Week6 Report

Xiaohui Li, Yuxin Ma

This week we make the further discussion towards the high-dimensional situation. Firstly, we keep the ϵ fixed to see the relationship between N and power. Then, we check the scaling behavior of Y_{max} in ϵ under the dense alternative. Finally, we generate the plots of ϵ versus K.

1 Relationship between N and power

Firstly, we check the relationship between N and power where we control N to increase from 1000 to 100,000. Also, we do the repetitive test for minimum, median and mean statistics for p—combined value.




Figure 1.1: Relationship between N and power

We can see that as N increases, the power will increase as well. And also, the minimum statistics, the black curve, performs best while the median and mean (blue and green ones) do not behave such well.

2 Scaling behavior of Y_{max}

Next, we check the scaling behavior of Y_{max} in ϵ under the dense alternative. Since last week we found that for the minimum p_combined, the critical epsilon of K is a linear curve, and the minimum p_combined corresponds to the maximum of Y, this week we do the scaling behavior of Y_{max} to check whether Y_{max} fits in the \sqrt{K} trend and then makes

the critical epsilon curve linear under the minimum p_combined.




Figure 2.1: Y_{max} versus K

Theoretically, We think that it should fit the ϵ/\sqrt{K} trend and as we take value 1 for the ϵ , Y_{max} should fit $1/\sqrt{K}$. But the result does not look like that. No coefficients for $1/\sqrt{K}$ and R^2 equals to 0.

```
Call:
lm(formula = Y_max[2:100] \sim 1/sqrt(K_max))
Residuals:
               1Q
                                  3Q
                                          Max
-0.09884 -0.06798
                  -0.02672
                             0.04372
                                      0.21127
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                   39.95
                                            <2e-16 ***
Residual standard error: 0.08668 on 98 degrees of freedom
$r.squared
[1] 0
$adj.r.squared
[1] 0
```

Figure 2.2: Fit $1/\sqrt{K}$ to Y_{max}

Meanwhile, we try other form of K to fit the Y_{max} , including \sqrt{K} , 1/K and $\ln K$ etc., and finally we find that $\ln K$ has a significant fitting to the Y_{max} where the coefficient is minus and the R^2 equals to around 0.96.

```
Call:
lm(formula = Y_max[2:100] \sim K_max_ln)
Residuals:
     Min
                                      3Q
                                               Max
                 1Q
                       Median
-0.116593 -0.004604 -0.002592
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 0.706244
K_max_ln
                        0.002038
                                  -47.89
Signif. codes:
                  '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.'
Residual standard error: 0.01728 on 97 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9594,
                                   Adjusted R-squared:
F-statistic: 2294 on 1 and 97 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Figure 2.3: Fit $\ln K$ to Y_{max}

It is not expected according to our intuition, so the result is really surprising. Theoretically, we need Y_{max} to fit $1/\sqrt{K}$ to keep the critical ϵ to be linear for the minimum p_combined, but the result contradicts with that. Maybe there exists other explanations.

3 Critical ϵ for K

Last week we check the critical ϵ for K as the p_combined take the minimum statistics. This week for further discussion, we also take the median and mean statistics for p_combined to check their trends.




Figure 3.1: Critical ϵ for K

We can see that all three curves, green to the minimum, black to the median, blue to the mean, follow an obvious linear trend and the minimum is the lowest curve, which corresponds to our intuition. And also we can notice that as K increases, the mean and median become unstable to some degrees.

But it is interesting that all of them follow the linear trend, which means that only Y_{max} probably cannot explain all of them. Meanwhile, from last part, we can see that the fitting result of Y_{max} and $1/\sqrt{K}$ considering minimum p_combined also contradicts to our assumption. So maybe we need further discussion to the critical ϵ .