

---

# 1 Appendices

---

## 1.1 Inverted Pendulum Dynamics Derivation

We can find the state space equations for the Inverted Pendulum using d'Alembert forces. Firstly we define the distance and velocity vectors to the important points:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{r}_P &= x\mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{r}_{B_1/P} &= L\sin\theta\mathbf{i} + L\cos\theta\mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{r}_{B_1} &= (x + L\cos\theta)\mathbf{i} + L\sin\theta\mathbf{j} \\ \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{B_1} &= (\dot{x} + L\dot{\theta}\cos\theta)\mathbf{i} - L\dot{\theta}\sin\theta\mathbf{j}\end{aligned}$$

Linear Momentum,  $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \sum_i m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i/o} = m\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{B_1} + M\dot{\mathbf{r}}_P$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} (M + m)\dot{x} + mL\dot{\theta}\cos\theta \\ -mL\dot{\theta}\sin\theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Moment of momentum about P,  $\mathbf{h}_P = \mathbf{r}_{B_1/P} \times m\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{B_1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{h}_P &= -mL(L\dot{\theta} + \dot{x}\cos\theta)\mathbf{k} \\ \therefore \dot{\mathbf{h}}_P &= -mL(L\ddot{\theta} + \ddot{x}\cos\theta - \dot{x}\dot{\theta}\sin\theta)\mathbf{k}\end{aligned}$$

We can balance moments using  $\dot{\mathbf{h}}_P + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_P \times \boldsymbol{\rho} = \mathbf{Q}_e$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_e = \mathbf{r}_{B_1/P} \times -mg\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{r}_{B_2/P} \times F_2\mathbf{i}$ :

$$\dot{\mathbf{h}}_P + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_P \times \boldsymbol{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -mL(\ddot{x}\cos\theta + L\ddot{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -L(mg\sin\theta + 2F_2\cos\theta) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Q}_e$$

And also balance linear momentum using  $\mathbf{F}_e = \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ :

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = \begin{bmatrix} (m + M)\ddot{x} + mL(\ddot{\theta}\cos\theta - \dot{\theta}^2\sin\theta) \\ -mL(\ddot{\theta}\sin\theta + \dot{\theta}^2\cos\theta) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 + F_2 \\ R - (M + m)g \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{F}_e$$

Finally we can write the system dynamics in terms of  $\ddot{\theta}$  and  $\ddot{x}$ :

$$\ddot{\theta}(M + m\sin^2\theta)L = \left(\frac{2M+m}{m}F_2 - F_1\right)\cos\theta + g(M+m)\sin\theta - mL\dot{\theta}^2\sin\theta\cos\theta \quad (1.1)$$

$$\ddot{x}(M + m\sin^2\theta) = F_1 - F_2\cos(2\theta) + m\sin\theta(L\dot{\theta}^2 - g\cos\theta) \quad (1.2)$$

Simplifying this for our problem by substituting in constants, we can write the full state space equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \ddot{x} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \frac{\left(\frac{2M+m}{m}F_2 - F_1\right)\cos\theta + g(M+m)\sin\theta - mL\dot{\theta}^2\sin\theta\cos\theta}{(M+m\sin^2\theta)} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \frac{F_1 - F_2\cos(2\theta) + m\sin\theta(L\dot{\theta}^2 - g\cos\theta)}{L(M+m\sin^2\theta)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(\mathbf{x}, F_1, F_2) \\ f_2(\mathbf{x}, F_1, F_2) \\ f_3(\mathbf{x}, F_1, F_2) \\ f_4(\mathbf{x}, F_1, F_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.3)$$

Using Lyapunov's indirect method, we can write the linearised equations about the equilibrium,  $\mathbf{x}_e = [x_e, \dot{x}_e, \theta_e, \dot{\theta}_e]^T = [0, 0, 0, 0]^T$ , as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta\dot{x} \\ \delta\ddot{x} \\ \delta\dot{\theta} \\ \delta\ddot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \dot{x}} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \theta} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \dot{x}} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \theta} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \dot{x}} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \theta} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} \\ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial x} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \dot{x}} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \theta} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta\dot{x} \\ \delta\theta \\ \delta\dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial F_1} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial F_2} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial F_1} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial F_2} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial F_1} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial F_2} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} \\ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial F_1} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial F_2} \big|_{\mathbf{x}_e} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta F_1 \\ \delta F_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.4)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta\dot{x} \\ \delta\ddot{x} \\ \delta\dot{\theta} \\ \delta\ddot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{mg}{M} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(m+M)}{ML}g & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta\dot{x} \\ \delta\theta \\ \delta\dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{M} & -\frac{1}{M} \\ 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{ML} & \frac{2M+m}{mML} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta F_1 \\ \delta F_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.5)$$

The eigenvalues are given by  $\det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^2(\lambda^2 - \frac{(m+M)}{ML}g) = 0$ . Therefore, the system is unstable about  $\mathbf{x}_e$  due to the right half plane pole,  $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{(m+M)}{ML}g}$ . Additionally, the time constant of this unstable system is  $\tau = \sqrt{\frac{ML}{g(m+M)}}$ . Note, if  $M \gg m$ ,  $\tau \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}$ , which is the time constant for a simple pendulum.

