Hash Tables

-Hash table (CHAINING)

- Space Complexity O(n).
- Average Time Complexity.
 - **If the spread function is uniform for the data set used.**
 - Each key has the **same probability** of being assigned to any of the (m) indices.
 - The average length of the lists is (n/m), equal to the load factor.
 - \circ Successful searches: (1 + L/2) accesses on average. O(1)
 - In a list of length (L) the average is (L/2) accesses.
 - Deletion of a key is equivalent to a successful search.
 - Failed searches: (1 + L) accesses on average. O(1)
 - (m) failed lookups, one for each table index, traverse the sum of lengths of lists: ((m + n)/m = 1 + L).
 - **Insertion**: 1 access best case, **O(1)** in amortized time.
 - The restructuring is O(n), but it guarantees (n) insertions into O(1).
- Worst case Time Complexity.
 - **The worst case occurs when the hash function is extremely non-uniform: Assigns the same position to all the keys in the data set.**
 - The table contains a single list with the n elements.
 - Under normal circumstances the **probability of falling in the worst case is** negligible (1/m!)
 - But given a hash function, it is always possible to design a data set that causes the worst case (attack by efficiency degradation).
 - Search, delete: O(n) accesses on average.
 - **Insertion**: Remains **O(1)** in amortized time.

-Hash table (OPEN ADDRESSING)

- Space Complexity O(n).
- Average Time Complexity.
 - **If the spread function is uniform for the data set used.**
 - \circ Successful searches: $(\ln(1/(1+L))/L)$ accesses on average. O(1)
 - Deletion of a key is equivalent to a successful search.
 - Failed searches: (1/(1+L))accesses on average. O(1)
 - **Insertion**: 1/(1+L), **O(1)** in amortized time.
 - The restructuring is O(n), but it guarantees (n) insertions into O(1).