

Strictly Associative Group Theory using Univalence

Alex Rice¹

University of Cambridge

HoTT/UF 2023



Outline

- 1 What did I do?
- 2 How did I do it?
- 3 Further thoughts

Motivation

`InvUniqueLeft : ∀ {ℓ} (G : Group ℓ) → Type ℓ`

`InvUniqueLeft G = ∀ g h → h · g ≡ 1g → h ≡ inv g`

where

`open GroupStr (G .snd)`

Motivation

`InvUniqueLeft : ∀ {ℓ} (G : Group ℓ) → Type ℓ`

`InvUniqueLeft G = ∀ g h → h · g ≡ 1g → h ≡ inv g`

where

`open GroupStr (G .snd)`

`inv-unique-left : ∀ {ℓ} (G : Group ℓ) → InvUniqueLeft G`

`inv-unique-left G g h p =`

`h ≡⟨ sym (·IdR h) ⟩`

`h · 1g ≡⟨ cong (h ·_) (sym (·InvR g)) ⟩`

`h · (g · inv g) ≡⟨ ·Assoc h g (inv g) ⟩`

`(h · g) · inv g ≡⟨ cong (_ · inv g) p ⟩`

`1g · inv g ≡⟨ ·IdL (inv g) ⟩`

`inv g □`

where

`open GroupStr (G .snd)`

Motivation

`InvUniqueLeft : ∀ {ℓ} (G : Group ℓ) → Type ℓ`

`InvUniqueLeft G = ∀ g h → h · g ≡ 1g → h ≡ inv g`

where

`open GroupStr (G .snd)`

`inv-unique-left-strict : ∀ {ℓ} (G : Group ℓ) → InvUniqueLeft G`

`inv-unique-left-strict G = strictify InvUniqueLeft`

$\lambda g h p \rightarrow$

$h \cdot 1g \equiv \langle \text{cong} (h \cdot_) (\text{sym} (\cdot \text{InvR } g)) \rangle$

$h \cdot g \cdot \text{inv } g \equiv \langle \text{cong} (_ \cdot \text{inv } g) p \rangle$

$1g \cdot \text{inv } g \quad \square$

where

`open GroupStr (RSymGroup G .snd)`

`open import Groups.Reasoning G using (strictify)`

Strictify

- Given a group \mathcal{G} , we create a new group `RSymGroup` \mathcal{G} .

Theorem (Cayley's Theorem)

Every group is isomorphic to a subgroup of a symmetric group.

- In `RSymGroup` \mathcal{G} , various rules hold by reflexivity.
- We show that `RSymGroup` \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G} .
- By univalence and the structure identity principle, `RSymGroup` \mathcal{G} is equal to \mathcal{G} .
- The `strictify` function transports a proof from `RSymGroup` \mathcal{G} back to \mathcal{G} .

In the strictified group the following equations hold definitionally:

- $a(bc) = (ab)c$,
- $a1 = a = 1a$,
- $a^{-1-1} = a$,
- and $(fg)^{-1} = g^{-1} \cdot f^{-1}$.

Functions compose strictly

Theorem (Cayley's Theorem)

Every group is isomorphic to a subgroup of a symmetric group.

Functions compose strictly

Theorem (Cayley's Theorem)

Every group is isomorphic to a subgroup of a symmetric group.

$$\text{_.o_.} : (f : B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (g : A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$$
$$(f \circ g) x = f(g x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{comp-assoc} : & (f : C \rightarrow D) \\& \rightarrow (g : B \rightarrow C) \\& \rightarrow (h : A \rightarrow B) \\& \rightarrow f \circ (g \circ h) \equiv (f \circ g) \circ h\end{aligned}$$
$$\text{comp-assoc } f \ g \ h = \text{refl}$$

Do invertible functions compose strictly?

```
record Inverse (A : Type) (B : Type) : Type where
  field
    ↑ : A → B
    ↓ : B → A
    ε : ∀ x → ↓ (↑ x) ≡ x
    η : ∀ y → ↑ (↓ y) ≡ y
```

Strict invertible functions

record **Inverse** (A : Type) (B : Type) : Type where

constructor $_ , _ , _ , _$

field

$\uparrow : A \rightarrow B$

$\downarrow : B \rightarrow A$

$\varepsilon : \forall b \{x\} \rightarrow x \equiv \downarrow b \rightarrow \uparrow x \equiv b$

$\eta : \forall a \{y\} \rightarrow y \equiv \uparrow a \rightarrow \downarrow y \equiv a$

$\circ_ : \text{Inverse } B \ C \rightarrow \text{Inverse } A \ B \rightarrow \text{Inverse } A \ C$

