## 目录

- 1. Data structures: memory allocation
  - 1. sizeof structs
    - 1. Compile "'padding.c" with "gcc"
    - 2. Compile "'padding.c" with "dcc"
    - 3. Language definition says nothing about memory allocation

# Data structures: memory allocation

A character is stored in a byte (8 bits)

- a *char* takes 1 byte (8 bits)
- an int takes 4 bytes (32 bits)
- how much memory does a pointer need?

Commonly, computers are 32 bit

- means they support 32-bit addresses
  - o an address is hence 4 bytes (same as an *int*)
- 4GB memory can be addressed

More modern computers are 64 bit

- addresses are hence 64 bits (= 8 bytes)
- chars are (still) 1 byte
- ints are (still) 4 bytes

Consider the program

```
切换行号显示
  1 #include <stdio.h>
  3 int main(void)
  4 {
  5
      int i;
      char c;
  8 printf("i and c are uninitialised\n");
  9 printf("&i = %p\n", (void*)&i);
 10
     printf("&c = %p\n", (void*)&c);
 11
      printf("sizeof(&i) = %ld, sizeof(i) = %ld\n", sizeof(&i),
sizeof(i));
 printf("sizeof(&c) = %ld, sizeof(c) = %ld\n", sizeof(&c),
sizeof(c));
 15
     printf("now give i and c values\n");
 16 \quad i = 58;
 17 c = 'X';
```

```
18  printf("i = %d\n", i);
19  printf("c = %c\n", c);
20  return 0;
21 }
```

#### Aside:

• why the cast (void \*) in the program?

This program has two variables i and c.

- the address of both are taken and printed
- the *sizeof()* each variable, and their addresses are then printed
- the variables are then initialised
- their values are then printed

### The output is

```
i and c are uninitialised
&i = 0x7ffd8383dc00
&c = 0x7ffd8383dc10
sizeof(&i) = 8, sizeof(i) = 4
sizeof(&c) = 8, sizeof(c) = 1
now give i and c values
i = 58
c = X
```

#### Notice:

- chars are 1 byte, ints are 4 bytes, and addresses are 8 bytes long
  - o ... 8 bytes because it is a 64-bit machine (the address space)
  - we normally do not worry about the size of data

## sizeof structs

Consider the following program:

```
切换行号显示
  1 // padding.c
  2 #include <stdio.h>
  3 #include <stdlib.h>
  5 #define SIZEBYTES 5
  6 \#define S(x) sizeof(x) // this is a C macro that is
substituted by the preprocessor
                              // I use it in the program just to
save line-length space
  8 struct s1 {
       char c;
  9
 10
        int i;
 11
       char *s;
 12 };
 13 struct s2 {
 14 char c;
        char *s;
 15
       int i;
 16
```

```
17 };
 18
 19 int main() {
  20
  21
       struct s1 a;
  22
       struct s2 b;
  23
  24
       printf("sizeof(a.c)=%lu, sizeof(a.i)=%lu, sizeof(a.s)=%lu\n",
S(a.c), S(a.i), S(a.s);
       printf("sizeof(b.c)=%lu, sizeof(b.s)=%lu, sizeof(b.i)=%lu\n",
S(b.c), S(b.s), S(b.i));
       printf("sizeof(a) = %lu, sizeof(b) = %lu\n\n", sizeof(a),
  27
sizeof(b));
  2.8
  29
       a.s = malloc(SIZEBYTES); // returned value not checked
  30
       printf("&a.c = p\n", (void*)&a.c);
  31
       printf("&a.i = p\n", (void*)&a.i);
  32
       printf("&a.s = p\n\n", (void*)&a.s);
  33
  34
       b.s = malloc(SIZEBYTES); // returned value not checked
  35
       printf("&b.c = p\n", (void*)&b.c);
       printf("\&b.s = \p\n", (void*)\&b.s);
  36
       printf("\&b.i = \p\n", (void*)\&b.i);
  37
  38
  39
       return 0;
  40 }
```

## Compile "padding.c" with "gcc"

The output will look like:

```
sizeof(a.c)=1, sizeof(a.i)=4, sizeof(a.s)=8
sizeof(b.c)=1, sizeof(b.s)=8, sizeof(b.i)=4
sizeof(a) = 16, sizeof(b) = 24

&a.c = 0x7fadedface30
&a.i = 0x7fadedface34
&a.s = 0x7fadedface38

&b.c = 0x7fadedface10
&b.s = 0x7fadedface10
&b.i = 0x7fadedface20
```

#### Notice:

- both structs contain 3 fields: a char, a pointer and an int
   total is 1+8+4 = 13 bytes
- order of fields is different in the two structs
- fields have addresses in declaration order (low to high)
- structs are in reverse declaration order (high to low)

#### But:

- var a uses 16 bytes
- var b uses 24 bytes
- why different? why larger?

Let's examine the fields in variable *a*:

- a.c (1 byte) occupies 30, so bytes 31...33 are padding (3 bytes)
- *a.i* (4 bytes) occupies 34...37
- a.s (8 bytes) occupies 38 ..3f
- Total: 13 bytes actual data + 3 bytes padding

Let's examine the fields in variable *b*:

- b.c (1 byte) occupies 10, so bytes 11..17 are padding (7 bytes)
- b.s (8 bytes) occupies 18..1f
- b.i (4 bytes) occupies 20..23, so bytes 24..27 are padding (4 bytes)
- Total: 13 bytes actual data + 11 bytes padding

## Why is the compiler inserting padding?

It is a 64-bit address computer. A 'word' is hence 8 bytes (i.e. 64 bits)

- variable *a* 
  - the *char* is padded to an *int* boundary (3 bytes inserted)
    - the *char* and the *int* share the same word
  - o address char \* naturally occupies one word
  - the *struct* has finished on a word boundary
- variable b
  - the *char* is padded to a word boundary (7 bytes inserted)
  - o address char \* naturally occupies one word
  - the *int* is padded to a word boundary (4 bytes inserted)
  - o the struct has finished on a word boundary

Between a and b there is also padding

• b finishes at 0x7fadedface27, a starts at 0x7fadedface30: 8 bytes inserted

## Note:

- none of the variables have been defined (no values assigned)
- changing SIZEBYTES will not change the output above
  - Question: do you know why?

## Compile "padding.c" with "dcc"

The output is:

```
sizeof(a.c)=1, sizeof(a.i)=4, sizeof(a.s)=8
sizeof(b.c)=1, sizeof(b.s)=8, sizeof(b.i)=4
sizeof(a) = 16, sizeof(b) = 24

&a.c = 0x7fadedface60
&a.i = 0x7fadedface64
```

```
&a.s = 0x7fadedface68

&b.c = 0x7fadedface80

&b.s = 0x7fadedface88

&b.i = 0x7fadedface90
```

#### Note:

- appears the same as with gcc but ...
  - $\circ$  the memory order of a and b is in declaration order (not reversed)
    - Question: what's the last memory address used by variable a?
  - the padding between a and b is 16 bytes

### Language definition says nothing about memory allocation

The C language definition does not define where variables are placed in memory

- memory allocation is dependent on compiler and underlying architecture (i.e. instruction set)
- different compilers generate different code for the same machine ('gcc' vs 'dcc' above)
- often a *space vs time* trade-off
  - every variable occupies 1 word optimises speed → poor space utilisation
  - 'packing' data in memory optimises space → poor performance (extra time cost to 'unpack' the data)

Lec01Sizeof (2019-06-14 11:02:32由AlbertNymeyer编辑)