It can be proved that the inverted pendulum system is controllable by showing:

$$\text{rank}[\mathbf{B} \ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} \ \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B} \ \mathbf{A}^3\mathbf{B}] = 4 \quad (1.6)$$

Therefore for any initial condition we can reach  $\mathbf{x}_e$  in finite time under these linear assumptions.

## 1.2 Propagation of Quantisation Error

The state space model for the quantisation of the linearised inverted pendulum can be written as:

$$\mathbf{x}_t^{(2D)} = C\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{V}_t \quad \mathbf{V}_t \sim \mathcal{U}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\delta x} \\ \frac{1}{\delta \theta} \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (1.7)$$

$$\mathbf{x}_t = A\mathbf{x}_{t-1} + B\mathbf{u}_t \quad (1.8)$$

Where A and B are the linearised system dynamics (valid for small time steps), and C is the linear transformation to a 2D state space, with quantisation noise  $\mathbf{V}$ .

Assuming the quantisation bin sizes,  $\delta x$  and  $\delta \theta$ , are small and that  $x$  and  $\theta$  are independent within the bin, the quantisation noise can be modelled as uniform random variables with covariance,  $cov(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T]$ :

$$= \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} x^2 & x\theta \\ \theta x & \theta^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{-\delta x/2}^{\delta x/2} x^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\delta x} dx & 0 \\ 0 & \int_{-\delta \theta/2}^{\delta \theta/2} \theta^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\delta \theta} d\theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta x^2}{12} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\delta \theta^2}{12} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.9)$$

For simplicity, let  $\delta x = \delta \theta$ , and therefore,  $cov(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}) = \sigma_v^2 I$ .

Kalman filtering can be used to find an optimal estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n = K[\mathbf{x}_n | \mathbf{y}_{1:n}]$  using the algorithm (derived in [3]).

---

### Algorithm 1 Multivariate Kalman Filtering

---

1: **Given:**  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n = K[\mathbf{x}_n | \mathbf{y}_{1:n}]$  and  $\Sigma_n = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}_n - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n)(\mathbf{x}_n - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n)^T]$

#### Prediction:

2:  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = K[\mathbf{x}_n | \mathbf{y}_{1:n}] = A\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n + B\mathbf{u}_{n+1}$

3:  $\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1} = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1})(\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1})^T] = A\Sigma_n A^T + \Sigma_w \quad \triangleright \Sigma_w = \mathbf{0}$

#### Update:

4:  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{y}_{n+1} - C\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} \quad \triangleright$  Calculate Innovation residual

5:  $S_{n+1} = \Sigma_v + C\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}C^T \quad \triangleright$  Calculate Innovation Covariance

6:  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} + \bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}C^T S_{n+1}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} \quad \triangleright \Sigma_v = \sigma_v^2 I$

7:  $\Sigma_{n+1} = (I - \bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}C^T S_{n+1}^{-1}C)\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}$

---

Thus we can find the optimal linear estimate of the covariance of Equation (1.7) from algorithm 1 line 7:

$$\Sigma_{n+1} = (I - \bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}C^T S_{n+1}^{-1}C)\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1} \quad (1.10)$$

$$= (I - \bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}C^T(\sigma_v^2 I + C\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}C^T)^{-1}C)\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}, \quad \text{where } \bar{\Sigma}_{n+1} = A\Sigma_n A^T \quad (1.11)$$

For the univariate case this reduces to:

$$\sigma_{n+1}^2 = \bar{\sigma}_{n+1}^2 \left(1 - \frac{C^2 \bar{\sigma}_{n+1}^2}{\sigma_v^2 + C^2 \bar{\sigma}_{n+1}^2}\right) \quad (1.12)$$

$$= A^2 \sigma_n^2 \left(1 - \frac{C^2 A^2 \sigma_n^2}{\sigma_v^2 + C^2 A^2 \sigma_n^2}\right) \quad (1.13)$$

It is obvious from this that as  $\sigma_v^2 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\sigma_{n+1}^2 \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore, if the spectral radius,  $\rho\left(A^2\left(1 - \frac{C^2 A^2 \sigma_n^2}{\sigma_v^2 + C^2 A^2 \sigma_n^2}\right)\right) < 1$ , then the mean squared error from quantisation will reduce to zero. Since  $0 < \left\|\frac{C^2 A^2 \sigma_n^2}{\sigma_v^2 + C^2 A^2 \sigma_n^2}\right\|_2^2 < 1$  if  $\sigma_v^2 > 0$ , a sufficient condition is that  $A^2 \leq 1 \implies \rho(A) \leq 1$ .

