Redacting PHI in Neurological Images using XNAT

Alex Barclay - Computer Scientist¹ Ph.D. Candidate² Nakeisha Schimke – Ph.D. Candidate² **Dr. John Hale, Ph.D.** – Professor of Computer Science²

- 1 Laureate Institute for Brain Research, Tulsa OK, USA
- 2 University of Tulsa, Tulsa OK, USA Institute of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology



Why redact? **XNAT Background** The Problem lakarta Turbine Web Application Framework / REST Interface Neuroimaging data management platform www.xnat.org o Large-scale studies have huge amounts of data (IPB/3Yrs) Combination relational database and file data store Java with XML configuration files Compliance (HIPAA) Data shared must ensure privacy of subject (HIPAA) **Funding** Remote data processing/execution pipepine PostgreSQL database and Unix filesystem file store Inter-organizational collaboration must be easy Patient Health Information PHI exists as multiple abstractions, and simply removing it from a single layer is insufficient Capture Ouarantine Local Use Collaboration Tool is needed to specifically redact entire data stack of Subject trust Separation of duties PHI and share data Data Stack XNAT Architecture ssues o Developed as separate units: o Logical (not architectural or physical) break down of different storage and display Clients (Turbine/REST/E-mail) Operating Sys Core (XML configured/Java middleware) o Bottom up approach to understand what contains PHI • Forensics o Pipeline (XML configured/implementation independent) ·Change Clients pairs Logical Brain Facial **Picture** REST email command web **Image** Reconstruction Embedded Data to Image Byte XDAT render stream REST Jakarta Covert to bit XDAT API ge:mri750 File DICOM - Self DICOM ID: Value tags Metadata describing data XML mrn:alex XDAT Generators/Engines Pipeline Engine a.dicom XFT File Fork No single entity .a.dicom Relational Data Files DB File System hfs+ acl name.size, atime, ctime, mtime, xattr, ac Metadata

Create/delete

hfs+ btrees

Hard Disk

Discussion + Future Work

- o Architecture and implementation of issues presented in poster at USENIX Security 09
- o Comprehensive redaction is combination of recursive redaction at the block and file layer, with additional techniques to find and reduce inferred data such as DICOM
- o Based upon body of work and code for legal production
- o Project is started with goal to finish by August 2011

File System


Storage

Media

Arkfeld, M. R. (2005), Electronic Discovery and Evidence, Law Partner Publishing, L.L.C. Bischoff-Grethe, A., et al. (2007), A technique for the Deidentification of Structural Brain MR Images. Human Brain Mapping, 28:892-903.


Carrier, B. (2005), File System Forensic Analysis, Addison-Wesley Professional.

Carries, p. (2005), res Spierri revelose. Avalogo, Jordon Persey. Proceedings of Reducing Digital Information from Electronic Devices, Proceedings of the 8th Annual IEEE SMC Information Assurance Workshop, West Point, New York, June 20-22, 2007. Marcus, D. S., Olean, T. R., Ramarstam, M. and Buchner, R. L. (2007). The Extensible Neuroimaging Archive Toolicit an information platform for managing, exploring, and sharing neuroimaging data, Neuroinformatics 5(1), 11-34




Modified/Added Architecture

- o Builds upon XNAT pipeline interface (XML/Java/Python)
- o Input: subjects with full scan data (DICOM)
- o Output: mapped subject identifiers coupled to redacted images



PHI Redaction Engine

► Public Access



We gratefully acknowledge support from the William K. Warren Foundation, and the National Institutes of Health (IR03EB011961-01).

Skull strip slices

Import into XNAT (REST)