A SIMULATED ANNEALING APPROACH TO THE BATTERY ELECTRIC BUS CHARGING PROBLEM

Alexander Brown

April 29, 2024

Outline

Section 1

Introduction

Problem Description

- Fleet size of n_A buses
- n_V visits[a] divided between the n_A buses
- Each bus in initialized with a charge of $\alpha_b \kappa_i$

[a] A visit describes when a bus arrives, assigned a charge queue, and then departs

- Each is has a paired route with a discharges of Δ_i
- The charge is not allowed to go below $\nu_b \kappa_i$ during operational hours
- Each bus is required to finish the day with a minimum charge of $\beta_b \kappa_i$[a]

[a] PAP application only

Mixed Integer Linear Programming

$$\max J = \sum_j c_j x_j + \sum_k d_k y_k$$

$$\text{subject to } \sum_j a_{ij} x_j + \sum_k g_{ik} y_k \leq b_i \qquad (i = 1, 2, ..., m)$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \qquad (j = 1, 2, ..., n)$$

$$y_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \qquad (k = 1, 2, ..., n)$$

- J: Objective function
- $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$: Decision Variables
- $c_j, d_k, a_{ij}, g_{ik}, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$: Parameters

The Berth Allocation Problem[1]

[1] https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/11/7/1280

The Berth Allocation Problem

- Vessels move down toward the quay
- Recieve service
- Exit to the right



The Berth Allocation Problem

- A variant of the rectangle packing problem
- Solves the problem of optimally assigning incoming vessels to be serviced
  - $\mathbb{O}$: Spatiotemporal allocations for vessels
  - O: Time horizon and berthing space



The Position Allocation Problem

- Service flow is left to right
- Single charger type
- All arrivals are considered unique
- Service times are assumed to be known



Section 2

The Position Allocation Problem Approach With Linear Battery Dynamic

Requirements For BEB Implementation

- Charges must be able to be tracked
- Service time is unknown
- Accommodate chargers of different speeds
- Minimize charger count
- Minimize consumption cost
- Encourage slow charger use for battery health

Objective Function

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n_V} \sum_{q=1}^{n_Q} \left(w_{iq} m_q + g_{iq} \epsilon_q \right)$$

- $w_{iq} m_q$: Assignment cost
- $g_{iq} \epsilon_q$: Consumption cost

Packing Constraints

- Used to ensure that individual rectangles do not overlap
- σ_{ij} establishes temporal ordering when active
- ψ_{ij} establishes spacial ordering when active

$$u_j - u_i - s_i - (\sigma_{ij} - 1)T \geq 0$$

$$v_j - v_i - (\psi_{ij} - 1)n_Q \geq 1$$

$$\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ji} \leq 1$$

$$\psi_{ij} + \psi_{ji} \leq 1$$

$$\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ji} + \psi_{ij} + \psi_{ji} \geq 1$$

Packing Constraints

- Used to ensure that individual rectangles do not overlap
- σ_{ij} establishes temporal ordering when active
- ψ_{ij} establishes spacial ordering when active



Packing Constraints

- Calculates the charge duration
- Ensures the arrival time is before the initial charge time
- The initial charge time must be early enough as to not go over the time horizon
- The detach time must be before the departure time

$$s_i + u_i = d_i$$

$$a_i \leq u_i \leq (T - s_i)$$

$$d_i \leq \tau_i$$

Linear Battery Dynamic Constraints

- Calculates the charge for the next visit
- Ensures the current charge is above the minimum charge threshold
- Ensures the current charge is below the battery capacity

$$\eta_i + \sum_{q=1}^{n_Q} g_{iq} r_q - \Delta_i = \eta_{\gamma_i}$$

$$\eta_i + \sum_{q=1}^{n_Q} g_{iq} r_q - \Delta_i \geq \nu_{\Gamma_i} \kappa_{\Gamma_i}$$

$$\eta_i + \sum_{q=1}^{n_Q} g_{iq} r_q \leq \kappa_{\Gamma_i}$$

Bilinear Linearization Constraints

- Linearization of bilinear terms

$$g_{iq} = \begin{cases} s_i & w_{iq} = 1 \\ 0 & w_{iq} = 0 \end{cases}.$$

$$s_i - (1 - w_{iq})M \leq g_{iq}$$

$$s_i \geq g_{iq}$$

$$Mw_{iq} \geq g_{iq}$$

$$0 \leq g_{iq}$$

Charging Queue Constraints

- Ensure only one queue is selected per visit
- Convert vector representation of queue selection to an integer

