AMLD EPFL

Attend our workshop

Deep Reinforcement Leaning for Satellite Constellation Planning and Routing

24 March
9:00 to 17:30

SwissTech Convention Center EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland

Applied Machine Learning Days

The goal of Reinforcement Learning



$$\underbrace{p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T)}_{p_\theta(\tau)} = p(\mathbf{s}_1) \prod_{t=1}^{T} \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$p((\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1})|(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)) =$$
$$p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_{t+1})$$

$$\theta^\star = \arg\max_\theta E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)} \left[\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

Expectations and stochastic systems

$$\theta^\star = \arg\max_\theta E_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a})\sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a})}[r(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a})]$$

infinite horizon case

$$\theta^\star = \arg\max_\theta \sum_{t=1}^{T} E_{(\mathbf{s}_t,\mathbf{a}_t)\sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t,\mathbf{a}_t)}[r(\mathbf{s}_t,\mathbf{a}_t)]$$

finite horizon case

In RL, we almost always care about *expectations*

$r(\mathbf{x})$ – *not* smooth

$\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a} = \text{fall}) = \theta$

$E_{\pi_\theta}[r(\mathbf{x})]$ – *smooth* in θ!

Value Functions

$$E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\right]$$

$$E_{\mathbf{s}_1 \sim p(\mathbf{s}_1)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_1 \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}_1|\mathbf{s}_1)}\underbrace{\left[r(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1) + E_{\mathbf{s}_2 \sim p(\mathbf{s}_2|\mathbf{s}_1,\mathbf{a}_1)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_2 \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}_2|\mathbf{s}_2)}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{a}_2) + ...|\mathbf{s}_2\right]|\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1\right]|\mathbf{s}_1\right]}_{\text{what if we knew this part?}}\right]$$

$$Q(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1) = r(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1) + E_{\mathbf{s}_2 \sim p(\mathbf{s}_2|\mathbf{s}_1,\mathbf{a}_1)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_2 \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}_2|\mathbf{s}_2)}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{a}_2) + ...|\mathbf{s}_2\right]|\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1\right]$$

$$E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\right] = E_{\mathbf{s}_1 \sim p(\mathbf{s}_1)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_1 \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}_1|\mathbf{s}_1)}\left[Q(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1)|\mathbf{s}_1\right]\right]$$

easy to modify $\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_1|\mathbf{s}_1)$ if $Q(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1)$ is known!

example: $\pi(\mathbf{a}_1|\mathbf{s}_1) = 1$ if $\mathbf{a}_1 = \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}_1} Q(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1)$

Q & V Functions

Definition: Q-function

$$Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \sum_{t'=t}^{T} E_{\pi_\theta}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'})|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t\right]\text{: total reward from taking } \mathbf{a}_t \text{ in } \mathbf{s}_t$$

Definition: Value function

$$V^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t) = \sum_{t'=t}^{T} E_{\pi_\theta}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'})|\mathbf{s}_t\right]\text{: total reward from } \mathbf{s}_t$$

$$V^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t) = E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}[Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$

$E_{\mathbf{s}_1 \sim p(\mathbf{s}_1)}[V^\pi(\mathbf{s}_1)]$ is the RL objective!

How to use value functions?

Idea 1: if we have policy π, and we know $Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$, then we can *improve* π:

set $\pi'(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) = 1$ if $\mathbf{a} = \arg\max_\mathbf{a} Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$

this policy is at least as good as π (and probably better)!

and it doesn't matter what π is

Idea 2: compute gradient to increase probability of good actions $\mathbf{a}$:

if $Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) > V^\pi(\mathbf{s})$, then $\mathbf{a}$ is *better than average* (recall that $V^\pi(\mathbf{s}) = E[Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})]$ under $\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$)

modify $\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$ to increase probability of $\mathbf{a}$ if $Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) > V^\pi(\mathbf{s})$

Basic Model Architecture



Fit Q(s,a) or V(s)

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta E[Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$

The goal of Reinforcement Learning



$$\underbrace{p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T)}_{p_\theta(\tau)} = p(\mathbf{s}_1) \prod_{t=1}^{T} \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$\theta^\star = \arg\max_\theta E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)} \left[\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

$$p((\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1})|(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)) =$$
$$p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_{t+1})$$

Finite and Infinite Horizons

$$\theta^\star = \arg\max_\theta E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)} \left[\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

$$\theta^\star = \arg\max_\theta E_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a})} [r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})]$$

infinite horizon case

$$\theta^\star = \arg\max_\theta \sum_{t=1}^{T} E_{(\mathbf{s}_t,\mathbf{a}_t) \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t,\mathbf{a}_t)} [r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$

finite horizon case

Estimate the goal of RL

$$\theta^\star = \arg\max_\theta \underbrace{E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)}\left[\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\right]}_{J(\theta)}$$



average over all sampled paths!

$$J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)}\left[\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\right] \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_i \sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t})$$

How can we approximate?

