

Bus Charging Schedule Simulated Annealing with MILP Constraints

Alexander Brown

April 6, 2023

Contents

1 Preliminaries	1
1.1 Mixed Integer Linear Program	1
1.2 Fuzzy Sets Theory	1
1.2.1 Fuzzy Sets	1
1.2.2 Fuzzy Arithmetic	3
1.2.3 Comparing Fuzzy Numbers	3
1.3 Fully Fuzzy Linear Programming	3
2 The Crisp BAP and PAP	5
2.1 The Berth Allocation Problem	5

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Mixed Integer Linear Program

A mixed integer linear programming (MILP) problem is a class of constrained optimization in which one seeks to find a set of continuous or integer values that maximizes or minimizes an objective function while satisfying a set of constraints [3]. Given an objective function J , decision variables (i.e. variables of optimization) $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and input parameters $c_j, d_k, a_{ij}, g_{ik}, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, a MILP has the mathematical structure represented in Equation 1 [3].

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Maximize} && J = \sum_j c_j x_j + \sum_k d_k y_k \\ &\text{subject to} && \sum_j a_{ij} x_j + \sum_k g_{ik} y_k \leq b_i && (i = 1, 2, \dots, m) \\ &&& x_j \geq 0 && (j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \\ &&& y_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ && (k = 1, 2, \dots, n) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This formulation of the MILP is also referred to as “crisp”. By this it is meant that each variable in the formulation acts as an injective mapping to its number representation. In other words, no values on the formulation are fuzzy [9].

1.2 Fuzzy Sets Theory

This section introduces the notion of fuzzy numbers and some basic definitions. Concepts from this section are pulled from [14, 4, 13, 1].

1.2.1 Fuzzy Sets

Let’s begin with what a fuzzy number is not. A classical (crisp) set is defined as a collection of elements $x \in X$. Crisp sets are binary, either an element either belongs in the set, or it does not [14]. For a fuzzy set, what is known as the characteristic functions applies various degrees of membership for elements of a given set.

[14].

Definition 1.1 Let X be a collection of objects (often called the universe of discourse [1]). If X is denoted generically by x , then a fuzzy set \tilde{A} in X is a set of ordered pairs as shown in Equation 2.

$$\tilde{A} = \{(x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)) | x \in X\} \quad (2)$$

$\mu_{\tilde{A}}$ is called the membership function where $\mu_{\tilde{A}}$ is the mapping $\mu_{\tilde{A}} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$; which assigns a real number to the interval $[0, 1]$. The value of $\mu_{\tilde{A}}$ represents the degree of membership of x in \tilde{A} .

This paper will use fuzzy sets defined on the real numbers \mathbb{R} . The membership function describes the shape of the fuzzy number. As an example, consider the following definition.

Definition 1.2 A fuzzy number that is represented by $\tilde{A} = (a, b, c)$ is said to be triangular if its membership function is defined as Equation 3.

$$\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x-a)}{(b-a)} & a \leq x \leq b \\ \frac{(d-x)}{(d-b)} & c \leq x \leq d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Definition 1.3 The fuzzy set \tilde{A} in \mathbb{R} is normal if $\max_x \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 1$.

Definition 1.4 A fuzzy set \tilde{A} in \mathbb{R} is convex if and only if the membership function of \tilde{A} satisfies the inequality

$$\mu_{\tilde{A}}[\beta x_1 + (1 - \beta)x_2] \geq \min[\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x_1), \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x_2)] \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \beta \in [0, 1]$$

Definition 1.5 A fuzzy number is a normal convex fuzzy set in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.6 The triangular fuzzy number \tilde{A} is nonnegative $\iff a \geq 0$.

A more general definition of fuzzy numbers is known as LR fuzzy numbers [9, 14].

Definition 1.7 A function $L : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ (or $R : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$) is said to be reference a function of the fuzzy number if and only if

1. $L(0) = 1$ (or $R(0) = 1$)
2. L (or R) is non-increasing on $[0, \infty)$

Definition 1.8 A fuzzy number A defined on the let of real numbers, \mathbb{R} , denoted as $(m, n, \alpha, \beta)_{LR}$, is said to be an LR flat fuzzy number if its membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ is defined as

$$\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} L(\frac{m-x}{\alpha}) & x \leq m, \alpha > 0 \\ R(\frac{m-n}{\beta}) & x \geq m, \beta > 0 \\ 1 & m \leq x \leq n \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Definition 1.9 An LR flat fuzzy number $\tilde{A} = (m, n, \alpha, \beta)_{LR}$ is said to be a non-negative LR flat fuzzy number if and only if $m - \alpha \geq 0$ and is said to be non-positive LR flat fuzzy number if and only if $m - \alpha \leq 0$ is a real number.

