

SCALING GEOMETRIC MONITORING OVER DISTRIBUTED STREAMS

by

Alexandros D. Keros

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree

of

BACHELOR

in

Electronic and Computer Engineering

Approved:

Dr. Vasilis Samoladas
Major Professor

first reader
Committee Member

second reader
Committee Member

dean

Technical University of Crete
Chania, Crete, Greece

2015

Copyright © Alexandros D. Keros 2015

All Rights Reserved

Contents

	Page
List of Tables	v
List of Figures	vi
I INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
1 Introduction	2
1.1 Overview	2
1.2 Motivation	2
1.3 Related Work	2
1.4 Contributions	2
1.5 Thesis Structure	2
2 Theoretical Background	3
2.1 Geometric Monitoring of Distributed Streams	3
2.2 Multiobjective Optimization	3
2.3 Maximum Weight Matching in Graphs	3
II PROBLEM DEFINITION AND IMPLEMENTATION	4
3 Problem Statement	5
4 Implementation	6
4.1 Grouped Balancing	6
4.2 Heuristic Balancing	6
4.3 Node Matching	6
4.4 Implementation Challenges	6
III RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	7
5 Experimental Results	8
5.1 Heuristic Balancing	8
5.2 Node Matching	8
5.3 Overall Results	8
6 Conclusions and Future Work	9
6.1 Conclusions	9
6.2 Future Work	9
References	10

Appendix	13
Chapter A Tools	14
A.1 Python	14
A.2 Numpy and Scipy	14
A.3 Openopt	14
A.4 NetworkX	14

List of Tables

Table

Page

List of Figures

Figure

Page

Part I

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Overview

1.2 Motivation

1.3 Related Work

1.4 Contributions

1.5 Thesis Structure

Chapter 2

Theoretical Background

2.1 Geometric Monitoring of Distributed Streams

2.1.1 Distributed Streams

2.1.2 Computational Model

2.1.3 Geometric Interpretation

2.2 Multiobjective Optimization

2.2.1 Minimax

2.3 Maximum Weight Matching in Graphs

Part II

PROBLEM DEFINITION AND IMPLEMENTATION

Chapter 3

Problem Statement

Chapter 4

Implementation

4.1 Grouped Balancing

4.2 Heuristic Balancing

4.3 Node Matching

4.4 Implementation Challenges

Part III

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Chapter 5

Experimental Results

5.1 Heuristic Balancing

5.2 Node Matching

5.3 Overall Results

Chapter 6

Conclusions and Future Work

6.1 Conclusions

6.2 Future Work

References

- [1] I. Hickson and D. Hyatt, “HTML5: A vocabulary and associated APIs for HTML and XHTML,” *W3C Working Draft*, vol. 19, 2010.
- [2] G. Lawton, “New ways to build rich internet applications,” *Computer*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 10–12, 2008.
- [3] S. J. Vaughan-Nichols, “Will HTML 5 Restandardize the Web,” *Computer*, vol. 43, p. 13, 2010.
- [4] L. Paulson, “Building rich web applications with Ajax,” *Computer*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 14–17, 2005.
- [5] J. Falkner, J. Timney, and B. Galbraith, *Beginning JSP web development*. Wrox Press Ltd., 2001.
- [6] H. Williams and D. Lane, *Web database applications with PHP & MySQL*. O’Reilly & Associates, Inc., 2004.
- [7] T. Berners-Lee, J. Mogul, L. Masinter, P. Leach, R. Fielding, H. Frystyk, and J. Gettys, “Hypertext Transfer Protocol–HTTP/1.1,” <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt>, 1999, [Online; accessed June 8, 2012].
- [8] D. Kuhn, D. Wallace, and J. AM Gallo, “Software fault interactions and implications for software testing,” *Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 418–421, 2004.
- [9] D. Kuhn and M. Reilly, “An investigation of the applicability of design of experiments to software testing,” in *Software Engineering Workshop, 2002. Proceedings. 27th Annual NASA Goddard/IEEE*. IEEE, 2002, pp. 91–95.

- [10] C. Colbourn, “Combinatorial aspects of covering arrays,” *Le Matematiche (Catania)*, vol. 59, pp. 125–172, 2004.
- [11] R. Bryce and A. Memon, “Test suite prioritization by interaction coverage,” in *Workshop on Domain specific approaches to software test automation: in conjunction with the 6th ES-EC/FSE joint meeting*. ACM, 2007, pp. 1–7.
- [12] R. Bryce, S. Sampath, and A. Memon, “Developing a single model and test prioritization strategies for event-driven software,” *Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, no. 37, pp. 48–64, 2011.
- [13] S. Sampath, R. Bryce, G. Viswanath, V. Kandimalla, and A. Koru, “Prioritizing user-session-based test cases for web applications testing,” in *Software Testing, Verification, and Validation, 2008 1st International Conference on*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 141–150.
- [14] T. Berners-Lee, L. Masinter, M. McCahill *et al.*, “Uniform resource locators (URL),” <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1738.txt>, 1994, [Online; accessed June 8, 2012].
- [15] T. Burners-Lee, “Cool URIs don’t change,” *W3C*, 2008.
- [16] A. Gleyzer, M. Denisyuk, A. Rimmer, and Y. Salingar, “A fast recursive GIS algorithm for computing strahler stream order in braided and nonbraided networks,” *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 937–946, 2004.
- [17] S. Sampath, S. Sprenkle, E. Gibson, L. Pollock, and A. Greenwald, “Applying concept analysis to user-session-based testing of web applications,” *Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 643–658, 2007.
- [18] Y. Guo and S. Sampath, “Web application fault classification-an exploratory study,” in *Proceedings of the Second ACM-IEEE international symposium on Empirical software engineering and measurement*. ACM, 2008, pp. 303–305.
- [19] F. Ocariza Jr, K. Pattabiraman, and B. Zorn, “JavaScript errors in the wild: An empirical study,” in *Software Reliability Engineering (ISSRE), 2011 IEEE 22nd International Symposium on*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 100–109.

- [20] Mozilla, “Mozilla Developer Network, Error Object Reference,” https://developer.mozilla.org/en/JavaScript/Reference/Global_Objects/Error, 2011, [Online; accessed June 8, 2012].
- [21] I. Herman, G. Melançon, and M. Marshall, “Graph visualization and navigation in information visualization: A survey,” *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 24–43, 2000.
- [22] W. Wang, Y. Lei, S. Sampath, R. Kacker, R. Kuhn, and J. Lawrence, “A combinatorial approach to building navigation graphs for dynamic web applications,” in *Software Maintenance, 2009. ICSM 2009. IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2009, pp. 211–220.
- [23] J. Czerwonka, “Pairwise testing in the real world: Practical extensions to test-case scenarios,” <http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc150619.aspx>, 2008, [Online; accessed June 8, 2012].
- [24] M. Grochtmann, J. Wegener, and K. Grimm, “Test case design using classification trees and the classification-tree editor CTE,” in *Proceedings of Quality Week*, vol. 95, 1995, p. 30.
- [25] D. Kuhn, R. Kacker, and Y. Lei, “Practical combinatorial testing,” *NIST Special Publication*, vol. 800, p. 142, 2010.

Appendix

Chapter A

Tools

A.1 Python

A.2 Numpy and Scipy

A.3 Openopt

A.4 NetworkX