# **Machine Learning - Course Project**

Oliver Gonzalez

November 18, 2017

# **Background**

Using devices such as Jawbone Up, Nike FuelBand, and Fitbit it is now possible to collect a large amount of data about personal activity relatively inexpensively. These type of devices are part of the quantified self movement †" a group of enthusiasts who take measurements about themselves regularly to improve their health, to find patterns in their behavior, or because they are tech geeks. One thing that people regularly do is quantify how much of a particular activity they do, but they rarely quantify how well they do it. In this project, your goal will be to use data from accelerometers on the belt, forearm, arm, and dumbell of 6 participants. They were asked to perform barbell lifts correctly and incorrectly in 5 different ways. More information is available from the website here: <a href="http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har">http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har</a> (see the section on the Weight Lifting Exercise Dataset).

## **Data Processing and Data cleaning**

The data for this project come from this source: <a href="http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har">http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har</a>. If you use the document you create for this class for any purpose please cite them as they have been very generous in allowing their data to be used for this kind of assignment.

The training data for this project are available here:

https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv The test data are available here: https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-testing.csv. We must Download and clean de data from division errors and empty strings replacing them by NA values.

### **Cross Validation & Data Partitioning**

Now lets partition de data into a trainning (60%) and test (40%) sets. Also lets remove any NA and DIV/0 values.

```
train_url <- "http://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-
training.csv"
test_url <- "http://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-
testing.csv"
training_set<- read.csv(url(train_url), na.strings=c("NA","#DIV/0!",""))
testing_set <- read.csv(url(test_url), na.strings=c("NA","#DIV/0!",""))
inTrain <- createDataPartition(y=training_set$classe, p=0.60, list=FALSE)
myTraining <- training_set[inTrain, ]; myTesting <- training_set[-inTrain, ]
dim(myTraining); dim(myTesting)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 11776 160
## [1] 7846 160
 removeNAcols <- function(x) { x[ , colSums( is.na(x) ) < nrow(x) ] } 
myTraining <- removeNAcols(myTraining)</pre>
myTesting <- removeNAcols(myTesting)</pre>
complete <- function(x) {x[,sapply(x, function(y) !any(is.na(y)))] }</pre>
incomplete <- function(x) {names( x[,sapply(x, function(y) any(is.na(y)))] )</pre>
trtr.na.var <- incomplete(myTraining)</pre>
trts.na.var <- incomplete(myTesting)</pre>
myTraining <- complete(myTraining)</pre>
myTesting <- complete(myTesting)</pre>
#Lets remove the columns that are not predictors
myTraining fset <- myTraining[,8:length(myTraining)]</pre>
#lets clear the variables with near zero variance
vNearZero <- nearZeroVar(myTraining fset, saveMetrics = TRUE)</pre>
#Checking the result to see that there are zero values left
vNearZero
                           freqRatio percentUnique zeroVar
##
                            1.065934 8.76358696 FALSE FALSE
## roll belt
## pitch_belt
## yaw_belt
                             1.017544 13.83322011 FALSE FALSE
1.052632 14.55502717 FALSE FALSE
## magnet_arm_z 1.115942 10.53838315 FALSE FALSE ## roll_dumbbell 1.025000 87.66983696 FALSE FALSE ## pitch_dumbbell 2.390244 85.60631793 FALSE FALSE ## yaw_dumbbell 1.123288 87.25373641 FALSE FALSE
## magnet_arm_z
## total accel dumbbell 1.075518 0.34816576 FALSE FALSE
## gyros_dumbbell_x 1.053521 1.95312500 FALSE FALSE
## gyros_dumbbell_y 1.309735 2.22486413 FALSE FALSE
## gyros_dumbbell_z 1.058997 1.63043478 FALSE FALSE
## accel_dumbbell_x 1.045918 3.42221467 FALSE FALSE
```

#### **Model Selection**

The selected model for this task will be Random Forest because it generates an internal unbiased estimate of the generalization error as the forest building progresses, Random Forest works well with a mixture of numerical and categorical features

```
#The model chosen is the random forest method
keep <- names(myTraining fset)</pre>
#fit model- RANDOM FOREST
set.seed(1235)
modFit <- randomForest(classe~., data = myTraining fset)</pre>
print(modFit)
##
## Call:
## randomForest(formula = classe ~ ., data = myTraining fset)
               Type of random forest: classification
                     Number of trees: 500
## No. of variables tried at each split: 7
##
##
        OOB estimate of error rate: 0.73%
## Confusion matrix:
## A B C D E class.error
## A 3345 2
               0 0 1 0.0008960573
## B 19 2252 8 0 0.0118473014
      0 12 2034 8
## C
                         0 0.0097370983
         0 27 1902 1 0.0145077720
## E 0 0 2 6 2157 0.0036951501
qplot(roll belt, magnet dumbbell y, colour=classe, data=myTraining fset)
```

```
predict1 <- predict(modFit, myTesting, type = "class")</pre>
confusionMatrix(myTesting$classe, predict1)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
            Reference
## Prediction A B
                        С
                              D
##
           A 2229
                    3
                          0
##
           В
                8 1509
                         1
                               \cap
##
           С
                0
                   15 1350
                               3
                                    0
                   0 11 1273
##
           D
                0
##
           Ε
                0
                    0
                       1 1 1 4 4 0
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                 Accuracy: 0.9943
##
                   95% CI: (0.9923, 0.9958)
##
      No Information Rate: 0.2851
##
      P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
                    Kappa: 0.9927
## Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                       Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                         0.9964 0.9882 0.9905 0.9969 0.9986
## Specificity
                         0.9995 0.9986 0.9972
                                                   0.9980 0.9997
## Pos Pred Value
                         0.9987 0.9941 0.9868
                                                   0.9899
                                                           0.9986
                                         0.9980
## Neg Pred Value
                         0.9986 0.9972
                                                    0.9994
                                                            0.9997
## Prevalence
                         0.2851
                                  0.1946
                                          0.1737
                                                    0.1628
                                                            0.1838
## Detection Rate
                         0.2841
                                 0.1923
                                          0.1721
                                                   0.1622
                                                            0.1835
## Detection Prevalence 0.2845 0.1935
                                         0.1744
                                                           0.1838
                                                   0.1639
## Balanced Accuracy 0.9979 0.9934
                                         0.9938
                                                    0.9974
                                                            0.9992
predict trainingset <- predict(modFit, myTraining, type = "class")</pre>
confusionMatrix(myTraining$classe, predict trainingset)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
            Reference
##
## Prediction A B
                        С
                              D
                                    Ε
           A 3348
                    0
                          0
                               0
##
                                    0
                0 2279
##
                          0
                               0
           В
                     0 2054
##
           C
                0
                            0
                     0 0 1930
##
           D
                0
                                    0
##
           \mathbf{E}
                0
                     0
                          0 0 2165
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                 Accuracy: 1
##
                   95% CI: (0.9997, 1)
      No Information Rate: 0.2843
##
##
      P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
                    Kappa: 1
## Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
```

```
## Sensitivity 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 ## Specificity 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 ## Pos Pred Value 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 ## Prevalence 0.2843 0.1935 0.1744 0.1639 0.1838 ## Detection Rate 0.2843 0.1935 0.1744 0.1639 0.1838 ## Balanced Accuracy 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
```

#### **Conclusion**

As we can se from the results for the Training set, the random forest method is the best fit model and has been selected for the test data to submit the final results for this assignment as it shows an 100% accuracy.

```
predict_testset <- predict(modFit, testing_set, type = "class")
print(predict_testset)
## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
## B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B
## Levels: A B C D E</pre>
```