Improve the policy

a convenient identity

$$\underline{p_\theta(\tau)\nabla_\theta \log p_\theta(\tau)} = p_\theta(\tau)\frac{\nabla_\theta p_\theta(\tau)}{p_\theta(\tau)} = \underline{\nabla_\theta p_\theta(\tau)}$$

Improve the policy?? → take its gradient!

$$\theta^\star = \underbrace{\arg\max_\theta E_{\tau\sim p_\theta(\tau)}\left[\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\right]}_{J(\theta)} \longrightarrow J(\theta) = E_{\tau\sim p_\theta(\tau)}[\underbrace{r(\tau)}_{\sum_{t=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}] = \int p_\theta(\tau) r(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) = \int \underline{\nabla_\theta p_\theta(\tau)} r(\tau) d\tau = \int \underline{p_\theta(\tau)\nabla_\theta \log p_\theta(\tau)} r(\tau) d\tau = E_{\tau\sim p_\theta(\tau)}[\nabla_\theta \log p_\theta(\tau) r(\tau)]$$

Improve the policy

$$\underbrace{p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T)}_{p_\theta(\tau)} = p(\mathbf{s}_1) \prod_{t=1}^{T} \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

Take the log on both sides!

$$\log p_\theta(\tau) = \log p(\mathbf{s}_1) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) + \log p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)}[\nabla_\theta \underline{\log p_\theta(\tau)} r(\tau)]$$

$$\nabla_\theta \left[\cancel{\log p(\mathbf{s}_1)} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) + \cancel{\log p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)} \right] \longrightarrow \nabla_\theta J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)} \Bigg[\Bigg(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}$$

REINFORCE Algorithm



$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t})\right)\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t},\mathbf{a}_{i,t})\right)$$

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$$



REINFORCE algorithm:

1. sample $\{\tau^i\}$ from $\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$ (run the policy)
2. $\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \sum_i \left(\sum_t \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t^i|\mathbf{s}_t^i)\right)\left(\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t^i,\mathbf{a}_t^i)\right)$
3. $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$

This is also referred as Monte Carlo method

Maximum Likelihood?

What does the gradient of the policy represent??

$$\text{policy gradient:} \quad \nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t}) \right)$$

$$\text{maximum likelihood:} \quad \nabla_\theta J_{\mathrm{ML}}(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \right)$$

Continuous Actions?

$$\text{example: } \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \mathcal{N}(f_{\text{neural network}}(\mathbf{s}_t); \Sigma)$$

$$\log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = -\frac{1}{2}\|f(\mathbf{s}_t) - \mathbf{a}_t\|_\Sigma^2 + \text{const}$$

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}(f(\mathbf{s}_t) - \mathbf{a}_t)\frac{df}{d\theta}$$



What did we just do?

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t})\right)\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t},\mathbf{a}_{i,t})\right)$$

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\underbrace{\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\tau_i)}_{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\nabla_\theta \log_\theta \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t})} r(\tau_i)$$

maximum likelihood: $\nabla_\theta J_{\mathrm{ML}}(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\tau_i)$

good stuff is made more likely

bad stuff is made less likely

simply formalizes the notion of "trial and error"!



REINFORCE algorithm:

1. sample $\{\tau^i\}$ from $\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$ (run it on the robot)
2. $\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \sum_i \left(\sum_t \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t^i|\mathbf{s}_t^i)\right)\left(\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t^i,\mathbf{a}_t^i)\right)$
3. $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha\nabla_\theta J(\theta)$

What is wrong with policy gradient?