Definition 1.10 An LR flat fuzzy number $\tilde{A} = (m, n, \alpha, \beta)_{LR}$ is said to be an unrestricted LR flat fuzzy number if and only if $m - \alpha$ is a real number.

1.2.2 Fuzzy Arithmetic

If two triangular fuzzy numbers $\tilde{a}_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and $\tilde{b}_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ are nonnegative then the following operations are defined in Equation 5.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a} \oplus \tilde{b} &= (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3) \\ \tilde{a} \ominus \tilde{b} &= (a_1 + b_3, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_1) \\ \tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{b} &= (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, a_3 b_3)\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

1.2.3 Comparing Fuzzy Numbers

Fuzzy numbers do not directly provide a method of ordering nor do they always provide an ordered set like real numbers [1]. There are multiple methods for ordering fuzzy numbers, each coming with advantages and disadvantages. Different properties have been applied to justify comparison of fuzzy numbers, such as: preference, rationality, and robustness [8, 1, 9]. These methods are commonly known as ranking functions or ordering functions [1, 4, 9]. Commonly, including in this work, the First index of Yager [12] is used (Equation 6).

$$\Re(\tilde{A}) = \frac{\sum_i a_i}{|\tilde{A}|}\tag{6}$$

where $|\cdot|$ represents the cardinality of the fuzzy number. In words, Equation 6 is merely the average of the values in the fuzzy number.

1.3 Fully Fuzzy Linear Programming

Much like the MILP, fully fuzzy linear programs (FFLP) it is a class of constrained optimization in which one seeks to find a set of continuous variables that either maximizes or minimizes an objective function, J , while satisfying a set of constraints. The key difference in FFLP is that it is designed to accommodate imprecise information [1, 9]. In FFLP, the parameters and decision variables are fuzzy and linear. A general FFLP is represented as shown in Equation 7. The subscripts \cdot_e , \cdot_l , and \cdot_g indicate to equality, less than, and greater than constraints, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Maximize } & J = \sum_j \tilde{C}_j \otimes \tilde{X}_j \\ \text{subject to } & \sum_j \tilde{a}_{ej} \otimes \tilde{x}_j = \tilde{b}_e \quad \forall e = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ & \sum_j \tilde{a}_{lj} \otimes \tilde{x}_j \leq \tilde{b}_l \quad \forall l = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ & \sum_j \tilde{a}_{gj} \otimes \tilde{x}_j \geq \tilde{b}_g \quad \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

There are many methods of solving FFLP [1, 9, 5, 10]; however, most solution methods convert the fuzzy model into a crisp model that can be solved using traditional methods [1]. In [10, 1], the method of converting the FFLP into a crisp MILP is simply by applying the ranking function to the objective function and breaking the constraints down into a set of crisp constraints as shown in Equation 8. The constraints are separated according to the definition of fuzzy set multiplication defined in Equation 5. The fuzzy number index is represented is the exponent rather than the subscript to clearly distinguish between the indexed value in the fuzzy number and the constraint index (i.e. $\tilde{A} = (a^1, a^2, a^3)$). Furthermore, it is assumed that the fuzzy numbers are nonnegative.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Maximize} && J = \Re\left(\sum_j (c_j^1, c_j^2, c_j^3)(x_j^1, x_j^2, x_j^3)\right) \\
& \text{subject to} && \sum_j a_{ej}^1 x_j^1 = b_e^1 && \forall e = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{lj}^1 x_j^1 \leq b_l^1 && \forall l = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{gj}^1 x_j^1 \geq b_g^1 && \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{ej}^2 x_j^2 = b_e^2 && \forall e = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{lj}^2 x_j^2 \leq b_l^2 && \forall l = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{gj}^2 x_j^2 \geq b_g^2 && \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{ej}^3 x_j^3 = b_e^3 && \forall e = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{lj}^3 x_j^3 \leq b_l^3 && \forall l = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{gj}^3 x_j^3 \geq b_g^3 && \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && x_j^2 - x_j^1 \geq 0 && x_j^3 - x_j^2 \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

