

Genome evolution, taxonomy, and transmission of potexviruses in cacti (*Alphaflexiviridae*)

Alexa Tyszka^{1,†}, Karolis Ramanauskas¹, and Boris Igić¹

¹Department of Biological Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, 840 West Taylor St. MC067, Chicago, IL 60607, United States of America

[†]Author for correspondence.

ABSTRACT

Potexvirus species members are positive-sense single-stranded RNA viruses known to infect many flowering plants, including cacti (Cactaceae). The current viral taxonomic naming schemes in this group often employ informal or outdated host plant names (synonyms), which complicate systematic study. One such group, often named with a suffix "Virus X," presents a further complication—nearly all of its published sequences are from infections of cultivated plants, in which infections may dramatically affect yield. Because their host-specificity is broad, the source of infections, the natural distribution of this group, and the significance of infections in wild species of cacti all remain unclear. The lack of clarity is partly related to low sampling across the Potexviruses that infect cacti. And yet, the availability of sampled plant transcriptomes, all of which are practically metatranscriptomes, has recently exploded, along with the decreasing expense and difficulty of conducting RNAseq experiments. Here, we harness these new tools and perform phylogenetic analyses aimed at clarifying taxonomic diversity, quantifying patterns of tissue expression, diversity, and examining selective pressures across viral genomes. The results suggest a novel mode of transmission by sex (pollination) for this viral group, based on significant expression in pollen. We examine and discuss the implications of our key results for the taxonomy of *Potexviruses* that infect Cactaceae, noting their vastly understudied ecological significance.

INTRODUCTION

Molisch's (1885) discovery of "protein bodies" on several species of cacti was one of the first documented studies of plant viruses. For over a century, subsequent studies were limited to observational data (), often augmented with clever experimental approaches (). Perhaps the most transformative advancement in virology has been the advent of modern DNA and RNA sequencing techniques, readily accessible, inexpensive, and with a massively higher throughput. One common thread is that virtually every macro-organism genome study uncovers a micro-organismal metagenome, composed of both host sequences and those from myriad co-existing organisms. Metagenomic samples have yielded an enormous number of genomes and have vastly expanded both the tree of life (Schulz et al., 2017; Hug et al., 2016; Gregory et al., 2019; Lefeuvre et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2016).

The unprecedented amounts of data resulting from metagenomic studies have caused significant revisions in Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) policy (on Taxonomy of Viruses Executive Committee et al., 2020; Simmonds et al., 2017), but many viruses remain named by their original description of host, location, and/or symptoms. Dramatically confusing subsequent viral taxonomy, *Schlumbergera truncata* (Haworth) Moran has undergone a number of name changes, including: *Epiphyllum truncatum* Haworth 1819, *Cactus truncatus* (Haworth) Link 1822, *Zygocactus truncatus* (Haworth) K. Schumann 1890. This naming scheme may cause confusion as it results in many distinct viruses having the same name. The Baltimore classification system standardizes viral classification by intrinsic morphological characteristics of a virus' replication machinery. It has been integrated into the ICTV guidelines to better reflect viral evolutionary relationships (on Taxonomy of Viruses Executive Committee et al., 2020). The study of plant viruses particularly suffers from poor nomenclature due to naming a virus after a first discovered host which is subject to reclassification or renaming. The term "plant virus" in itself is problematic since there

is strong evidence to suggest that viruses frequently spillover from fungal or invertebrate hosts (Lefeuvre et al., 2019). Additionally, many plant viruses that infect agriculturally important species are named using the common name of a plant, which carries its own problems, for example: *Pitaya Virus X* is named for the common name “Pitaya” which can refer to as many as thirty-one species within the genus *Selenicereus* (Korotkova et al., 2017; Guerrero et al., 2019; Le Bellec and Vaillant, 2011). The matter is further complicated because one virus may infect many hosts, and one host may contain many viruses. A single-stranded RNA virus has a faster rate of evolution than a host plant. It engages in a different mode of reproduction, making a direct assignment of viruses and their hosts difficult (citation needed). There is no guarantee that viral evolution and speciation follow linearly behind plant evolution and speciation—especially due to viral host-switching. These problems persist throughout the genus *Potexvirus* and are especially prominent in cactus-infecting *Potexvirus* species. We suggest a phylogeny-based approach to remedy some prominent taxonomic issues within this specific clade that cause naming inconsistencies.

