Complementary Filter

Alexandru Meterez

April 2020

1 Problem

Suppose we have some measurements about a car:

• Positions: $x_0, x_1 \dots x_n$

• Speeds: $v_0, v_1, \dots v_n$

• Time: Δt

Unfortunately, all of them have some **noise** that we can't get rid of. Let's say we add some Gaussian noise, such that:

• $x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(x_i^R, \sigma_x^2)$

• $v_i \sim \mathcal{N}(v_i^R, \sigma_v^2)$

How can we get the best estimates $\hat{x_i}$ for the position of the vehicle?

2 Solutions

2.1 Use only the positions

We can simply just set the position estimate equal to the measurement (pretty bad, we add all the uncertainty surrounding x_i):

 $\bullet \ \hat{x_i} = x_i$

But we are ignoring the speeds.

2.2 Use only the speeds

If we build upon the past solution, we can also add the speeds:

 $\bullet \ \hat{x_i} = \hat{x_{i-1}} + \Delta t v_{i-1}$

• $\hat{x_0} = x_0$, initial condition

But now we're ignoring the positions. Let's combine them.

2.3 Combine positions and speeds

We can average the estimate obtained from the speed \bar{x}_i with the position measurement from the sensor x_i and get \hat{x}_i :

- $\bullet \ \bar{x_i} = \hat{x_{i-1}} + \Delta t v_{i-1}$
- $\hat{x_i} = \frac{\bar{x_i} + x_i}{2}$
- $\bullet \ \hat{x_0} = x_0$

But why use arithmetic mean? We can use any weight we like for a weighted arithmetic mean.

2.4 Use a weighted mean

- $\bullet \ \bar{x_i} = \hat{x_{i-1}} + \Delta t v_{i-1}$
- $\hat{x_i} = \alpha \bar{x_i} + (1 \alpha)x_i$
- $\hat{x_0} = x_0, \alpha \in [0, 1]$

This is the best estimate we have so far. However, how can we choose alpha? Well, there is still one piece of information we haven't used: **the noise of the sensors**.

3 Optimal solution

We need some equations, given $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2)$ and $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_y, \sigma_y^2)$:

$$x + y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x + \mu_y, \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2) \tag{1}$$

$$\alpha x \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha \mu_x, (\alpha \sigma_x)^2)$$
 (2)

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) \cdot \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) = \mathcal{N}(\frac{\mu_1 \sigma_2 + \mu_2 \sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}, \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2})$$
(3)

Observe that in equation (3), the resulting variance is **smaller than both of** the component variances.

3.1 Single variable

- $\bullet \ \hat{x_0} = x_0$
- \bullet $\hat{\sigma_0^2} = \sigma_r^2$
- $\bullet \ \bar{x_i} = \hat{x_{i-1}} + v_{i-1}\Delta t$
- $\bar{\sigma_i^2} = \sigma_{i-1}^2 + (\Delta t \sigma_v)^2$, from (1) and (2)
- $\bullet \ \hat{x_i} = \frac{\bar{x_i}\sigma_x^2 + x_i\bar{\sigma_i^2}}{\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_x^2}$
- $\hat{\sigma}_i = \frac{\bar{\sigma_i^2} \cdot \sigma_x^2}{\bar{\sigma_i^2} + \sigma_x^2}$, from (3)

Now, we are no longes guessing α . However, we can generalize this for the multivariable case.

3.2 Multivariable

Suppose we have $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$ and $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$ and we want to combine them. From the single variable case, we get:

$$\mu = \frac{\sigma_2^2 \mu_1 + \sigma_1^2 \mu_2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \tag{4}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \tag{5}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\mu = \mu_1 + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} (\mu_2 - \mu_1) \tag{6}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \sigma_1^2 - \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \cdot \sigma_1^2 \tag{7}$$

From eqs. (6) and (7) we can generalize for the multivariable case:

$$\mu = \mu_1 + \Sigma_1 (\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2)^{-1} \cdot (\mu_2 - \mu_1)$$
 (8)

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_1 (\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2)^{-1} \Sigma_1$$
 (9)

Which can be furthered simplified to:

$$K = \Sigma_1 (\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2)^{-1} \tag{10}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu_1} + \boldsymbol{K} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1}) \tag{11}$$

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_1 - K\Sigma_1 \tag{12}$$