High-Dimensional Probability: Answers, Theorems, and Definitions

Last revised on August 6, 2021

- Companion notes for *High-Dimensional Probability*, by Roman Vershynin. Link to book (PDF available online): www.math.uci.edu/~rvershyn/papers/HDP-book/HDP-book.html.
- **Disclaimer:** These notes compile my answers to the exercises, and lift the required theorems and definitions from the book. I wrote these notes to aid my personal study of the book. Read them at your own risk![*]

Contents

[*]Scribe: Alex Bie, alexbie98@gmail.com.

0 Appetizer: Using probability to cover a geometric set

A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **convex combination** of points $x_1, ..., x_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i x_i \qquad \text{with each } \lambda_i \geq 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = 1.$$

The **convex hull** of $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\text{conv}(T)$, is the set of all convex combinations of T.

Theorem 0.0.1 (Catheodory's Theorem)**.** Let $x \in \text{conv}(T)$. There exists $k \leq n+1$ points $x_1, ..., x_k \in T$ such that x is a convex combination of $x_1, ..., x_k$.

The result says we can obtain any point in the convex hull of T using at most a dimension-dependent number of points. Let the **diameter** of a set T be defined as $\text{diam}(T) = \sup\{\|x - y\|_2 : x, y \in T\}$.

Theorem 0.0.2 (Approximate Catheodory's Theorem)**.** Let $\text{diam}(T) = 1$. Let $x \in \text{conv}(T)$. For any k, there exists k points $x_1, ..., x_k \in T$ such that

$$\left\| x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j \right\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$$

Proof. Suppose $|T| = m$. WLOG we can assume T is bounded by 1 in $\|\cdot\|_2$. We write $x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i x_i$, and interpret λ_i as probabilities. We define the random variable

$$X = x_i \text{ with probability } \lambda_i$$

for $i = 1, ..., m$. We can verify that $\mathbb{E}X = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i x_i = x$. Taking $X_1, ..., X_k \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} X$. It remains to analyse the quantity $\mathbb{E}\|x - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j\|_2^2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\| x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j \right\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{1}{k^2} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j - x \right\|_2^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}\, \|X_j - x\|_2^2 && \text{(by Exercise \textbf{??} (a))} \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{E}\|X - x\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the result of Exercise **??** (b), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\|X - x\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|X\|_2^2 - \|\mathbb{E}X\|_2^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\|X\|_2^2 \leq 1$$

Plugging this in above, we obtain the desired bound in expectation, hence there must exist a realization of the X_j, $x_1, ..., x_k$, such that the bound holds. ☐

Exercise 0.0.3. Check the following identities for random vectors.

(a) Let $X_1, ..., X_k$ be independent, mean zero random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j \right\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \|X_j\|_2^2$$

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j^{(i)}\right)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j^{(i)}\right) && \text{(by mean zero)}\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\operatorname{Var}\left(X_j^{(i)}\right) && \text{(by independence)}\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}\left(X_j^{(i)}\right)^2 && \text{(by mean zero)}\\
&= \mathbb{E}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\|X_j\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

□

Among other things, this result implies that the expected squared distance of a random walk (starting from the origin) is equal to sum of the expected squared distances of each step.

(b) Let X be a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}\|X - \mathbb{E}X\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|X\|_2^2 - \|\mathbb{E}X\|_2^2$$

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\|X - \mathbb{E}X\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X^{(i)} - (\mathbb{E}X)^{(i)}\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{Var}(X^{(i)}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}\left(X^{(i)}\right)^2 - \left(\mathbb{E}X^{(i)}\right)^2\\
&= \mathbb{E}\|X\|_2^2 - \|\mathbb{E}X\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 0.0.4 (Covering polytopes by balls)**.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polytope with $\operatorname{diam}(P) = 1$. Let m be the number of vertices of P. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We can cover P with m^k balls of radius ε for $k \geq \lceil 1/\varepsilon^2 \rceil$.