The species *Cactus Virus X*, *Zygocactus Virus X*, *Schlumbergera Virus X*, *Pitaya Virus X*, and *Opuntia Virus X* are all *Potexviruses* (family Alphaflexiviridae) that are grouped broadly by their infections of certain cacti: *Selenicereus undatus* and *S. polyrhizus* (Li et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2016); *Opuntia spp.* especially *O. tuna* (Koenig et al., 2004; Duarte et al., 2008) and *O. monacantha* (Attathom et al., 1978) Sammons 1961 Duarte 2008; *Schlumbergera* (previously *Zygocactus*) *truncata* and *S. bridgesii* (Duarte et al., 2008; Koenig et al., 2004), *Parodia* (previously *Notocactus*) *leninghausii* (Park et al., 2018), *Echinopsis chamaecereus f. cristata*, *E. pectinatus f. cristata*, *E. jusburtii*, and *E. macrogona* (Maliarenko and Mudrak, 2013); *Mammillaria elongata f. cristata* (Maliarenko and Mudrak, 2013); and multiple other species within many genera in the family Cactaceae (Evallo et al., 2021). Of these viruses, only *Cactus Virus X* (CVX) has been reported on wild *Ferocactus cylindraceus* (previously *Ferrocactus acanthodes*) (Attathom et al., 1978). However, this report predates DNA records confirming the viral identity. Additionally, the viruses are frequently manipulated with serological experiments and have been found to produce lesions (which indicate infection) on: *Chenopodium murale L.* (Maliarenko and Mudrak, 2013) and *C. quinoa* (Attathom, 1978; Attathom et al., 1978; Brandes and Bercks, 1963); *Nicotiana glauca* Link. (Maliarenko and Mudrak, 2013); Four species of *Amaranthaceae* (Attathom, 1978); *Escobaria vivipara* (Attathom, 1978); and other Cactaceae (Attathom, 1978).

All cactus-infecting *Potexviruses* consist of roughly 6,600 bp of positive-sense single-stranded RNA. They have similar rod-shaped filamentous virions and share the same division of five primary open reading frames (ORFs): Replicase (Rep), Triple gene block (TGB), Coat protein (CP), coded in the 5' direction as well as two smaller overlapping ORFs coded in the 3' direction: ORF6 and ORF7 (Evallo et al., 2021; Liou et al., 2004; Martelli et al., 2007). They are closely related to other *Potexviruses* such as *Alternanthera Mosaic Virus* and *Papaya Mosaic Virus* (Martelli et al., 2007; Park et al., 2018; Liou et al., 2004). These viruses produce a wide range of symptomatic and damaging infections in cacti. Reports of symptomatic plants range from 5.5 percent of wild *Ferocactus cylindraceus* (Attathom et al., 1978) and up to 44 percent of crop plants on Hainan Island, China (Peng et al., 2016). However, many infected plants do not show external signs of viral infection (Liou et al., 2004; Bos, 1977). The most commonly recognized symptoms of the disease are mosaic, mottling, stunted growth and distortion (Maliarenko and Mudrak, 2013; Peng et al., 2016; Attathom et al., 1978).

It is unclear what the method of transmission from infected plant to new host is. Some reports specify that cactus-infecting *Potexviruses* can only be transmitted through grafting (Duarte et al., 2008; Martelli et al., 2007) but most agree that transmission can occur through mechanical contact such as sap inoculation (Liou et al., 2004; Maliarenko and Mudrak, 2013; Park et al., 2018) and external tissue contact. Grafting is a primary means of propagation among crop cacti (Park et al., 2018), and *Selenicereus* is a commonly chosen graft stock. However, there are reports of other members within the family *Alphaflexiviridae* transmitting via insect and seed vectors (Martelli et al., 2007), and pre-DNA studies tentatively suggest that in the wild, pollen may transmit CVX (Attathom et al., 1978).