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\tau) r(\tau)$$



$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\tau) r(\tau)$$



high variance

Reduce Variance

Step 1: Take into account causality

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t})\right)\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t},\mathbf{a}_{i,t})\right)$$

Causality: policy at time t' cannot affect reward at time t when $t < t'$

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \underbrace{\left(\sum_{t'=t}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t'},\mathbf{a}_{i,t'})\right)}_{\text{“reward to go”}}$$

$$\hat{Q}_{i,t}$$

Reduce Variance

Step 2: Use baselines

Case 1: Get good trajectories to have positive rewards and bad ones negative

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_\theta \log p_\theta(\tau)[r(\tau) - b]$$

$$b = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r(\tau)$$

but... are we *allowed* to do that??

subtracting a baseline is *unbiased* in expectation!

average reward is *not* the best baseline, but it's pretty good!

Policy Gradient in Practice

- Naïve computation can be very complex because there are a lot of parameters nota with all existing state-action pairs!!
- We already know how to compute maximum likelihood in supervised learning with cross-entropy loss/gaussian error
- We implement a pseudo-loss as a weighted max likelihood → we use this to trick ou auto-differentiator to backpropagate into our model

$$\tilde{J}(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t})\hat{Q}_{i,t}$$

q_values

Q values correspond to weights to our classical maximum likelihood problem

Maximum likelihood:

```
# Given:
# actions - (N*T) x Da tensor of actions
# states - (N*T) x Ds tensor of states
# Build the graph:
logits = policy.predictions(states) # This should return (N*T) x Da tensor of action logits
negative_likelihoods = tf.nn.softmax_cross_entropy_with_logits(labels=actions, logits=logits)
loss = tf.reduce_mean(negative_likelihoods)
gradients = loss.gradients(loss, variables)
```

Policy gradient:

```
# Given:
# actions - (N*T) x Da tensor of actions
# states - (N*T) x Ds tensor of states
# q_values – (N*T) x 1 tensor of estimated state-action values
# Build the graph:
logits = policy.predictions(states) # This should return (N*T) x Da tensor of action logits
negative_likelihoods = tf.nn.softmax_cross_entropy_with_logits(labels=actions, logits=logit
weighted_negative_likelihoods = tf.multiply(negative_likelihoods, q_values)
loss = tf.reduce_mean(weighted_negative_likelihoods)
gradients = loss.gradients(loss, variables)
```

Improving Policy Gradient

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \underbrace{\left(\sum_{t'=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t'},\mathbf{a}_{i,t'})\right)}_{\text{“reward to go”}}$$

$\hat{Q}_{i,t}$



$$\hat{Q}_{i,t} \approx \sum_{t'=t}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t'},\mathbf{a}_{i,t'})$$

$\hat{Q}_{i,t}$: estimate of expected reward if we take action $\mathbf{a}_{i,t}$ in state $\mathbf{s}_{i,t}$

can we get a better estimate?

Improving Policy Gradient

<u>Use a baseline??</u>

→ We can lower variance even more
→ Make baseline depend also state and not only action
→ Use value function

$Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \sum_{t'=t}^{T} E_{\pi_\theta}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'}) | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t\right]$: true *expected* reward-to-go

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t} | \mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \left(Q(\mathbf{s}_{i,t}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t}) - V(\mathbf{s}_{i,t})\right)$$

$$b_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i Q(\mathbf{s}_{i,t}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t})$$ average what?

$$V(\mathbf{s}_t) = E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)}[Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$



$$\hat{Q}_{i,t} \approx \sum_{t'=t}^{T} E_{\pi_\theta}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'}) | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t\right]$$

Advantage Function

$Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \sum_{t'=t}^{T} E_{\pi_\theta}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'}) | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t\right]$: total reward from taking $\mathbf{a}_t$ in $\mathbf{s}_t$

$V^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t) = E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}[Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$: total reward from $\mathbf{s}_t$

$A^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - V^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t)$: how much better $\mathbf{a}_t$ is

The advantage function describe how advantageous is action a_t compared to average performance from policy at state s_t



Improving Policy Gradient

New policy gradient expression:

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) A^\pi(\mathbf{s}_{i,t}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t})$$

Rewriting Q-function:

$$Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \sum_{t'=t}^{T} E_{\pi_\theta}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'})|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t\right]$$

$$Q^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \sum_{t'=t+1}^{T} E_{\pi_\theta}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'})|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t\right] = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + V^\pi(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})$$

Rewriting Advantage function:

$$A^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \approx r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + V^\pi(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t)$$

Convenient because V only depends on state!

