Feature-Based Image Alignment

# Feature-Based Image Alignment

CS 650: Computer Vision

Bryan S. Morse

## Image Registration

One common task is to align two images

- Different positions
- Different times
- Different types of imaging

This is called *image registration*.

### Image Stitching

One common application of image registration is to stitch together or *mosaic* two or more images together.

Here's a link to an example.

## Image Stitching

The key to image stitching is to be able to warp one image to match another, then combine.

#### Cases:

- Can't do in general without depth information due to parallax
- Can do if all of the images have the same focal point (pure 3-D rotation, panoramas)
- Can do if scene is planar (homographies)

#### Planar Case

If the scene is planar or approximately so (a wall, objects far away, etc.), you can warp one image to another using a homography:

$$\tilde{\textbf{x}}' = \tilde{\textbf{H}}\bar{\textbf{x}}$$

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}' \\ \tilde{y}' \\ \tilde{w}' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} \\ h_{10} & h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{20} & h_{21} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

If  $det(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}) \neq 0$ , the homography *uniquely* maps 2-D homogeneous points to other 2-D homogeneous points.

## Finding the Homography Between Two Images?

#### Common approaches to registration:

- Area matching ("direct" or "area-based")
- Sparse set of feature points ("feature-based")
- Segment, represent, then match objects
   —can be very robust for multimodal registration but very
  - domain-specific

#### **Direct Registration**

#### Basic approach:

- Define some error metric that measures how well the images match (correlation, L-norms, normalized cross-correlation, etc.)
- Select the parameters for the homography that optimizes the quality of the match

We'll come back later to ways to do this.

## Feature-Based Registration

#### Basic approach:

- Find "interesting" points (Moravec, Harris, SIFT, etc.)
- Match them somehow (neighborhoods, SIFT descriptors, etc.)
- Solve for the homography

Can solve for a homography with a minumum of four points, with no three of them colinear (the *4-point algorithm*).

Warning: don't even get close to colinear!!

What about noise? What about mismatches?

Mapping:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} x'\\ y'\\ 1 \end{array}\right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc} h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02}\\ h_{10} & h_{11} & h_{12}\\ h_{20} & h_{21} & 1 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x\\ y\\ 1 \end{array}\right]$$

or

$$x' = \frac{h_{00}x + h_{01}y + h_{02}}{h_{20}x + h_{21}y + 1} \qquad y' = \frac{h_{10}x + h_{11}y + h_{12}}{h_{20}x + h_{21}y + 1}$$

Using

$$x' = \frac{h_{00}x + h_{01}y + h_{02}}{h_{20}x + h_{21}y + 1} \qquad y' = \frac{h_{10}x + h_{11}y + h_{12}}{h_{20}x + h_{21}y + 1}$$

Let's re-write this:

$$h_{00}x + h_{01}y + h_{02} - x'(h_{20}x + h_{21}y + 1) = 0$$
  
 $h_{10}x + h_{11}y + h_{12} - y'(h_{20}x + h_{21}y + 1) = 0$ 

Can we write this in matrix form?

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x'x & -x'y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & 1 & -y'x & -y'y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_{00} \\ h_{01} \\ h_{02} \\ h_{10} \\ h_{11} \\ h_{12} \\ h_{20} \\ h_{21} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x'_1x_1 & -x'_1y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_1 & y_1 & 1 & -y'_1x_1 & -y'_1y_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x'_2x_2 & -x'_2y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & y_2 & 1 & -y'_2x_2 & -y'_2y_2 \\ x_3 & y_3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x'_3x_3 & -x'_3y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_3 & y_3 & 1 & -y'_3x_3 & -y'_3y_3 \\ x_4 & y_4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x'_4x_4 & -x'_4y_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4 & y_4 & 1 & -y'_4x_4 & -y'_4y_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_{00} \\ h_{01} \\ h_{02} \\ h_{10} \\ h_{11} \\ h_{12} \\ h_{20} \\ h_{21} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x'_1 \\ y'_1 \\ x'_2 \\ x'_3 \\ y'_3 \\ x'_4 \\ y'_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Camera Calibration (Revisited)

- This general approach found in the four-point algorithm can be used in lots of other problems.
- Keep in mind that it's the elements of the matrix that are the unknowns and re-write the equations to make these the "unknown vector" and the other information the "matrix"
- Example: Camera Calibration

$$p \sim P p_w$$

Write in terms of 11 unknowns of  $\bf P$  and then use known 3-D points  $\bf p_w$  and projected points  $\bf p$ 

#### Dealing with Noise

Deal with noise in estimating any one point by *using more points than needed*—this is an *overconstrained* system of equations

Let  $\mathbf{x}' = (x', y')$  denote the remapped points as before:

$$x' = \frac{h_{00}x + h_{01}y + h_{02}}{h_{20}x + h_{21}y + 1} \qquad y' = \frac{h_{10}x + h_{11}y + h_{12}}{h_{20}x + h_{21}y + 1}$$

and let  $\hat{x}$  denote the correct matching position in the other image, then minimize the sum of the squared error:

$$\sum_{i} \|x - \hat{x}\|^2$$

and solve using least-squares fitting.

#### Least Squares

Your book has a more detailed discussion in 6.1.1, 6.1.3, A.2.

General Form:

Let for each point pair *i* and a set of transformation parameters *p*:

$$egin{array}{ll} x_i' = f(x_i,p) & ext{predicted (mapped) location} \\ \hat{x}_i & ext{measured location of matching point} \\ r_i = \hat{x}_i - x_i' & ext{error or } residual \end{array}$$

Goal: minimize the squared residuals

$$\sum_{i} \|r_{i}\|^{2} = \sum_{i} \|x' - \hat{x}\|^{2}$$

Key piece: if  $x_i$  stays fixed and you change a parameter in p, how does the predicted matching point  $x_i'$  change?

#### **Linear Least Squares**

The Jacobian is an extension of the gradient for multiple outputs:

$$J=\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}$$

If the relationship between the point motion and the parameters p is *linear* (e.g., up to affine),

$$\Delta x = x' - x = J(x) p$$

The minimum-error result can then be found by a *pseudoinverse* technique:

$$Ap = b$$

where

$$A = \sum_{i} J^{T}(x_{i})J(x_{i})$$
$$b = \sum_{i} J^{T}(x_{i})\Delta x_{i}$$

#### Nonlinear Least Squares

If the relationship between the point motion and the parameters of the transformation is *not* linear (e.g., homography, lots of other things in vision), you have to use an iterative solver.

- Basic idea: iteratively adjust parameters until residual reaches minimum — essentially variants on gradient descent
- ► The Jacobian is essential to all of these since it tells you how changing each parameter in *p* will change the result
- One popular technique for minimizing squared-error measures is Levenberg-Marquardt.
- Iterative solvers require a good starting point, so how do you get one? Use a linear technique to seed it (e.g., four-point method).

#### **Dealing with Bad Point Matches**

- Some point matches might be wrong—how do you deal with it?
- From a least-squares fitting view, these are outliers, which throw off the answer.
- Common approach: Use RANSAC and the four-point algorithm to simultaneously separate outliers and solve for initial estimate for H.
- Throw all the resulting inliers into a least-squares solver.