Knowledge about cactus-infecting *Potexviruses* contributes to a growing yet biased study of plant viruses. Human-assisted dispersal, grafting, and cultivation obscures the evolutionary history of these viruses, which parallels the disproportionate sampling representation of plants raised in greenhouses or for agricultural production. However, *Cactus Virus X* and associated viruses seem restricted to cactaceous hosts for unknown reasons—every sample of CVX or CVX-related viruses has come from cacti. The few studies that have investigated wild *Potexviruses* of cacti predate DNA methods and have yet to identify the

origin. Recent sequencing efforts have revealed multiple inconsistent virus-host pairs on cacti. Although many metagenomic studies capture environmental, genetic information that allows for virus identification, tissue type may bias expression rates of viruses (Lacroix et al., 2016). The pursuit of wild cactus-infecting *Potexviruses* expands our evolutionary knowledge of viral evolution, host selection, and transmission mechanics. The relationships of the virus can be investigated with a thorough phylogenetic approach, using available virus samples. In this study we present the largest to date phylogeny of cactus-infecting *Potexviruses*. We attempt to use this expanded phylogeny to answer relevant questions about Potexvirus evolutionary relationships and revisit the utility of decades-old taxonomy in current virus research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Host Material Collection Species and Tissues

We recovered viral sequences on *Schlumbergera truncata* (Haworth) Moran, commonly known as ‘crab cactus’ or ‘false Christmas cactus,’ one of the most widely cultivated species of cacti. Our samples were sourced from a haphazardly collected personal plant collection (B.I.), purchased or found abandoned around the city of Chicago, IL (USA). Although there are dozens of named varieties of this species, nearly all commercially grown plants are of uncertain provenance. They almost certainly trace to a handful of plants collected in their native Atlantic forests of Brazil and brought to England in the early 1800s (Boyle, 2003). Plants are easily grown from cuttings and the species has been extensively hybridized across Western Europe and exported across the world, prized for their showy winter (short-day) displays. Most of the plants were seemingly asymptomatic at the time of tissue collection, which was aimed at the study of self-incompatibility in angiosperms (Ramanauskas and Igić, 2021), and we fortuitously discovered viral infections in the course of that study.

RNA Sequencing

Pistils (without ovaries), pollen, leaf, and root tissues were removed and submerged in 1.5 ml of RNeasyTM lysis reagent (Qiagen). Samples were held at room temperature for 30-60 minutes and then moved to a -80 C freezer for storage. Approximately 100 mg of tissue was ground to a fine powder in 1.5 ml tubes submerged in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was isolated using Total RNA Mini Kit (Plant kit; IBI Scientific, Cat. No. IB47341) following manufacturer’s instructions. We assessed RNA concentration and purity with a NanoDropTM Lite Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). The XX samples used in this study were sequenced as part of a larger sequencing effort which consisted of XXX separate sequencing runs and included additional samples from other plant species. Sequencing libraries were prepared using the KAPA Stranded mRNA-Seq (Roche) These libraries were sequenced on a single lane of Illumina HiSeq 4000 or Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform (paired-end 150 bp reads) at the Duke University Center for Genomic and Computational Biology. The number of resulting read pairs (for the XX samples presented here) ranged from X,XXX,XXX to X,XXX,XXX with a median of X,XXX,XXX and average of X,XXX,XXX (Table S1).

RNAseq Assemblies

Raw paired-end Illumina reads were first processed using Rcorrector v1.0.4 (Song and Florea, 2015) to infer and correct sequencing errors. Reads were next trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.39 (Bolger et al., 2014) to remove any read containing bases with Phred scores lower than 20, low quality reads less than 50 bp long, and any adapter or other Illumina-specific sequences that were still present. The remaining reads were filtered with Kraken 2 (Wood et al., 2019) to remove small and large subunit ribosomal RNA (using the SILVA database; Quast et al. 2013) and contaminating reads (minikraken2_v2 database). We used custom-built databases, derived from RefSeq libraries: UniVec_Core, viral, mitochondrion, plastid, plasmid, archaea, bacteria, protozoa, human, and fungi to minimize the number of contaminating and non-nuclear reads (Ramanauskas and Igić, 2021). Only paired reads were used for transcriptome assemblies. *Schlumbergera truncata* filtered reads were combined across all samples into a single RNA-seq data set. We conducted a *de novo* transcriptome assembly using Trinity v2.8.5 (Grabherr et al., 2011) to generate a single reference transcriptome assembly for *Schlumbergera truncata*.

NCBI Data Collection and Compilation

We collected publicly available genomes, complete proteins, gene annotations, and available metadata from Potexviruses (NCBI:txid12176) (NCBI: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, accession numbers provided in

Supplemental Data). The untranslated regions (UTRs) were trimmed from the sequences to provide consistency.

