

Proof by Contrapositive (2.1)

An implication statement and its contrapositive are equivalent. This means that when it comes to proofs, we can either perform our proof on $p \rightarrow q$ or on $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$. In some situations, a proof by contrapositive might be easier than a proof of the original statement.

Example: *Informally prove the statement, “For all integers n , if n^2 is even, then n is even.”*

- Original hypothesis: n^2 is even
- Original conclusion: n is even.
- Contrapositive hypothesis: n is odd.
- Contrapositive conclusion: n^2 is odd.

Contrapositive: If n is odd, then n^2 is odd.

- $n^2 = (2k+1)^2 \dots n^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 \dots n^2 = 2 \cdot (2k^2 + 2k) + 1 \ll \text{PROOF}$

Proof by Contradiction (2.5)

When we are working with a **direct proof** or with a **proof by contrapositive**, we can think of these as proving that **there cannot possibly be a counterexample to the theorem**.

In order to build a counterexample, it must follow these rules:

- It must make the hypothesis of the implication true.
- It must make the conclusion of the implication false.

For a direct proof, we are showing that if the you select an element that satisfies the first property, that element cannot satisfy the second property.

For a proof-by-contrapositive, we are showing that if you select a property that satisfies the second property, then that element cannot satisfy the first property.

Therefore for both of these – no counterexample is possible.

For **proof by contradiction**, we work by assuming that we *have* found some counterexample (so we assume the hypothesis is true and the conclusion is false). We then show that with this, after crunching the numbers we would end up with a contradiction – so that we show that the statement (the counterexample) is always false and therefore showing that the first and second properties are logically incompatible.

If n is an odd integer, then n^2+n is even.

- Assume we've found a counterexample:
 - Hypothesis is n is an odd integer, $n=2k+1$
 - Conclusion is n^2+n is odd. $n^2+n=2j+1$
- $2j+1=(2k+1)^2+(2k+1)$
- $2j+1=4k^2+6k+2$
- $2j-4k^2-6k=2-1$
- $2(j-2k^2-3k)=1$
- $j-2k^2-3k=\frac{1}{2}$

Since j and k are integers, we know that $j-2k^2-3k$ is an integer. In our proof, we end up with $\frac{1}{2}$, which is *not* an integer, and therefore the statement is invalid.