To be more succinct, the FFLP can also equivalently be written as Equation 9.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Maximize} && J = \Re\left(\sum_j (c_j^1, c_j^2, c_j^3) \otimes (x_j^1, x_j^2, x_j^3)\right) \\
& \text{subject to} && \sum_j a_{ej}^k x_j^k = b_e^k && \forall e = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{lj}^k x_j^k \leq b_l^k && \forall l = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{gj}^k x_j^k \geq b_g^k && \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && x_j^2 - x_j^1 \geq 0 && x_j^3 - x_j^2 \geq 0 \\
& && \forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Where k has a max value equal to the cardinality to the type of fuzzy number being utilized. This can be further be elaborated on by rewriting the inequality constraints as equality constraints by introducing slack as equality constraints by introducing slack as equality constraints by introducing slack variables. This is useful as it represents the formulation in a standard form [3, 11]. It also has the slightly less useful benefit of (mostly) providing the solver a set of equations called a hyperplane [3].

The given method is called the Kumar and Kaurs method. Generally speaking, it is designed to solve FFLP problems with inequality constraints having LR flat fuzzy numbers. Given the FFLP Equation 7 and assuming that \tilde{x}_j is an LR flat fuzzy number, the problem can be reformulated as Equation 10 [9].

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Maximize} && J = \sum_j \tilde{C}_j \otimes \tilde{X}_j \\
& \text{subject to} && \sum_j \tilde{a}_{ej} \otimes \tilde{x}_j = \tilde{b}_e && \forall e = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j \tilde{a}_{lj} \otimes \tilde{x}_j \oplus \tilde{S}_l = \tilde{b}_l \oplus \tilde{S}'_l && \forall l = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j \tilde{a}_{gj} \otimes \tilde{x}_j \oplus \tilde{S}_g = \tilde{b}_g \oplus \tilde{S}'_g && \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \Re(\tilde{S}_l) - \Re(\tilde{S}'_l) \geq 0 && \forall l = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \Re(\tilde{S}_g) - \Re(\tilde{S}'_g) \leq 0 && \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Expanding the set of equation and using the condensed notation in Equation 9 we find Equation 11 [9].

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Maximize} && J = \Re\left(\sum_j (c_j^1, c_j^2, c_j^3) \otimes (x_j^1, x_j^2, x_j^3)\right) \\
& \text{subject to} && \sum_j a_{ej}^k x_j^k = b_e^k && \forall e = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{lj}^k x_j^k s_l^k \leq s_l'^k b_l^k && \forall l = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \sum_j a_{gj}^k x_j^k s_g^k \geq s_g'^k b_g^k && \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \Re(\tilde{S}_l) - \Re(\tilde{S}'_l) = 0 && \forall l = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && \Re(\tilde{S}_g) - \Re(\tilde{S}'_g) = 0 && \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\
& && x_j^2 - x_j^1 \geq 0 && x_j^3 - x_j^2 \geq 0 \\
& && s_j^2 - s_j^1 \geq 0 && s_j^3 - s_j^2 \geq 0 \\
& && s_j'^2 - s_j'^1 \geq 0 && s_j'^3 - s_j'^2 \geq 0 \\
& && \forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

2 The Crisp BAP and PAP

2.1 The Berth Allocation Problem

The BAP models the optimal distribution of container ships to terminals in order to be serviced. The allocation of the ships depends primarily on the size of the ship and its service time [6, 7, 2]. Most BAP models assume the service time, size, and preferred terminals to be the input parameters and have delay, deviation from ideal position to be the decision variables [6, 7, 2]. A general formulation for the BAP of a single quay is described in Equation 12. The variables are as described in Table 1.

The equations will now explained. Equation 12a is the objective function for the BAP. In this form, it is attempting to minimize the total time from arrival to service completion. Equation 12b is a big-M constraint that is used to check if ship i 's service time ends before ship j . That is $\sigma_{ij} = 1$ if $a_j \geq a_i - s_i$ and $\sigma_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Similarly, Equation 12c checks if ship i is asbelow ship j . That is $\psi_{ij} = 1$ if $v_j \geq v_i - s_i$ and $\psi_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The equations Equation 12d - Equation 12f ensure that ship j is either assigned after ship i has finished its service and/or j is assigned below ship i ; however, $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji} \neq 1$ or $\psi_{ij} = \psi_{ji} \neq 1$. That is to say a ship cannot be queued before and after another or be queued above and below another simultaneously.