We also searched the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) for RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data within the flowering plant order Caryophyllales (NCBI:txid3524) that had been sequenced using the Illumina library sequencing platform. For each identified SRA run accession (SRR), viral RNA that matched sample cactus-infecting Potexvirus RNA (accession numbers provided in Supplemental Data) was identified, extracted, and assembled using the kakapo 0.7.3-dev pipeline (<http://flightless.one>) with Kraken2 viral filters disabled. The SAM files produced through kakapo were loaded through Geneious 11.1.5 along with the Schlumbergera reads. These sequences were annotated using the Geneious 11.1.5 "Find ORFs" function.

The complete dataset comprises 37 existing Potexvirus genomes and proteins, four new viral sequences located within original Schlumbergera truncata RNA-seq data, and 52 viral sequences found within NCBI Caryophyllales RNA-seq data.

Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analyses

Sequence alignments were performed through MAFFT v7.429 ((Kato, 2002)) using the full dataset. The aligned sequences were divided by ORF using the annotations to produce five partial sequence alignments corresponding to each ORF to accompany the full-sequence alignment. The individual proteins were exported to FASTA files, then gaps at the start of the sequence and stop codons were removed manually. Phylogenetic relationships and bootstrap values were calculated using IQtree v1.6.12 ((Nguyen et al., 2015)), ModelFinder ((Kalyanamoorthy et al., 2017)), and UFBoot ((Hoang et al., 2018)) for both the individual gene/protein alignments and the full sequence alignment. Trees were visualized in R version 4.0.3 using ggtree v2.4.2 ((R Core Team, 2020; Yu et al., 2017)). Host information was obtained through reported metadata and mapped onto the phylogeny. Species groupings were determined using the existing species boundaries compared to the phylogenetic branch lengths within the Potexvirus genus. These groupings were generally consistent with most recent branch lengths over 0.1 subs/site and this value was therefore used as a cutoff. Pairwise distance analysis was conducted on the sequence alignments in R using the ape v5.5 dist.dna() function with a raw model. For each defined clade, nonzero pairwise distances between each possible combination of tips was averaged. Expanded phylogenetic trees and individual gene/protein trees are available in the Supplementary Data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization

The collection of *Schlumbergera* samples and thorough investigation of previously published data on Cactaceae resulted in XX new virus lineages. (Figure 1) The genome sizes of X,XXX - X,XXX bp were consistent with published genomic *Potexvirus* data, ranging from X.Xk - X.Xk bp. The XXX sample reads recovered XX percent of the CVX genome for the newly discovered viruses with *Selenicereus* hosts. All of the publicly available new viral lineages were found on *Selenicereus* hosts from SRA XXXXX. We annotated the open reading frames of the viruses to recover all seven Potexvirus proteins.

The *Schlumbergera*-infecting viruses were found in high amounts on pollen and style tissue. The viral loads of each *Selenicereus* sample that was found to have viruses ranged from XX-XX percent of all reads. This was a relatively high recovery rate and in some pollen tissues there existed more viral reads (XX,XXX reads) than *Schlumbergera* reads (XX,XXX reads).

Distribution of Genetic Distances

The highly similar and well-clustered newly discovered viruses displayed low diversity within the clusters. Therefore, these additions to the *Potexvirus* family tree do not drastically alter the tree structure. Since each sampled cactus in SRA XXXXX was located close to other sampled cacti, this low diversity potentially represents the first example of background mutation among viruses incurred due to host infection. The average nonzero pairwise distance between the included subset of related *Potexviruses* was 0.256 (maximum = 0.492). When the outgroup (including *Plantago asiatica* MV, *Alternanthera* MV, *Papaya* MV, etc.) was excluded from pairwise analysis, the average nonzero pairwise distance value was 0.177 (maximum = 0.326). When these cactus-infecting *Potexviruses* were subdivided into six groups of relatively recent diversification, the average nonzero pairwise distance for full-genome sequences among groups was always above 0.015. The newly discovered *Schlumbergera*-infecting viruses displayed XX percent similarity, and

the *Selenicereus*-infecting viruses from existing cactus samples showed XX percent similarity. For the genes RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) and Coat protein (CP), which the ICTV recommends be analyzed for species delimitation, the average nonzero pairwise distance was always above 0.02 (Figure 2). This correlates to roughly greater than 97.5 and 98 nucleotide identity.

