

# MAT 180 THEORETICAL HOMEWORK 3

**Problem 1:** Consider the Naive Bayes classification problem in the case that  $x_i$  takes values in  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $y$  takes values in  $\{1, \dots, s\}$  for some  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Use multinoulli (categorical) model distributions for both  $p_{\text{data}}(y)$  and  $p_{\text{data}}(x_j|y)$ . Compute the maximum likelihood values for parameters in both models using a dataset  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  assumed to be I.I.D and sampled from  $p_{\text{data}}$ . You should derive your formulas from the equations

$$p_{\text{model}}(y = l; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}_l \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^s \quad \sum_{l=1}^s \boldsymbol{\theta}_l = 1$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^m \log(p_{\text{model}}(y = \mathbf{y}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

$$p_{\text{model}}(x_j = k|y = l; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{(l,k)}^{(j)} \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times r} \quad \forall l, \quad \sum_{k=1}^r \boldsymbol{\theta}_{(l,k)}^{(j)} = 1$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{ML}}^{(j)} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^m \log(p_{\text{model}}(x = X_{i,j}|y = \mathbf{y}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}))$$

Hint: this can be viewed as a constrained optimization problem (with no inequalities... so a Lagrange multipliers problem). Use the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions to optimize. For  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}$  you will need to take the gradient with respect to a matrix rather than a vector. You can just treat the matrix as a vector and take the gradient as you would with a vector, except instead of organizing the result as a vector, you organize it as a matrix. For example, if  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  and  $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{(1,1)}^2 + 2\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(1,2)}\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(2,1)} - 2\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(2,2)}^2$ , then

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(1,1)} & 2\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(2,1)} \\ 2\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(1,2)} & -4\boldsymbol{\theta}_{(2,2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Problem 2:** Consider the matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with SVD  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^T$  and suppose each column of  $X$  has mean 0.

1. Show that for any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\text{Mean}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}) = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{v}}{m}$
2. Show that for any eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j$  of  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  with  $i \neq j$  and any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\text{Var}(a\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}_i + b\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}_j) = \frac{a^2 \sigma_i^2}{m} + \frac{b^2 \sigma_j^2}{m}$
3. Prove that for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we have that

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \underset{\substack{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1 \\ \mathbf{v} \notin \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1} \rangle}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \quad \text{Var}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v})$$

where  $\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1} \rangle$  denotes the span of the first  $i$  column vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}$  of  $V$ . If desired, you can use the following fact about convex combinations of real numbers (but you should prove it!): let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 0$  such that  $\sum_i a_i = 1$ . Then

$$\min_i(x_i) \leq \sum_i a_i x_i \leq \max_i(x_i).$$

4. Interpret this as follows. Viewing  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  as a data matrix, giving  $m$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (one for each row), the PCA represents  $\mathbf{X}$  as  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}_k$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n$  define  $\text{Proj}_{\mathbf{v}_j}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  so that

$$\text{Proj}_{\mathbf{v}_j}(\mathbf{X})_i = \mathbf{v}_j^\top \text{Proj}_{\mathbf{v}_j}(\mathbf{X}_{i,*})$$

so the  $i$ th entry of  $\text{Proj}_{\mathbf{v}_j}(\mathbf{X})$  is the *scalar projection* of the  $i$ th data point onto the direction vector  $\mathbf{v}_j$ . Show that the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  give an orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the property that

$$\text{Var}(\text{Proj}_{\mathbf{v}_1}(\mathbf{X})) \geq \text{Var}(\text{Proj}_{\mathbf{v}_2}(\mathbf{X})) \geq \dots \geq \text{Var}(\text{Proj}_{\mathbf{v}_n}(\mathbf{X})) \geq 0.$$

5. Based on this interpretation, write down the expected matrices  $\Sigma, V$  for the SVD for a data matrix of points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  generated by the normal distributions

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\text{data}}(x) &= \mathcal{N}(x; 0; 5) \\ p_{\text{data}}(y) &= \mathcal{N}(y; 0; 2) \\ p_{\text{data}}(z) &= \mathcal{N}(z; 0; 10) \end{aligned}$$

Hint: you can check your answer using Python. Numpy has the method

`np.random.normal(m, s)`

to sample a normal distribution with mean  $m$  and variance  $s^2$ .