

# Distributed Audio Processing

Alexander Gustafson  
University of Applied Sciences,  
Zürich,  
Switzerland,  
[alex.gustafson@yahoo.de](mailto:alex.gustafson@yahoo.de)

October 9, 2015

# Abstract

In modern profesional music studios, the computer has become responsible for tasks that were previously performed by dedicated equipement. Mixing boards, effect processors, dynamic compressors and equalizers, even the instruments themselves, are all available as software. To elivate the processing load on the CPU there is a growing market for specialized DSP coprocessors which can process mutliple channels of digital audio in realtime. These coprocessors are typically connected via Firewire or PCIe and use multiple DSP chips for the processing. This project will examine an inexpensive alternative based on standard Gigabit Ethernet and higher end Raspberry Pi clones.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Ausgangslage . . . . .	4
1.2	Ziel der Arbeit . . . . .	5
1.3	Aufgabenstellung . . . . .	5
1.4	Resultate . . . . .	5
1.5	Glossary of Terms . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Einführung ins Thema</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Background . . . . .	7
2.2	Realtime Audio Plugins . . . . .	8
2.3	Audio Over Ethernet . . . . .	9
2.4	Single Board Computers . . . . .	9
2.5	Virtual Analog Synthesis . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Anforderungsanalyse</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	General Requirements . . . . .	13
3.2	Distributed Processing . . . . .	13
3.3	Audio Plugin . . . . .	15
3.4	Remote Processing Node . . . . .	16
3.5	Software Requirements . . . . .	16
3.5.1	Evaluated C++ Frameworks . . . . .	17
<b>4</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1	Architecture . . . . .	18
4.1.1	Host CPU . . . . .	18
4.1.2	Networked SBCs . . . . .	20
4.2	Development Environment . . . . .	20
4.3	Networking Components . . . . .	20
4.3.1	Socket Monitor . . . . .	20
4.3.2	ZeroConf Manager . . . . .	20
4.3.3	AudioStream Manager . . . . .	21
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>22</b>

<b>6</b>	<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>24</b>
6.1	Compiling the Source Code . . . . .	24
6.2	Bonjour . . . . .	24
6.3	Evaluated Frameworks . . . . .	24

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Ausgangslage

20 years ago the CPU was just one component of a typical music studio. It was generally used to control and synchronize other equipment such as mixing boards, multi-track recorders, synthesizers and effects processors. Today all of the other equipment exists as software, running in realtime on a CPU host. A typical music studio today is comprised of a CPU, multiple analog to digital inputs and outputs, and some DSP equipped audio processing cards.

Similar to GPU Cards which can accelerate graphics and visualization applications, audio DSP cards can process multiple streams of high quality digital audio, relieving the load on the CPU Host Computer. Audio DSP cards typically connect to the CPU via PCI, Firewire, or Thunderbolt. Most vendors of DSP cards offer the possibility to connect several cards in parallel to increase the processing capacity.

Unlike GPU processors however, no open standard has evolved to distribute the load across multiple co processors in the way it has for OpenGL or openCL. 3D graphics applications profit enormously from the interoperability that OpenGL offers. No such benefit is available for digital audio applications. Also, unlike OpenGL applications, audio software that is developed to run on an audio DSP card cannot be run on the CPU host. This results in vendor lock-in. The consumer that invests in an audio DSP card and software, must continue to buy from the same vendor in order to build on the the initial investment. If another vendor of DSP hardware creates a superior product, a consumer is unlikely to switch platforms if a significant investment has already been made.

10 years ago this was an acceptable compromise because DSP processors connected via PCIe could provide a significant performance increase. Today however, arm based inexpensive CPUs connected via standard gigabit ethernet could offer a competitive alternative.

## 1.2 Ziel der Arbeit

The purpose of this semester project is to design a software based music synthesiser that will run on a network of low cost banana pi devices. Limitations in polyphony will be alleviated by adding a new device to the network. In order to be compatible with existing music recording and composition applications the software will include a VST Plugin that allows music software to send MIDI commands to, and receive audio data from the software. All data communication between the VST Plugin and the Banana PI audio generation software will be handled via ethernet. The VST Plugin will send control data information such as pitch, volume, length, and other expression data. The Banana PI will stream back the generated audio data, as well as necessary metadata so the VST plugin can properly collect and prepare the audio data for the host software.