The ICTV guidelines for *Potexviruses* indicate that less than 72 percent nucleotide sequence identity (or 80 percent amino acid identity) between the CP or Rep genes demarcates separate viral species. Because we compare closely related *Potexviruses*, it might be expected that members of the same putative species would have higher than 72 percent nucleotide identity and members of different putative species would have lower than 72 percent sequence identity. However, the low pairwise distances between *Potexviruses* cause very few cactus-infecting *Potexviruses* to be demarcated as separate species, even when only considering previously described species compared to each other. Examples here.

Phylogenetic Relationships

A well-supported phylogenetic tree was recovered including closely related non-cactus-infecting *Potexviruses*. The phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) places the new viral sequences from *Schlumbergera* and *Selenicereus* near existing viral species within *Potexvirus* (Figure 1). Phylogenetic analysis recovered defined monophyletic groups corresponding to five or six major groups of cactus-infecting *Potexviruses*, with *Cactus Virus X* displaying two branching subgroups. *Opuntia Virus X* was the sister clade to the rest of the cactus-infecting *Potexviruses*. The *S. truncata* samples located within the *Cactus Virus X* clade appear to represent the first known discovery of *Cactus Virus X* on *Schlumbergera*.

Reported host genera are presented for each viral sample. The reported taxonomy of each existing sample aligns well with the tree structure, but this monophyly is not recovered for hosts. Putative viral species appear to infect as few as one genus, in the case of *Opuntia Virus X*, or as many as three in the case of *Schlumbergera Virus X*. Further, the three genera are evolutionarily distinct and polyphyletic in phylogenetic analyses of *Cactaceae*. This relationship raises questions about a *Potexvirus*'s ability to switch hosts. All three genera that are reported hosts of *Schlumbergera Virus X* are ornamental crops: *Selenicereus*, *Schlumbergera*, and *Opuntia*. Extended greenhouse contact between the three genera may have resulted in viral spillover of *Schlumbergera Virus X*. However, it is also important to note that the present viral taxonomy is not infallible. The putative species known as *Schlumbergera Virus X* may have been initially - incidentally - found on a spillover host rather than a member of an actual viral circulating population. Unfortunately there is no way to know the "original host" of any virus that possesses the mechanisms to infect multiple cacti genera. Further testing of known host genera is necessary, and metagenomic sequencing of closely related genera to monitor potential opportunistic spillover.

Taxonomic descriptions must also be analyzed for accuracy and reflection of actual viral activity. A virus named for its first known host may not represent the evolutionary history of the virus. Although phylogenetic analysis is likely to produce monophyletic clades of viruses that have each been named in succession for the first known member, this produces inconsistent and confusing names. *Zygocactus Virus X* is a clear example: Although the name *Zygocactus* is outdated in reference to the host genera, now classified as *Schlumbergera*, the viral name remains. This is exacerbated by the presence of a separate viral species, *Schlumbergera Virus X*. The ICTV strongly opposes unnecessary name changes, but it is unclear what should be done with outdated and confusing names that describe an extant clade of viruses. Mixed infections and the lack of a one-to-one correlation between virus and host complicate naming endeavors further, and more sampling will uncover novel hosts and mixed infections.

Recombination and selection analysis

Recombination events, how they were detected. Selection analysis goes here.

Grouping

The new samples fall into already existing species groups. Pairwise distances between species were calculated for six groups, with a value of 0 indicating identical sequences and a value of 1 indicating entirely dissimilar sequences.

Concluding Remarks

X new viruses were included as part of this study, which represents a multifaceted approach to viral discovery using metagenomic techniques for already available public data as well as newly collected data. Mixed infections, co-infection dynamics. Viral abundance, viral loads, tissue type, and diversity.