Proof. Take T to be the vertex set of P. $|T| = m$. Note that for any $x \in P$, $x \in \operatorname{conv}(T)$. By Theorem **??**, taking $k \geq \lceil 1/\varepsilon^2 \rceil$, we can find $x_1, ..., x_k \in T$ such that

$$\left\|x - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \leq \varepsilon$$

The number of ball centres obtained from selecting a set of k points out of m with repetition is bounded by m^k (possibly repeating orders). Hence we have an ε-cover sufficient to cover P. □

Exercise 0.0.5 (Bionomial coefficient inequality)**.** Show that for $1 \leq r \leq n$

$$\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^r \leq \binom{n}{r} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{r}\binom{n}{k} \leq \left(\frac{en}{r}\right)^r$$

Answer. For the first inequality, consider

$$\frac{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^r}{\binom{n}{r}} = \overbrace{\frac{\frac{n}{r}}{\frac{n}{r}} \cdot \frac{\frac{n}{r}}{\frac{n-1}{r-1}} \cdot .... \cdot \frac{\frac{n}{r}}{\frac{n-r+1}{1}}}^{r} \leq 1 \cdot 1 \cdot .... \cdot 1 = 1$$

The second inequality follows immediately. To justify the last inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{en}{r}\right)^r = e^r \cdot \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^r &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{k!} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^r && \text{(Maclaurin series for } e^x\text{)} \\
&\geq \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{r^k}{k!} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^r \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{n^k \cdot n^{r-k}}{k! \cdot r^{r-k}} \\
&\geq \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{n^k}{k!} && \text{(by } n \geq r\text{)} \\
&\geq \sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{n}{r}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 0.0.6 (Improved covering)**.** Show that in the setting of Corollary **??**, for $k \geq \lceil 1/\varepsilon^2 \rceil$

$$(C + C\varepsilon^2 m)^k$$

balls suffice for a suitable constant C.

Answer. We can give a tighter bound than given in the proof of Corollary **??** on the number of ball centres obtained from selecting a set of k points out of m with repetition (since computing the mean of k is order-invariant with respect to input points). By the "stars-and-bars"[†] argument, this quantity is given by

$$\binom{m+k-1}{k-1}$$

Note that $\min\{k-1, m\} = k-1 \leq \min\{k, m-1\}$, so looking at row $m+k-1$ of Pascal's triangle

$$\binom{m+k-1}{k-1} \leq \binom{m+k-1}{k}$$

Then, using Exercise **??**

$$\binom{m+k-1}{k} \leq \left(\frac{e(m+k-1)}{k}\right)^k = \left(e\frac{k-1}{k} + e\frac{1}{k}m\right)^k \leq (e + e\varepsilon^2 m)^k$$

□

[†] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stars_and_bars_(combinatorics)

1 Preliminaries on random variables

1.1 Basic quantities

The **expection** of a random variable X is denoted as $\mathbb{E}X$, and **variance** is denoted as $\mathrm{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}X)^2$. (We note that the expectation operator $\mathbb{E}$ can be directly defined as the Lebesgue integral of the random variable (measurable function) $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mathbb{P})$.

The **moment generating function** of X is given by

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}e^{tX} \qquad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

The **p-th moment** of X is given by $\mathbb{E}X^p$. We also let $\|X\|_p = (\mathbb{E}X^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ denote the **p-norm** of X. For $p = \infty$, we have

$$\|X\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup} X$$

recalling that the **essential supremum** of a function f is the "smallest value γ such that $\{\omega \in \Omega : |f(\omega)| > \gamma\}$ has measure 0".

