Module 16 - Evaluating Data Models

Author: Jessica Cervi

Reviewer: Jessica Cervi

Expected time = 2.5 hours

Total points = 100 points

Assignment Overview

[illegible]

Index:

[illegible]

Module 16 - Evaluating Data Models

Linear regression

[illegible]

The dataset

[illegible]

Question 6:

5 points

With our data in hand, we create and fit the model. Using the `LogisticRegression` class to instantiate the variable `lgr`.

Next, fit a model with your *training data* from above using the `.fit()` method. When fitting the model, use the `lbfgs` solver. You should also make sure that your fitting converges (you may have to modify `max_iter` to do this).

For reproducibility, set `random_state =24`.

```
In [ ]: from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
```

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        lgr = LogisticRegression(random_state = 24, solver='lbfgs', max_iter=1000)
        lgr.fit(X_train, y_train)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 7:

5 points

After fitting the classifer, we can examine its performance using built in `.score()` method. This is the percentage of predictions that were correct. Evaluate your classifier using the scorer and determine if we have done better than just guessing the majority class.

Evaluate your model on the test set and compare your answer to that of the baseline majority class percentage. Did your classifier perform better than simply guessing 0 every time? Assign a boolean to `ans7` below, with True being a higher accuracy than guessing 0 for every class.

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans7= True
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 8:

5 points

Using the `.predict` method of the `LogisticRegression` class, *after fitting your model against your X train set* , generate an array of predicted values against your `X_test` set, saving the result as a `numpy.ndarray` object into the `ans8` variable.

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans8 = lgr.predict(X_train)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 9:

5 points

By default, the sklearn `confusion_matrix` takes in an array of "true" y values, and an array of predictions from a model. It returns a confusion matrix with the true 0 class represented in the first row, and true 1 class by the second. The first column represents points labeled as 0 by the model, and the second column those that were labeled 1. The resulting answer should be a dataframe that looks similar to this:

.	0	1
0	123	456
1	789	876

Use your true and predicted (computed in Question 8) values for `y` to make a confusion matrix with the `confusion_matrix` method. Save your confusion matrix to `ans9`.

```
In [ ]: from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
```

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        pred = lgr.predict(X_train)
        ans9 = confusion_matrix(y_train, pred)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Evaluating results

Consider the following fable:

> *A shepherd boy gets bored tending to the town's flock. To have some fun, he cries out, "Wolf!" even though no wolf is in sight. The villagers run to protect the flock, but then get really mad when they realize the boy was playing a joke on them.*

Let's make the following definitions:

- "Wolf" is a positive class.
- "No wolf" is a negative class.

We can summarize our "wolf-prediction" model using a 2x2 confusion matrix that depicts all four possible outcomes:

A **true positive** is an outcome where the model correctly predicts the positive class. Similarly, a true negative is an outcome where the model correctly predicts the negative class.

A **false positive** is an outcome where the model incorrectly predicts the positive class. And a false negative is an outcome where the model incorrectly predicts the negative class.

As mentioned earlier, the `.score()` method uses **accuracy** to score the classifier. This represents the percentage correct predictions:

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + TN + FN}$$

For a deeper discussion on evaluation metrics please see the lectures this week and this article.

Back to top

Question 10:

5 points

In the language of the confusion matrix, our example translates to the following four outcomes.

- True Negatives: Classified as 0 and really 0
- False Positives: Classified as 1 and really 0
- False Negatives: Classified as 0 and really 1
- True Positives: Classified as 1 and really 1

We can save these values to compute additional evaluation metrics for our classifier.

RECALL that by default, a `confusion_matrix` uses the labels that correspond with indicies of the matrix, i.e. row 0 column 0 is an object that was truly 0 and labeled as 0.

Use this example to help you use the `confusion_matrix` function to save your classifiers performance on the test set in terms of:

- true negatives : tn
- false positives: fp
- false negatives: fn
- true positives: tp

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        pred = lgr.predict(X_train)
        tn, fp, fn, tp = confusion_matrix(y_train, pred).ravel()
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 11:

5 points

The notion of precision can also be described as the *positive predictive value* of a classifier. We compute it using the true positives and false positives of the classifier.

$$PPV = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

Use your values to compute the precision of your classifier. Save your answer to `ans11` below.