$$\sum_{q=1}^{n_Q} w_{iq} = 1$$

$$v_i = \sum_{q=1}^{n_Q} q w_{iq}$$

Results

- Executed for 7200 seconds (2 hours)
- $T = 24$
- $n_V = 338$
- $n_A = 35$
- $\alpha_i = 90\%$; $\nu_i = 20\%$; $\beta_i = 70\%$
- $\forall q \in \{n_B + 1, n_B + 2, ..., n_B + n_C\}; m_q = 1000q$

Results


MILP Schedule
Charging Queue
Time [hr]
0
5
10
15
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24


Qin Schedule
Charging Queue
Time [hr]
0
5
10
15
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22

Results


Fast Charger Count
Quin
MILP
Count
Time [hr]
0
1
2
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24


Slow Charger Count
Quin
MILP
Count
Time [hr]
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Results


Accumulated Energy Usage
$\cdot 10^4$
Quin
MILP
Energy Usage [kWh]
0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
Time [hr]


Power Usage
Quin
MILP
Power Usage [kW]
0
500
1,000
1,500
2,000
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
Time [hr]

Section 3

The Simulated Annealing Approach With Linear Battery Dynamics

Simulated Annealing

- Named after its analogized process where a crystalline solid is heated then allowed to cool at a slow rate until it achieves its most regular possible crystal lattice configuration
- The algorithm is often applied to problems that contain many local solutions as it employs a stochastic approach that explores the solution space for an approximate global optimum.
- Within the SA process there are three key components
  - Cooling Schedule
  - Acceptance Criteria
  - Generation Mechanisms

Cooling Schedule

- The cooling equation models the rate at which the temperature decreases over time in the SA process.
- The temperature is high, SA encourages exploration. As the temperature decreases, exploitation is encouraged.



$$t_m = \beta t_{m-1}$$

Acceptance Criteria

$$f(\mathbb{I}, \bar{\mathbb{I}}, t_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \Delta E > 0 \\ e^{-\frac{\Delta E}{t_m}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Generation Mechanisms - Primitive Functions

- New Visit: Move a bus from a wait queue to charge queue
- Slide Visit: Change the charge duration of a visit
- New Charger: Move a visit to a new charger
- Wait: Move a visit to its idle queue
- New Window: Execute Wait then New Visit primitives

New Visit

Algorithm 1: New visit algorithm

Algorithm: New Visit

Input: $\mathbb{S}$
Output: $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$

begin
  $i \leftarrow \mathbb{S}_i$; /* Extract visit index */
  $a \leftarrow \mathbb{I}_{i.a}$; /* Extract the arrivial time for visit i */
  $e \leftarrow \mathbb{I}_{i.e}$; /* Extract the departure time for visit i */
  $q \leftarrow \mathbb{I}_{i.q}$; /* Extract the current charge queue for visit i */
  $\bar{q} \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_Q$; /* Select a random charging queue with a uniform distribution */
  $C \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{C}_q}$; /* Select a random time slice from $\mathbb{C}_q$ */
  if $(\bar{C}, \bar{u}, \bar{d}) \leftarrow$ `findFreeTime`$(C, i, q, a, e) \notin \varnothing$ **then** /* If there is time available in C_q^j */
    return $(i, (\bar{q}, \bar{u}, \bar{d}), \bar{C})$ /* Return visit */
  end
  return $(\varnothing)$; /* Return nothing */
end

Slide Visit

Algorithm 2: Slide Visit Algorithm

Algorithm: Slide Visit

Input: $\mathbb{S}$
Output: $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$

begin
  $(i, \mathbb{I}, \bar{\mathbb{C}}) \leftarrow$Purge$(\mathbb{S})$; /* Purge visit i from charger availibility matrix */
  $C \leftarrow \bar{C}_{i.q_i}$; /* Get the time availability of the purged visit */
  /* If there is time available in C */
  if $(\bar{C}, \bar{u}, \bar{d}) \leftarrow$ findFreeTime$(C, \mathbb{S}_i, \mathbb{I}_q, \mathbb{I}_{i.a}, \mathbb{I}_{i.e}) \notin \varnothing$ **then**
    return $(i, \mathbb{I}, (\mathbb{I}_{i.q_i}, \bar{u}, \bar{d}), \bar{C})$ /* Return updated visit */
  end
  return $(\varnothing)$; /* Return nothing */
end