Approximate the Value Function

<u>Idea 1:</u> Approximate with a single path

$$V^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}_t) \approx \sum_{t'=t}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'})$$

<u>Idea 2:</u> Approximate with several paths

$$V^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}_t) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t'=t}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'})$$

Requires to reset the simulator at time step t - N times !

Approximate the Value Function - Bootstrapped Estimate

<u>Idea 3:</u> Fit a neural network to find output V(s) given input s

training data: $\left\{\left(\mathbf{s}_{i,t}, \underbrace{\sum_{t'=t}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t'}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t'})}_{y_{i,t}}\right)\right\}$

$\mathbf{s}$



$\hat{V}^{\pi}(\mathbf{s})$

parameters ϕ

supervised regression: $\mathcal{L}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}\left\|\hat{V}^{\pi}_{\phi}(\mathbf{s}_i) - y_i\right\|^2$

not as good as this: $V^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}_t) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t'=t}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'})$

but still pretty good!

Actor Critic Algorithm

batch actor-critic algorithm:

1. sample $\{\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i\}$ from $\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$ (run it on the robot)
2. fit $\hat{V}^\pi_\phi(\mathbf{s})$ to sampled reward sums
3. evaluate $\hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) = r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \hat{V}^\pi_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i) - \hat{V}^\pi_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i)$
4. $\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \sum_i \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_i|\mathbf{s}_i) \hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)$
5. $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$

$$y_{i,t} \approx \sum_{t'=t}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t'}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t'})$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left\| \hat{V}^\pi_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i) - y_i \right\|^2$$

$\mathbf{s}$



$\hat{V}^\pi(\mathbf{s})$

parameters ϕ

$$V^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t) = \sum_{t'=t}^{T} E_{\pi_\theta}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'})|\mathbf{s}_t\right]$$

Actor Critic Algorithm - Architecture



Discount Factor

What if T (= episode length) is ∞ ? ⟶ $\hat{V}_\phi^\pi$ can get infinitely large in many cases

simple trick: better to get rewards sooner than later

$$y_{i,t} \approx r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t}, \mathbf{a}_{i,t}) + \gamma \hat{V}_\phi^\pi(\mathbf{s}_{i,t+1})$$

discount factor $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ (0.99 works well)

Ensures the converge of the value function!!

Discount Factor - MDP

γ changes the Markov Decision Process



$$\tilde{p}(\mathbf{s}'|\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) = (1-\gamma)$$

$$\tilde{p}(\mathbf{s}'|\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) = \gamma p(\mathbf{s}'|\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$$

Discount Factor - Policy Gradient / Monte Carlo

Option 1: Apply the discount factor after taking into account causality
= push for larger reward soon

$$\text{option 1:} \quad \nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t})\left(\sum_{t'=t}^{T}\gamma^{t'-t} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t'},\mathbf{a}_{i,t'})\right)$$

This is what we use… why?

Option 2: Apply the discount factor before taking into account causality
= push for larger reward and correct decision soon

$$\text{option 2:} \quad \nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\gamma^{t-1}\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t})\left(\sum_{t'=t}^{T}\gamma^{t'-t} r(\mathbf{s}_{i,t'},\mathbf{a}_{i,t'})\right)$$

Discount Factor - Actor Critic

batch actor-critic algorithm:

1. sample $\{\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i\}$ from $\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$ (run it on the robot)
2. fit $\hat{V}^\pi_\phi(\mathbf{s})$ to sampled reward sums
3. evaluate $\hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) = r(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i) + \gamma \hat{V}^\pi_\phi(\mathbf{s}'_i) - \hat{V}^\pi_\phi(\mathbf{s}_i)$
4. $\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \sum_i \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_i|\mathbf{s}_i) \hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i)$
5. $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$

Exercice Session

- 3 exercise sessions in total
- work in group as much as you can!!!
- corrections published when ¾ of the allocated time for exercises has passed
- we will provide detailed correction presentation at the end of each session for critical algorithm implementation and/or if we notice you have many similar questions

Exercice Session 2- 1H30

- Experiment with policy gradient and its variants, including variance reduction tricks
- Implementation of the REINFORCEMENT algorithm
- Reward to go for variance reduction
- Neural Network based baseline
- State of the art infrastructure → will be highly exportable for your own personal projects & other algorithm like A2C, Deep Q learning, etc.