$\circ_ [f , g , p , q] [f' , g' , p' , q'] =$

$[(\lambda x \rightarrow f (f' x)) ,$

$(\lambda y \rightarrow g' (g y)) ,$

$(\lambda b r \rightarrow p b (p' (g b) r)) ,$

$(\lambda a r \rightarrow q' a (q (f' a) r))]$

Strict invertible functions

assoc : $(f : \text{Inverse } C D)$
 $\rightarrow (g : \text{Inverse } B C)$
 $\rightarrow (h : \text{Inverse } A B)$
 $\rightarrow f \circ (g \circ h) \equiv (f \circ g) \circ h$

assoc $f g h = \text{refl}$

id-inv : $\text{Inverse } A A$

id-inv = $\lfloor (\lambda x \rightarrow x), (\lambda x \rightarrow x),$
 $(\lambda b r \rightarrow r), (\lambda a r \rightarrow r) \rfloor$

id-unit-left : $(f : \text{Inverse } A B)$
 $\rightarrow \text{id-inv} \circ f \equiv f$

id-unit-left $f = \text{refl}$

id-unit-right : $(f : \text{Inverse } A B)$
 $\rightarrow f \circ \text{id-inv} \equiv f$

id-unit-right $f \equiv \text{refl}$

Strict invertible functions

`inv-inv : Inverse A B → Inverse B A`

`inv-inv [f , g , ε , η] = [g , f , η , ε]`

`inv-involution : (f : Inverse A B)`
`→ inv-inv (inv-inv f) ≡ f`

`inv-involution f = refl`

`inv-comp : (f : Inverse B C)`
`→ (g : Inverse A B)`
`→ inv-inv (f ∘ g) ≡ inv-inv g ∘ inv-inv f`

`inv-comp f g = refl`

Representable functions

The map $\iota : g \mapsto g \cdot -$ includes the group \mathcal{G} in the symmetric group. We now want to restrict the symmetric group to those functions that are in the image of ι .

Proposition

A function $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is in the image of ι if and only if for all $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$,
$$f(g \cdot h) = f(g) \cdot h.$$

Representable functions

The map $\iota : g \mapsto g \cdot -$ includes the group \mathcal{G} in the symmetric group. We now want to restrict the symmetric group to those functions that are in the image of ι .

Proposition

A function $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is in the image of ι if and only if for all $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$,
 $f(g \cdot h) = f(g) \cdot h$.

Representable : Inverse ⟨ \mathcal{G} ⟩ ⟨ \mathcal{G} ⟩ → Type

Representable $f = \forall x g h \rightarrow x \equiv g \cdot h \rightarrow \uparrow f x \equiv \uparrow f g \cdot h$

Repr : Type

Repr = $\Sigma[f \in \text{Inverse} \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle] \text{Representable } f$

Representable symmetric group

- Let $\text{RSymGroup } \mathcal{G}$ be the subgroup of the symmetric group on \mathcal{G} consisting of those functions that are representable.

Representable symmetric group

- Let $\text{RSymGroup } \mathcal{G}$ be the subgroup of the symmetric group on \mathcal{G} consisting of those functions that are representable.
- This subgroup still has strict composition.

Representable symmetric group

- Let [RSymGroup](#) \mathcal{G} be the subgroup of the symmetric group on \mathcal{G} consisting of those functions that are representable.
- This subgroup still has strict composition.
- The inclusion ι is an isomorphism from \mathcal{G} to the representable symmetric group.

Representable symmetric group

- Let $\text{RSymGroup } \mathcal{G}$ be the subgroup of the symmetric group on \mathcal{G} consisting of those functions that are representable.
- This subgroup still has strict composition.
- The inclusion ι is an isomorphism from \mathcal{G} to the representable symmetric group.
- By univalence we get an equality:

$$\iota \equiv \mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G} \equiv \text{RSymGroup } \mathcal{G}$$

Representable symmetric group

- Let $\text{RSymGroup } \mathcal{G}$ be the subgroup of the symmetric group on \mathcal{G} consisting of those functions that are representable.
- This subgroup still has strict composition.
- The inclusion ι is an isomorphism from \mathcal{G} to the representable symmetric group.
- By univalence we get an equality:

$$\iota \equiv \mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G} \equiv \text{RSymGroup } \mathcal{G}$$

- This lets us define:

```
strictify : (G : Group ℓ-zero)
          → (P : Group ℓ-zero → Type)
          → P (RSymGroup G)
          → P G
```

```
strictify G P p = transport (sym (cong P (i≡ G))) p
```

Further thoughts

Further thoughts

Does this all work with categories instead of groups?

Conclusion

- For each group \mathcal{G} we can generate an isomorphic group `RSymGroup` \mathcal{G} .
- This group has nice definitional properties
- Univalence allows us to generate an equality between the two groups.
- This allows us to prove theorems about an arbitrary group by instead proving them on the strictified group.
- <https://alexarice.github.io/posts/sgtuf/Strict-Group-Theory-UF.html>