New Charger

Algorithm 3: New Charger Algorithm

Algorithm: New Charger

Input: $\mathbb{S}$

Output: $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$

begin

  $(i, \mathbb{I}, \bar{\mathbb{C}}) \leftarrow$ `Purge`$(\mathbb{S})$; `/* Purge visit` i `from charger availibility matrix */`

  $q \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_Q$; `/* Select a random charging queue with a uniform distribution */`

  if $(\bar{C}, \bar{u}, \bar{d}) \leftarrow$ `findFreeTime`$(\bar{\mathbb{C}}_{i.q}, \mathbb{S}_i, \mathbb{I}_q, \mathbb{I}_{i.a}, \mathbb{I}_{i.e}) \notin \varnothing$ **then** `/* If there is time available in` C_q `*/`

    `/* Return visit, note` u `and` d `are the original inital/final charge times. */`

    return $(i, \mathbb{I}, (q, \mathbb{I}_{i.u}, \mathbb{I}_{i.d}), \bar{\mathbb{C}})$

  end

  return $(\varnothing)$; `/* Return nothing */`

end

Wait

Algorithm 4: Wait algorithm

Algorithm: Wait

Input: $\mathbb{S}$
Output: $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$

begin
  $(i, \mathbb{I}, \bar{\mathbb{C}}) \leftarrow$Purge$(\mathbb{S})$; /* Purge visit i from charger availibility matrix */
  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}'_{\mathbb{I}_{i.\Gamma_i}} \leftarrow \mathbb{C}' \cup \{[\mathbb{I}_{i.a}, \mathbb{I}_{i.e}]\}$; /* Update the charger availability matrix for wait queue $\bar{\mathbb{C}}_{i.q_i}$ */
  return $(i, \mathbb{I}, (\mathbb{I}_{i.b}, \mathbb{I}_{i.a}, \mathbb{I}_{i.e}), \bar{\mathbb{C}})$ /* Return visit */
end

New Window

Algorithm 5: New window algorithm

Algorithm: New Window

Input: $\mathbb{S}$

Output: $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$

```
1  begin
2      S̄ ←Wait(S);          /* Assign visit to its respective idle queue */
3      if S̄̄ ← NewVisit(S̄) ∉ ∅ then    /* Add visit i back in randomly */
4          return S̄̄                                  /* Return visit */
5      end
6      return (∅);                                  /* Return nothing */
7  end
```

Generation Mechanisms - Wrapper Functions

- Charge Schedule Generation: Iterate through each visit and execute New Visit
- Perturb Schedule: Randomly execute one of the primitives with a weighted distribution

Charge Schedule Generation

Algorithm 6: Charge schedule generation algorithm

Algorithm: Candidate Solution Generator

Input: $\mathbb{S}$
Output: $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$

1 **begin**
  /* Select an unscheduled BEB visit from a randomly indexed set of visits */
2 **foreach** $\mathbb{I}_i \in \mathbb{I}$ **do**
3 $(i, \bar{\mathbb{I}}, \bar{\mathbb{C}}) \leftarrow$ `NewVisit(`$(\mathbb{I}_i, \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{C})$`);` `/* Assign the bus to a charger */`
4 **end**
5 **return** $(0, \bar{\mathbb{I}}, \bar{\mathbb{C}})$
6 **end**

Perturb Schedule

Algorithm 7: Perturb schedule algorithm

Algorithm: Perturb Schedule

Input: $\mathbb{S}$

Output: $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$

begin

  $p \leftarrow [false; n_A]$; /* Create vector to track priority routes */

  $y^i \leftarrow [1.0; n_V]$; /* Create weight vector for index selection */

  /* Loop through the visits in reverse order */

  foreach $\mathbb{I}_i \leftarrow \mathbb{I}_{|\mathbb{I}|}$ *TO* $\mathbb{I}_1$ **do**

    /* If the current visit is part of a priority route */

    if $p_{\mathbb{I}_{i.b}} = true$ **then**

      $y^i_{\mathbb{I}_i} = y^i_{\mathbb{I}_{i.\xi}}$;

    end

    /* Else if the current visit's SOC does below the allowed threshold */

    else if $\mathbb{I}_{i.\eta} \leq \nu_{\mathbb{I}_{i.b}} \kappa_{\mathbb{I}_{i.b}}$ **then**