From this, we can define the $\boldsymbol{L^p}$ **spaces**[‡], given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mathbb{P})$

$$L^p = \{X : \|X\|_p < \infty\}$$

Results from measure and integration theory tell us that the $(L^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ are complete. In the case of L^2, we have that with the inner product

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X, Y\rangle &= \int_\Omega XY(\omega)\mu(\omega) \\ &= \mathbb{E}XY\end{aligned}$$

$(L^2, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ is a Hilbert space. In this case we can express the **standard deviation** of X as $\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X)} = \|X - \mathbb{E}X\|_2$, and the **covariance** of random variable X and Y as

$$\mathrm{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}X)(Y - \mathbb{E}Y) = \langle X - \mathbb{E}X, Y - \mathbb{E}Y\rangle)$$

In this setting, considering random variables as vectors in L^2, the covariance between X and Y can be interpreted as the *alignment* between the vectors $X - \mathbb{E}X$ and $Y - \mathbb{E}Y$.

1.2 Some classical inequalities

We say $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is **convex** if

$$f((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)f(x) + tf(y) \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } t \in [0, 1]$$

Jensen's inequality states that for any random variable X and a convex function f, we get

$$f(\mathbb{E}X) \leq \mathbb{E}(f(X))$$

A corollary of Jensen's inequality implies that[§]

$$\|X\|_p \leq \|X\|_q \qquad \text{for all } 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$$

Minkowski's inequality asserts that the triangle inequality holds for the L_p spaces

$$\|X + Y\|_p \leq \|X\|_p + \|Y\|_p \qquad \text{for all } X, Y \in L^p$$

In L^2, we have the **Cauchy-Schwarz inequality**, which states that $|\mathbb{E}XY| \leq \mathbb{E}|XY| \leq \|X\|_2\|Y\|_2$. **Holder's inequality** additionally asserts that for $1/p + 1/q = 1$

$$|\mathbb{E}XY| \leq \|XY\|_1 \leq \|X\|_p\|Y\|_q$$

[‡] A technical note is that the objects of L_p are actually equivalence classes of functions $[X]$ with equality almost everywhere, otherwise $\|\cdot\|_p$ is only a semi-norm.

[§] For $q < \infty$, the result follows by applying Jensen's inequality for $f(x) = x^{\frac{q}{p}}$. Otherwise, $\|X\|_\infty = \gamma = (\mathbb{E}\gamma^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|\gamma\|_p \geq \|X\|_p$.

which also holds for $p = 1, q = \infty$.

The **cumulative distribution function** of X is defined as

$$F_X(t) = \mathbb{P}\{X \leq t\} = \mathbb{P}(X^{-1}(-\infty, t]) \qquad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and we refer to $\mathbb{P}\{X > t\} = 1 - F_X(t)$ as the **tail** of X.

Lemma 1.2.1 (Integral identity)**.** Let $X \geq 0$ be a random variable. Then

$$\mathbb{E}X = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X > t\}dt$$

with left side $= \infty$ iff right side $= \infty$.

Exercise 1.2.2 (Generalization of integral identity)**.** Show that Lemma can be extended to be valid for any X

$$\mathbb{E}X = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X > t\}dt - \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathbb{P}\{X < t\}dt$$

Answer. For not necessary non-negative X, $\mathbb{E}X := \mathbb{E}X^+ - \mathbb{E}X^-$ when they exist and are both $< \infty$, where

$$X^+ = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } X \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad X^- = \begin{cases} -X & \text{if } X \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma **??** to the terms yields the result. For the second term

$$\mathbb{E}X^- = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X^- > t\}dt = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X < -t\}dt = \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathbb{P}\{X < t\}dt$$

□

Exercise 1.2.3 (p-th moment via the tail)**.** Let X be a random variable and $0 < p < \infty$. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}|X|^p = \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1}\mathbb{P}\{|X| > t\}dt$$

whenever the right side is $< \infty$.