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^I (e_i - a_i) \quad (12a)$$

$$\text{subject to } a_j - a_i - s_i - (\sigma_{ij} - 1)T \geq 0 \quad (12b)$$

$$v_j - v_i - s_i - (\psi_{ij} - 1)S \geq 0 \quad (12c)$$

$$\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ji} + \psi_{ij} + \psi_{ji} \geq 1 \quad (12d)$$

$$\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ji} \leq 1 \quad (12e)$$

$$\psi_{ij} + \psi_{ji} \leq 1 \quad (12f)$$

$$s_i + a_i = e_i \quad (12g)$$

$$a_i \leq a_i \leq (T - s_i) \quad (12h)$$

$$\sigma_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, \psi_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} v_i \in [0, S] \quad (12i)$$

Table 1: Table of variables used for the BAP

Variable	Description
Input constants	
I	Number of total ships
Input variables	
a_i	Arrival time of ship i
e_i	Time ship i must departs the berth
Decision Variables	
ψ_{ij}	Tracks spatial overlap for ships (i, j)
σ_{ij}	Tracks temporal overlap for ships (i, j)
s_i	Service time for ship i
u_i	Service start time for ship i
v_i	Assigned quay for ship i

References

- [1] Marilyn Bello, Gonzalo Nápoles, Ivett Fuentes, Isel Grau, Rafael Falcon, Rafael Bello, and Koen Vanhoof. *Fuzzy Activation of Rough Cognitive Ensembles Using OWA Operators*, pages 317–335. Uncertainty Management with Fuzzy and Rough Sets. Springer International Publishing, 2019.

- [2] Katja Buhrkal, Sara Zuglian, Stefan Ropke, Jesper Larsen, and Richard Lusby. Models for the discrete berth allocation problem: a computational comparison. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 47(4):461–473, 2011.
- [3] Der-San Chen, Robert G Batson, and Yu Dang. *Applied integer programming*. Wiley, 2010.
- [4] Sapan Kumar Das, T. Mandal, and S. A. Edalatpanah. A mathematical model for solving fully fuzzy linear programming problem with trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. *Applied Intelligence*, 46(3):509–519, 2016.
- [5] Ali Ebrahimnejad. New method for solving fuzzy transportation problems with lr flat fuzzy numbers. *Information Sciences*, 357(nil):108–124, 2016.
- [6] Pablo Frojan, Juan Francisco Correcher, Ramon Alvarez-Valdes, Gerasimos Koulouris, and Jose Manuel Tamarit. The continuous berth allocation problem in a container terminal with multiple quays. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42(21):7356–7366, 2015.
- [7] Akio Imai, Etsuko Nishimura, and Stratos Papadimitriou. The dynamic berth allocation problem for a container port. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 35(4):401–417, may 2001.
- [8] Mariano Jiménez, Mar Arenas, Amelia Bilbao, and M. Victoria Rodríguez. Linear programming with fuzzy parameters: an interactive method resolution. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 177(3):1599–1609, 2007.
- [9] Jagdeep Kaur and Amit Kumar. *An Introduction to Fuzzy Linear Programming Problems*. Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing. Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- [10] SH Nasser, E Behmanesh, F Taleshian, M Abdolalipour, and NEZHAD NA TAGHI. Fully fuzzy linear programming with inequality constraints. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS*, 2013.
- [11] Robert J. Vanderbei. *Linear Programming*. International Series in Operations Research amp; Management Science. Springer International Publishing, 2020.
- [12] Ronald R. Yager. A procedure for ordering fuzzy subsets of the unit interval. *Information Sciences*, 24(2):143–161, 1981.
- [13] M. Yaghobi, M. Rabbani, M. Adabitarab Firozja, and J. Vahidi. Comparison of fuzzy numbers with ranking fuzzy and real number. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 12(01):65–72, 2014.
- [14] H.-J. Zimmermann. *Fuzzy Set Theory-and Its Applications*. []. Springer Netherlands, 2001.