simple.md 2025-06-30

Logic Questions

Question 1: Translate the following sentences into propositional logic

a) The flat is vacant.

Let V = "The flat is vacant"

Translation: V

This is straightforward - a simple atomic proposition.

b) The flat can be let only if it is vacant and has been cleaned.

Let V = "The flat is vacant"

Let C = "The flat has been cleaned"

Let L = "The flat can be let"

The phrase "can be let only if" indicates a conditional relationship. "A only if B" means "if A then B", or A \rightarrow B.

Translation: $L \rightarrow (V \land C)$

c) Don't drink and drive!

Let D = "You drink"

Let R = "You drive"

This imperative sentence is commanding someone not to do both actions simultaneously.

Translation: ¬(D ∧ R)

Question 2 [6]: Translate the following sentences into predicate logic

Your formalizations should be as detailed as possible.

a) Some teachers are strict and others are not.

This sentence asserts two things:

Some teachers are strict

Some teachers are not strict

There exists someone who is a teacher and is strict, and there exists someone who is a teacher and is not strict.

Let Domain: All people

simple.md 2025-06-30

Let T(x) = "x is a teacher"

Let S(x) = "x is strict"

Translation: $\exists x (T(x) \land S(x)) \land \exists y (T(y) \land \neg S(y))$

b) Some dogs' owners do not collect their dog's litter

There exists a person x and a dog y and x owns y and x does not collect y's litter.

Let Domain: All people and dogs Let P(x) = "x is a person" Let D(x) = "x is a dog" Let O(x,y) = "x owns y" Let C(x,y) = "x collects the litter of y"

Translation: $\exists x \exists y (P(x) \land D(y) \land O(x,y) \land \neg C(x,y))$

c) All animals are equal.

We interpret this as a universal statement about all pairs of animals.

For all x and y, if x is an animal and y is an animal, then x is equal to y.

Let Domain: All entities Let A(x) = "x is an animal" Let E(x,y) = "x is equal to y"

Translation: $\forall x \forall y ((A(x) \land A(y)) \rightarrow E(x,y))$

Question 3 [6]: Consider the following formula of propositional logic

 $P = ((A \lor B) \land C \rightarrow (A \land B) \lor C)$

a) Assume that A is true, and B,C are false. Is P true or false? Briefly explain why.

Given: A = T, B = F, C = F

 $A \lor B = T \lor F = T$

 $(A \lor B) \land C = T \land F = F$

 $A \wedge B = T \wedge F = F$

 $(A \wedge B) \vee C = F \vee F = F$

 $P = ((A \lor B) \land C) \rightarrow ((A \land B) \lor C) = F \rightarrow F = T$

P is true.

This is because a conditional statement (\rightarrow) is true whenever the antecedent is false, regardless of the truth value of the consequent.

b) Which truth values for A,B,C will result in P being false?

For P to be false, we need the antecedent ((A \vee B) \wedge C) to be true and the consequent ((A \wedge B) \vee C) to be false.

For the antecedent to be true:

simple.md 2025-06-30

(A \vee B) must be true, so at least one of A or B must be true

C must be true

For the consequent to be false:

(A \wedge B) must be false, so at least one of A or B must be false

C must be false

However, this creates a contradiction: C cannot be both true (for the antecedent) and false (for the consequent) simultaneously.

Therefore, **P** is always true (it's a tautology) - there are no truth value assignments that make P false.