z}_k \})] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{H/2} \Sigma^{-1} (\Omega \Sigma^{-1})^2 \Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{u}_k^H \}] \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Q}_k \phi(\mathcal{Q}_k)] \\ &= -\text{Tr} \{ (\Omega \Sigma^{-1})^2 \}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where we used  $\mathbb{E} [\mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{u}_k^H] = \mathbf{I}_M/M$  (since  $\mathbf{u}_k \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}S^M)$ ), and (2) to obtain the result  $\mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Q}_k \phi(\mathcal{Q}_k)] = -M$ . The second expectation is obtained by the same method as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} [\text{Tr}^2 \{ \Sigma^{-1} \Omega \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{z}_k \} \phi'(\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{z}_k \})] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Q}_k^2 \phi'(\mathcal{Q}_k)]}{M(M+1)} (\text{Tr}^2 \{ \Omega \Sigma^{-1} \} + \text{Tr} \{ (\Omega \Sigma^{-1})^2 \}), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where we used the relation from [24], giving

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \mathbf{u}_k^H \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_k \right)^2 \right] = (\text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{B}^2 \} + \text{Tr}^2 \{ \mathbf{B} \}) / (M(M+1)), \quad (23)$$

for an arbitrary constant matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_k \sim \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}S^M)$ . Eventually, by plugging (21) and (22) into (18) and (20), the Fisher Information Metric is given as:

$$g_{\Sigma}^{fim}(\Omega, \Omega) = K\alpha \text{Tr} \{ (\Sigma^{-1} \Omega)^2 \} + K\beta \text{Tr}^2 \{ \Omega \Sigma^{-1} \}, \quad (24)$$

with coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  defined in (7). Notice that the dependency on  $k$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  is omitted since these parameters are assumed to be i.i.d.. Also, some manipulations with  $\phi'(t) = g''(t)/g(t) - \phi^2(t)$  and (2) allow to show that

$$M(M+1) - \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Q}^2 \phi'(\mathcal{Q})] = \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{Q}^2 \phi^2(\mathcal{Q})], \quad (25)$$

which is consistent with the coefficients obtained in the parametric case of [24]. To obtain the metric  $g_{\Sigma}^{fim}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$  use a standard polarization formula which, after some expansions and simplifications leads to (6) and (7).

To derive the metric (8) we first remark that

$$g_{\Sigma}^{ces}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \bar{\alpha} \text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{V}^{-1} \Omega_1 \mathbf{V}^{-1} \Omega_2 \} + \bar{\beta} \text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{V}^{-1} \Omega_1 \} \text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{V}^{-1} \Omega_2 \}.$$

With such expression,  $g_{\mathbf{V}}^{fim}$  can be identified to  $g_{\Sigma}^{fim}$  on the restricted set  $\mathcal{SH}_M^{++}$ , as they describe the same underlying distribution. Note that this is also consistent with the change of variable  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}$  and Theorem 3 of [1]. Second, we also notice that the terms  $\text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{V}^{-1} \Omega \}$  are equal to 0 when  $\Omega \in T_{\mathbf{V}} \mathcal{SH}_M^{++}$  which cancels all the terms in  $\beta$  in (8).

### B. Proof of Theorem 2

It is readily checked that for all  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  the function (6) is symmetric and bilinear. It remains to determine whether it is positive-definite. Let  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  and  $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_M$ , and let  $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^T$  be the eigenvalue decomposition of  $\Sigma^{-1/2} \Omega \Sigma^{-1/2}$ . One can first check that we need  $\alpha > 0$  because the term on the right can be canceled for  $\Omega$  different from 0. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\Sigma}^{ces}(\Omega, \Omega) &= \alpha \text{Tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \Omega \Sigma^{-1} \Omega) + \beta (\text{Tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \Omega))^2 \\ &= \alpha \text{Tr}(\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda}^2 \mathbf{U}^T) + \beta (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^T))^2 \\ &= \alpha \text{Tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^2) + \beta (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

One can notice that  $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^2) = \|\text{diag}(\mathbf{\Lambda})\|_2^2$  and  $(\text{Tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}))^2 \leq \|\text{diag}(\mathbf{\Lambda})\|_1^2$ , where  $\text{diag}(\cdot)$  returns the vector of diagonal elements of its argument, and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  and  $\|\cdot\|_1$  denote the L2 and L1 norms, respectively. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have  $\|\text{diag}(\mathbf{\Lambda})\|_1^2 \leq M \|\text{diag}(\mathbf{\Lambda})\|_2^2$ . It follows that  $g_{\Sigma}^{ces}(\Omega, \Omega) > 0$  if  $\alpha + M\beta > 0$ . Now, the directional derivative of  $g_{\Sigma}^{ces}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$  in the direction  $\Omega_3$ , where  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  and  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3 \in T_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} D g_{\Sigma}^{ces}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)[\Omega_3] &= g_{\Sigma}^{ces}(D \Omega_1[\Omega_3], \Omega_2) + g_{\Sigma}^{ces}(\Omega_1, D \Omega_2[\Omega_3]) \\ &- \beta \text{Tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_3 \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_1) \text{Tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_2) \\ &- \beta \text{Tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_1) \text{Tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \Omega_3 \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_2) \\ &- \alpha \text{Tr}(\Sigma^{-1} (\Omega_3 \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_1 + \Omega_1 \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_3) \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_2) \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from the Koszul formula (equation (5.11) in [34]) that the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of  $\Omega_2$  in the direction  $\Omega_1$  on  $\mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  endowed with metric (6) which is defined for all  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$

$$\nabla_{\Omega_1} \Omega_2 = D \Omega_2[\Omega_1] - \text{sym}(\Omega_2 \Sigma^{-1} \Omega_1), \quad (27)$$

where  $\text{sym}(\cdot)$  is the operator that returns the symmetrical part of its argument. The Levi-Civita connection is the same as for the classical Riemannian metric in our case and we therefore have the same geodesics, i.e.  $\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}_M^{++}$ , defined for all  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  and  $\Omega \in T_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  as

$$\gamma(t) = \Sigma^{1/2} \exp(t \Sigma^{-1/2} \Omega \Sigma^{-1/2}) \Sigma^{1/2}, \quad (28)$$

where  $\exp(\cdot)$  denotes the matrix exponential. Furthermore, one can check that the metric (6) is invariant by congruence, i.e.

$$g_{\mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{U}^T}(\mathbf{U} \Omega_1 \mathbf{U}^T, \mathbf{U} \Omega_2 \mathbf{U}^T) = g_{\Sigma}^{ces}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2), \quad (29)$$

for all  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$ ,  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in T_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  and invertible matrix  $\mathbf{U}$ . Since we have the same geodesic and the congruence invariance property, the proof is completed by using the same steps given in [32] for the proof of the Riemannian distance on  $\mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  equipped with the classical Riemannian metric (where  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ ). Therefore, we can show that the distance on  $\mathcal{H}_M^{++}$  is equal to (9). The distance (10) on  $\mathcal{SH}_M^{++}$  can be obtained by following the same steps.