For the multivariate case, it can similarly be shown (by Gelfand's Theorem,  $\rho(M_1 \dots M_n) \leq \rho(M_1) \dots \rho(M_n)$ ) that  $\rho(\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1} C^T (\sigma_v^2 I + C \bar{\Sigma}_{n+1} C^T)^{-1} C) < 1$ , therefore a sufficient condition for the decay of the covariance is  $\rho(A \Sigma_n A^T) \leq \rho(\Sigma_n) \implies \rho(A A^T) \leq 1$ . For the linearised dynamics derived in eq. (1.5) the eigenvalues,  $\lambda$  are given by  $\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2 = 0 \implies \rho(A A^T) \leq 1$ . Therefore, the covariance decays to zero in the linearised multivariate case and the 2D state becomes a lossless estimate of the state.

The rate of decay for the univariate case can be determined with a first order approximation about  $\sigma_v^2 = 0$ :

$$\sigma_{n+1}^2(\sigma_v^2) = A^2 \sigma_n^2 \left(1 - \frac{C^2 A^2 \sigma_n^2}{\sigma_v^2 + C^2 A^2 \sigma_n^2}\right) \quad (1.14)$$

$$\approx \sigma_{n+1}^2(0) + \delta \sigma_v^2 \frac{\partial \sigma_{n+1}^2(\sigma_v^2)}{\partial \sigma_v^2} \Big|_{\sigma_v^2=0} \quad (1.15)$$

$$= \frac{\delta \sigma_v^2}{(A C \sigma_n)^2} \quad (1.16)$$

As shown above, the mean square error decays with the square of the bin-size. Similarly, linearising about  $\sigma_n^2 \approx 0$  gives  $\sigma_{n+1}^2 = A^2$  for the linear case, which is constant.... Need to go to second order...

---

## 2 References

---

- [1] M.C. Smith, I Lestas, *4F2: Robust and Non-Linear Control* Cambridge University Engineering Department, 2019
- [2] G. Vinnicombe, K. Glover, F. Forni, *4F3: Optimal and Predictive Control* Cambridge University Engineering Department, 2019
- [3] S. Singh, *4F7: Statistical Signal Analysis* Cambridge University Engineering Department, 2019
- [4] Arthur E. Bryson Jr, *Optimal Control - 1950 to 1985*. IEEE Control Systems, 0272-1708/95 pg.26-33, 1996.
- [5] I. Michael Ross, Ronald J. Proulx, and Mark Karpenko, *Unscented Optimal Control for Space Flight*. ISSFD S12-5, 2014.
- [6] Zheng Jie Wang, Shijun Guo, Wei Li, *Modeling, Simulation and Optimal Control for an Aircraft of Aileron-less Folding Wing* WSEAS TRANSACTIONS on SYSTEMS and CONTROL, ISSN: 1991-8763, 10:3, 2008
- [7] Giovanni Binet, Rainer Krenn and Alberto Bemporad, *Model Predictive Control Applications for Planetary Rovers*. imtlucca, 2012.
- [8] Russ Tedrake, *Underactuated Robotics*. MIT OpenCourseWare, Ch.3, Spring 2009.
- [9] Richard S. Sutton and Andrew G. Barto, *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction (2nd Edition)*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England. 2018.
- [10] I. Carlucho, M. De Paula, S. Villar, G. Acosta . *Incremental Q-learning strategy for adaptive PID control of mobile robots*. Expert Systems with Applications. 80. 10.1016, 2017

- [11] Yuxi Li, *Deep Reinforcement Learning: An Overview*. CoRR, abs/1810.06339, 2018.
- [12] Sandy H. Huang, Martina Zambelli, Jackie Kay, Murilo F. Martins, Yuval Tassa, Patrick M. Pilarski, Raia Hadsell, *Learning Gentle Object Manipulation with Curiosity-Driven Deep Reinforcement Learning*. arXiv 2019.
- [13] David Silver, Julian Schrittwieser, Karen Simonyan et al, *Mastering the game of Go without human knowledge*. Nature, vol. 550, pg.354–359, 2017.
- [14] David Silver, Thomas Hubert, Julian Schrittwieser et al, *A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters chess, shogi and Go through self-pla.* Science 362:6419, pg.1140-1144, 2018.
- [15] S. Thakoor, S. Nair and M. Jhunjhunwala, *Learning to Play Othello Without Human Knowledge* Stanford University Press, 2018 <https://github.com/suragnair/alpha-zero-general>
- [16] HowlingPixel.com, *Elo Rating System*. [https://howlingpixel.com/i-en/Elo\\_rating\\_system](https://howlingpixel.com/i-en/Elo_rating_system) acc: 11/03/2019. published 2019