256 Transmission: Sexual Taxonomic recommendations - the current species names should not be used in the
257 future.

258 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

259 Acknowledgments text.

REFERENCES

- Attathom, S. (1978). Identification and Characterization of a Potexvirus from California Barrel Cactus. *Phytopathology*, 68(10):1401.
- Attathom, S., Weathers, L. G., and Gumpf, D. J. (1978). Occurrence and distribution of a virus induced disease of barrel cactus in California. *Plant disease reporter*.
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15):2114–2120.
- Bos, L. (1977). *SYMPTOMS OF VIRUS DISEASES IN PLANTS*. Research Institute for Plant Protection.
- Boyle, T. H. (2003). Identification of self-incompatibility groups in *Hatiora* and *Schlumbergera* (Cactaceae). *Sexual Plant Reproduction*, 16(3):151–155.
- Brandes, J. and Bercks, R. (1963). Untersuchungen zur Identifizierung und Klassifizierung des Kakteen-X-Virus (cactus virus X). *Journal of Phytopathology*, 46(3):291–300.
- Delwart, E. L. (2007). Viral metagenomics. *Reviews in Medical Virology*, 17(2):115–131.
- Duarte, L. M. L., Alexandre, M. A. V., Rivas, E. B., Harakava, R., Galletti, S. R., and Barradas, M. M. (2008). POTEXVIRUS DIVERSITY IN CACTACEAE FROM SÃO PAULO STATE IN BRAZIL. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, page 9.
- Evallo, E., Taguiam, J. D., and Balendres, M. A. (2021). A brief review of plant diseases caused by Cactus virus X. *Crop Protection*, 143:105566.
- Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., Adiconis, X., Fan, L., Raychowdhury, R., Zeng, Q., Chen, Z., Mauceli, E., Hacohen, N., Gnirke, A., Rhind, N., Palma, F. d., Birren, B. W., Nusbaum, C., Lindblad-Toh, K., Friedman, N., and Regev, A. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, 29(7):644–652.
- Gregory, A. C., Zayed, A. A., Conceição-Neto, N., Temperton, B., Bolduc, B., Alberti, A., Ardyna, M., Arkhipova, K., Carmichael, M., Cruaud, C., Dimier, C., Domínguez-Huerta, G., Ferland, J., Kandels, S., Liu, Y., Marec, C., Pesant, S., Picheral, M., Pisarev, S., Poulain, J., Tremblay, J.-É., Vik, D., Acinas, S. G., Babin, M., Bork, P., Boss, E., Bowler, C., Cochrane, G., de Vargas, C., Follows, M., Gorsky, G., Grimsley, N., Guidi, L., Hingamp, P., Iudicone, D., Jaillon, O., Kandels-Lewis, S., Karp-Boss, L., Karsenti, E., Not, F., Ogata, H., Pesant, S., Poulton, N., Raes, J., Sardet, C., Speich, S., Stemmann, L., Sullivan, M. B., Sunagawa, S., Wincker, P., Babin, M., Bowler, C., Culley, A. I., de Vargas, C., Dutilh, B. E., Iudicone, D., Karp-Boss, L., Roux, S., Sunagawa, S., Wincker, P., and Sullivan, M. B. (2019). Marine DNA Viral Macro- and Microdiversity from Pole to Pole. *Cell*, 177(5):1109–1123.e14.
- Guerrero, P. C., Majure, L. C., Cornejo-Romero, A., and Hernández-Hernández, T. (2019). Phylogenetic Relationships and Evolutionary Trends in the Cactus Family. *Journal of Heredity*, 110(1):4–21.
- Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q., and Vinh, L. S. (2018). UFBBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35(2):518–522.
- Hug, L. A., Baker, B. J., Anantharaman, K., Brown, C. T., Probst, A. J., Castelle, C. J., Butterfield, C. N., Hermsdorf, A. W., Amano, Y., Ise, K., Suzuki, Y., Dudek, N., Relman, D. A., Finstad, K. M., Amundson, R., Thomas, B. C., and Banfield, J. F. (2016). A new view of the tree of life. *Nature Microbiology*, 1(5):16048.
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A., and Jermin, L. S. (2017). ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods*, 14(6):587–589.
- Katoh, K. (2002). MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 30(14):3059–3066.
- Koenig, R., Aust, H., Schiemann, J., Pleij, C. W. A., Loss, S., and Burgermeister, W. (2004). Molecular characterisation of potexviruses isolated from three different genera in the family Cactaceae. *Archives of Virology*, 149(5):903–914.
- Korotkova, N., Borsch, T., and Arias, S. (2017). A phylogenetic framework for the Hylocereae (Cactaceae) and implications for the circumscription of the genera. *Phytotaxa*, 327(1):1.
- Lacroix, C., Renner, K., Cole, E., Seabloom, E. W., Borer, E. T., and Malmstrom, C. M. (2016). Methodological guidelines for accurate detection of viruses in wild plant species. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 82(6):1966–1975.
- Le Bellec, F. and Vaillant, F. (2011). Pitahaya (pitaya) (Hylocereus spp.). In *Postharvest Biology and Technology of Tropical and Subtropical Fruits*, pages 247–273e. Elsevier.
- Lefeuvre, P., Martin, D. P., Elena, S. F., Shepherd, D. N., Roumagnac, P., and Varsani, A. (2019). Evolution

and ecology of plant viruses. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 17(10):632–644.