Answer. On the right side, substitute $u = t^p$, so $du = pt^{p-1}dt$ and

$$\int_0^\infty pt^{p-1}\mathbb{P}\{|X| > t\}dt = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{|X| > u^{\frac{1}{p}}\}du = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{|X|^p > u\}du = \mathbb{E}|X|^p$$

where the last equality comes from applying Lemma **??** to the random variable $|X|^p \geq 0$. □

Proposition 1.2.4 (Markov's inequality)**.** Let $X \geq 0$ with $\mathbb{E}X < \infty$. Then for $t > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X \geq t\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}X}{t}$$

Proof. Fix $t > 0$. Applying Lemma **??**

$$\mathbb{E}X = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X \geq x\}dx \geq \int_0^t \mathbb{P}\{X \geq x\}dx \geq \int_0^t \mathbb{P}\{X \geq t\}dx = t \cdot \mathbb{P}\{X \geq t\}$$

□

Corollary 1.2.5 (Chebyshev's inequality)**.** Let X have $\mathbb{E}X < \infty$ and $\mathrm{Var}(X) < \infty$. Then for $t > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{|X - \mathbb{E}X| > t\} \leq \frac{\mathrm{Var}(X)}{t^2}$$

Exercise 1.2.6. Give a proof of Chebyshev's inequality using Markov's inequality.

Answer. The random variable $|X - \mathbb{E}X|^2$ is well-defined (by $\mathbb{E}X < \infty$), non-negative, with finite expectation. Applying Markov's inequality with $t^2 > 0$ yields

$$\mathbb{P}\{|X - \mathbb{E}X| \geq t\} = \mathbb{P}\{|X - \mathbb{E}X|^2 \geq t^2\} \leq \frac{\mathrm{Var}(X)}{t^2}$$

□

1.3 Limits theorems

For independent and identically distributed variables $X_1, ..., X_N$, the sample mean $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$ has

$$\mathrm{Var}(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i) = \frac{\mathrm{Var}(X_1)}{N} \to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty$$

so we should expect it to concentrate around the true mean.

Theorem 1.3.1 (Strong law of large numbers)**.** Let $X_1, X_2, ...$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E}X_1 < \infty$. Then the averaged partial sums

$$\frac{S_N}{N} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \to \mathbb{E}X_1 \quad \text{almost surely} <<<<<<< HEAD$$

where random variables $(Y_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$ are said to **converge almost surely** to a random variable Y if there exists measurable $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mathbb{P}(Z) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} Y_N(\omega) = Y(\omega) \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Omega \setminus Z$$

Theorem 1.3.2 (Lindeberg-Lévy CLT)**.** Let $X_1, X_2, ...$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E}X_1 = \mu, \mathrm{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Then the normalized partial sums

$$Z_N = \frac{S_N - \mathbb{E}S_N}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(S_N)}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i - N\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{N}} \to N(0,1) \quad \text{in distribution}$$

where real random variables $(Y_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$ are said to **converge in distribution** to a random variable Y if their CDF's $F_{Y_N}(t) := \mathbb{P}\{Y_N \le t\}$, $F_Y(t) := \mathbb{P}\{Y \le t\}$ have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} F_{Y_N}(t) = F_Y(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Exercise 1.3.3. Let $X_1, X_2, ...$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with $\mu, \sigma^2 < \infty$. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i - \mu\right| = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$$

Answer. Considering the convex function $\phi(x) = x^2$, we can apply Jensen's to get

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i - \mu\right|\right)^2 \le \mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{N}$$

taking the square root of both sides yields the result. □

Theorem 1.3.4 (Poisson limit theorem)**.** Consider a sequence of N-tuples of independent random variables with entries X_{Ni} for $1 \le i \le N$ with $X_{Ni} \sim \mathrm{Bernoulli}(p_{Ni})$. Let $S_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{Ni}$, and suppose that as $N \to \infty$

$$\max_{1\le i\le N} p_{Ni} \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}S_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{Ni} \to \lambda$$

Then $S_N \to \mathrm{Poisson}(\lambda)$ in distribution, i.e. the CDF $F_{S_N}(t) = \mathbb{P}\{S_N \le t\}$ has