      $p_{\mathbb{I}_{i.b}} = true$; /* Indicate the current BEB's routes are to be prioritized */

      $y^i_{\mathbb{I}_i} = \kappa_{\mathbb{I}_{i.b}}(\nu_{\mathbb{I}_{i.b}} \kappa_{\mathbb{I}_{i.b}} - \mathbb{I}_{i.\eta})$; /* Calculate the weight of the current visit */

    end

  end

  $\mathbb{I}_i \leftarrow \mathcal{W}^{y^i}_{\mathbb{I}}$; /* Select an index with a weighted distribution */

  $i \leftarrow \mathbb{I}_i$; /* Extract visit index */

  $y^p \leftarrow [y^p_1, y^p_2, ...]$; /* Define the weight of each primitive generator */

  $PGF \leftarrow \mathcal{W}^{y^p}_{[1,n_G]}$; /* Select a generator function with weighted distribution */

  $\bar{\mathbb{S}} \leftarrow \texttt{PGF}((i, \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{C}))$; /* Excecute the generator function */

  return $(0, \bar{\mathbb{I}}, \bar{\mathbb{C}})$

end

Objective Function

$$J(\mathbb{I}) = z_d p_d + \sum_{i=1}^{n_V} \left[\epsilon_{q_i} r_{q_i} + z_p \phi_i (\eta_i - \nu_{b_i} \kappa_{b_i}) + z_c r_{q_i} s_i \right]$$

- Demand cost
  - $p_{T_p}[h] = \frac{1}{T_p} \sum_{h-\frac{T_p}{dt}+1}^{h} p_h$
  - $p_d = \max(p_{fix}, p_{max})$
  - $p_{max} = \max_{h \in H} p_{T_p}[h]$
- $\epsilon_{q_i} r_{q_i}$: Assignment Cost
- $z_p \phi_i (\eta_i - \nu_{b_i} \kappa_{b_i})$: Penalty Function
- $z_c r_{q_i} s_i$: Consumption Cost

Constraints

$$u_j - d_i - (\sigma_{ij} - 1)T \geq 0$$

$$q_j - q_i - 1 - (\psi_{ij} - 1)Q \geq 0$$

$$\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ji} \leq 1$$

$$\psi_{ij} + \psi_{ji} \leq 1$$

$$\sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{ji} + \psi_{ij} + \psi_{ji} \geq 1$$

$$s_i = d_i - u_i$$

$$\eta_{\xi_i} = \eta_i + r_{q_i} s_i - \Delta_i$$

$$\kappa_{\Xi_i} \geq \eta_i + r_{q_i} s_i$$

$$a_i \leq u_i \leq d_i \leq e_i \leq \mathcal{T}$$

Results - What Is In The Thesis

Model	Execution Time [s]	Iteration [s]
MILP	3600	N/A
Quick	2275.25	0.25
Heuristic	3640.4	0.4

- $T_0 = 99999$
- $\beta = 0.999$
- $|t| = 3797$
- $n_K = 500$

Schedule- What Is In The Thesis





Schedule - What Is In The Thesis





Charger Count - What Is In The Thesis





Charger Count - What Is In The Thesis





Power - What Is In The Thesis





Energy - What Is In The Thesis



What Happened?



- Candidate solutions diverge
- Hard time handling “difficult” routes

How To Resolve This Problem?



- Reverse search and weight the visit indices
- Be more aggressive in exploiting the best solution

Score Convergence Comparison

Before Fix



After Fix



Results - What Is Not In The Thesis

Model	Execution Time [s]	Iteration [s]
MILP	1900	N/A
Quick	1532.8	0.4
Heuristic	1916	0.5

- $T_0 = 90000$
- $\beta = 0.997$
- $|t| = 3797$
- $n_K = 500$

Schedule - What Is Not In The Thesis





Schedule - What Is Not In The Thesis





Charger Count - What Is Not In The Thesis





Charger Count - What Is Not In The Thesis





Power - What Is Not In The Thesis





Energy - What Is Not In The Thesis



Section 4

The Simulated Annealing Approach With Non-Linear Battery Dynamics

Introduction

- Higher fidelity in approximating charge at high SOC
- Implemented in SA for simplicity

Non-Linear Battery Dynamics Model

- Show function
- Show plots

Results

- Figures!