Li, Y.-S., Mao, C.-H., Kuo, T.-Y., and Chang, Y.-C. (2015). VIRAL DISEASES OF PITAYA AND OTHER CACTACEAE PLANTS. *Improving Pitaya Production and Marketing*, page 9.

Liou, M. R., Chen, Y. R., and Liou, R. F. (2004). Complete nucleotide sequence and genome organization of a Cactus virus X strain from *Hylocereus undatus* (Cactaceae). *Archives of Virology*, 149(5):1037–1043.

Maliarenko, V. M. and Mudrak, T. P. (2013). Cactus viruses in fasciated plants. *Biologija*, 59(2).

Martelli, G. P., Adams, M. J., Kreuze, J. F., and Dolja, V. V. (2007). Family *Flexiviridae* : A Case Study in Virion and Genome Plasticity. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 45(1):73–100.

Molisch, H. (1885). Über merkwürdige geformte Proteinkörper in den Zweigen von *Epiphyllum*. *Berichte der Deutschen botanischen Gesellschaft in Berlin*, 3:195–202.

Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B. Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32(1):268–274.

on Taxonomy of Viruses Executive Committee, I. C. et al. (2020). The new scope of virus taxonomy: partitioning the virosphere into 15 hierarchical ranks. *Nature Microbiology*, 5(5):668.

Park, C. H., Song, E. G., and Ryu, K. H. (2018). Detection of Co-Infection of *Notocactus leninghausii* f. *cristatus* with Six Virus Species in South Korea. *The Plant Pathology Journal*, 34(1):65–70.

Peng, C., Yu, N. T., Luo, Z. W., Fan, H. Y., He, F., Li, X. H., Zhang, Z. L., and Liu, Z. X. (2016). Molecular Identification of Cactus virus X Infecting *Hylocereus polyrhizus* (Cactaceae) in Hainan Island, China. *Plant Disease*, 100(9):1956.

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., and Glöckner, F. O. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41(D1):D590–D596.

R Core Team (2020). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Ramanauskas, K. and Igić, B. (2021). Rnase-based self-incompatibility in cacti. *New Phytologist*, 231(5):2039–2049.

Schulz, F., Eloë-Fadrosh, E. A., Bowers, R. M., Jarett, J., Nielsen, T., Ivanova, N. N., Kyrpides, N. C., and Woyke, T. (2017). Towards a balanced view of the bacterial tree of life. *Microbiome*, 5(1):140.

Shi, M., Lin, X.-D., Tian, J.-H., Chen, L.-J., Chen, X., Li, C.-X., Qin, X.-C., Li, J., Cao, J.-P., Eden, J.-S., Buchmann, J., Wang, W., Xu, J., Holmes, E. C., and Zhang, Y.-Z. (2016). Redefining the invertebrate RNA virosphere. *Nature*, 540(7634):539–543.

Simmonds, P., Adams, M. J., Benkő, M., Breitbart, M., Brister, J. R., Carstens, E. B., Davison, A. J., Delwart, E., Gorbalenya, A. E., Harrach, B., et al. (2017). Virus taxonomy in the age of metagenomics. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 15(3):161–168.

Song, L. and Florea, L. (2015). Rcorrector: efficient and accurate error correction for Illumina RNA-seq reads. *GigaScience*, 4(1):48.

Wood, D. E., Lu, J., and Langmead, B. (2019). Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biology*, 20(1):257.

Yu, G., Smith, D. K., Zhu, H., Guan, Y., and Lam, T. T. (2017). ggtree: an R package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8(1):28–36.

357 **FIGURES**

358 Figure inventory list. 1. The updated cactus-infecting *potexvirus* phylogeny reflects distinct viral groupings
359 which opportunistically infect multiple host plants. Asterisks (*) represent samples recovered by this study.
360 2. Genome organization of viruses in phylogeny, ORFs color-coded and arrows indicating which direction
361 each gene is read. 3. Selection graph as displayed in B's r code 4. Table of pairwise distances in nucleotides
362 5. Graph of pairwise distances 6. Proposed update to potexvirus taxonomy.
363 Supplemental figures: 1. full gene phylogenies for each gene in genome 2. Tissue expression rates?