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans11 = tp/(tp + fp)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 12:

5 points

Recall can be considered the *true positive rate* and is computed as follows:

$$TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

Using your values from the confusion matrix, calculate the recall score on your test data. Save your solution to `ans12` below.

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans12 = tp/(tp + fn)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 13:

5 points

To make a plot of the the `ROC` curve, we will need to save the true positive rate and false positive rates along with the different values for thresholds that yield these. In sklearn, we use the `roc_curve` method. This takes in an array of true `y` values and a list from the `.decision_function` method of a fit `LogisticRegression` classifier.

Use your fit `LogisticRegression` classifier to get the threshold values from the `decision_function()` method on your X test data. Save the results to `ans13` below of type numpy.ndarray of shape (10297,).

```
In [ ]: from sklearn.metrics import roc_curve
```

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans13 =  lgr_ans.decision_function(X_train)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 14:

5 points

Now, we save the false positive rates and true positive rates together with their accompanying threshold values using the `roc_curve` method.

Save the false positive rate, the true positive rate, and threshold values below using the roc curve method and your `decison_funtion` from above. Name your variables `fpr`, `tpr` and `thresholds`.

HINT: These variables are NumPy arrays.

```
In [ ]: from sklearn.metrics import roc_curve
```

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        thresholds = lgr_ans.decision_function(X_train)
        fpr, tpr, thresholds = roc_curve(y_train, thresholds)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 15:

5 points

Using these values, we can plot the ROC curve. We want our false positive rates across the x-axis and true positive rates as the y-axis. Your plot should resemble the one shown below.

Make a *unique* plot displaying `fpr` vs `tpr` and `tpr` vs `fpr` computed above. Save your plot as `plot15.png` in the `results` folder. Do not specify any other option when plotting.

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        fig = plt.figure()
        plt.plot(afpr, atpr)
        plt.plot(afpr, afpr)
        plt.savefig("results/plot15.png")
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 16:

5 points

To see how our labels are applied in making predictions, we can use the `.predict_proba()` method of our `LogisticRegression` classifier. We are interested in the probabilities of predicting membership in class 1 which is the second column of the data.

Save the predicted probabilities of predicting class 1 to `ans16` below. Your answer should be of object type numpy.ndarray and shape (10297,)

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans16 = lgr_ans.predict_proba(X_train)[:,1]
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 17:

5 points

Now that we have our thresholds, we can adjust our predictions based on a different threshold than 0.5 -- the default value. To do so, we will create a new array of predictions where we label anything greater than a 0.4 probability as a 1, and anything else as a 0.

Use `np.where` together with your solution to problem 16 to make predictions based on 0.4 threshold. Save your results to `ans17` below.

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans17 = np.where(lgr_ans.predict_proba(X_train)[:,1] < 0.4, 0, 1)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 18:

5 points

Now, we can compare our new predictions precision and recall scores. We will use the built-in sklearn scorers from the `metrics` module to do so.

Use the predictions from question 17 to evaluate the performance of your new classifier on the test data. Save the precision score to `ans18` below.

```
In [ ]: from sklearn.metrics import precision_score, recall_score
```

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans18  = precision_score(y_train, ans17)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 19:

5 points

Similarly, we can use the `recall_score` to examine the recall performance of our new classifier.

Evaluate the recall of your classifier from problem 17 on the test set. Save your solution to `ans19` below.

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans19  = recall_score(y_train, ans17)
        ### END SOLUTION
```

Back to top

Question 20:

Now that we adjusted the threshold, we have improved one of our metrics and seen decline in the other. Perhaps this is desirable, or maybe we should move the threshold the other way depending on our metrics and business setting.

What metric improved, `precision` or `recall`? Save your answer as a string to `ans20` below

```
In [ ]: ### GRADED

        ### YOUR SOLUTION HERE
        ans20  = "precision"
        ### END SOLUTION
```