## 1.3 Aufgabenstellung

- Anforderungsanalyse mit Prioritätsbewertung
- Vergleich von mehreren CPUs und Embedded Systems ( Banana Pi, Adapteva, Odroid) hinsichtlich ihrer Nutzbarkeit als Echtzeit Audioverarbeitungsmodule. Mit dem System, das die Anforderungen am besten erfüllt, wird die Implementierung gemacht.
- Entwicklung der Audioverarbeitungssoftware in C ++.
- Entwicklung eines VST-Plugins in C++, das als Schnittstelle zwischen gängigen Audio-Software und den Audioverarbeitungsmodule (pkt 3) dient.
- Analyse der Implementierung, um die Nützlichkeit und Skalierbarkeit zu bewerten. Es ergeben sich dadurch verschiedene Fragestellungen wie z.B. folgende: Kann die Leistung und Polyphonie durch Hinzufügen weiterer Module erhöht werden, oder wird der Kommunikations-Overhead schließlich zu gross?

## 1.4 Resultate

## 1.5 Glossary of Terms

### MIDI

The Musical Instrument Digital Interface specification, first introduced in 1983 defines an 8-bit standard for encoding and transmitting music notes. It's original purpose was to allow one keyboard based synthesizer to controll other music devices. [2] Although the specification also describes the hardware and wiring for daisy chaining instruments in a midi "network" most midi communication today transmitted via usb or virtually between audio software.

## **Zeroconf**

Zeroconf is a network service discovery protocol. It is also known as Bonjour, and occasionally referred to as Rendezvous, from the Apple implementations. Howl and Avahi are alternative open source Zeroconf implementations for Linux. Application can use Zeroconf to register or browse for service on a network without the need for a user to provide a specific IP Address or port number. [4]

## **AES67**

## **Latency**

## **VST**

## **SBC**

## **AVB**

## Chapter 2

# Einführung ins Thema

### 2.1 Background

An audio engineer's typical job is to manage the balance of multiple tracks of audio signals. The dynamic range of a signal can be compressed, in order to give quieter passages more presence. Loud peaks can be limited to balance the overall loudness of a musical piece. Using equalizers an audio engineer can make enhance or suppress specific frequencies of a track to make it more present in a mix. Effects like reverb, echo, or chorus can be used to give a track more space in a mix effecting the mood or ambience of a music piece. It is typical that each track in a recording session will be processed by a chain of several specific audio processors.

20 years ago the equipment needed for this kind of processing filled large racks. Today all of these tasks run as plugins on the CPU.

In 1996 Steinberg GmbH, the developers of Cubase, a popular audio production application, released the VST interface specification and SDK. [9] The VST plugin standard was special because it allowed realtime processing of audio in the CPU and it allowed other developers to program plugins which could be run from within Cubase. The VST plugin standard quickly had widespread industry acceptance and was adopted by most developers of audio production applications. Although alternative standards exist, VST is still the most widely adopted crossplatform standard.

The number of realtime plugins that could run on a CPU was limited by several factors, hard disc access speeds, bus speeds, amount of ram, and OS schedulers for instance [3]. Users didn't expect to be able to run more than 10 plugin instances at a time. Simply playing back multiple tracks of digital audio in realtime was so taxing on the CPU that an application's graphical interface would quickly become unresponsive.

Today it's possible to playback hundreds of channels of audio and hundreds of plugins in realtime. But as the performance threshold has risen, so to have the expectations. The algorithms driving today's plugins are much more complex than those from 1996. Plugins are available today that model physical systems or emulate the analog circuitry of popular vintage synthesizers. So, even though CPU performance has increased significantly, it's still easy to reach the limits, especially with the more com-



plex high quality plugins.

Several DSP based systems exist that can alleviate the load on the CPU much in the same way that GPU accelerator cards work. Audio processing jobs are delegated to external specialized hardware via PCIe or Thunderbolt interfaces. However, these DSP based accelerators are proprietary and expensive. Developing plugins for a DSP chip is also significantly more complex than developing for the CPU.

## 2.2 Realtime Audio Plugins

Music composition and production is typically done with the assistance of a music sequencing application. Midi events and audio recordings are arranged as tracks that can be mixed, edited, and processed. In order to make changes undo-able edits are made in a non-destructive fashion, calculated dynamically in realtime during audio playback. The original audio data is always preserved. The user can change the parameters of an effect or process in realtime and experiment with various parameters without fearing that the original audio recording might be permanently altered.

A music sequencer or audio production application will usually include several built in realtime effects that a user can apply to an audio track. In addition to the built in options all professional applications will also be able to load 3rd party effect plugins. Depending on the platform and vendor one or several available plugin standards will be implemented, the most common standard being Steinberg's VST standard.

Regardless of the standard most audio plugins function in a similar fashion. The host application will periodically poll the plugin via a callback, providing access to the source audio data stream and expecting the plugin to return the processed data.

Audio plugins can also provide a GUI to the user that allows processing parameters to be modified, saved, and sequenced as well. This might be the cutoff frequency of a low pass filter, or the delay time of a reverb effect, for example.

On the Windows platform VST plugins are compiled to Dynamic Link Libraries, on Mac OSX they are Mach-O Bundles. The native Apple Audio Unit plugins are also compiled as Mach-O bundles, they have almost identical functionality, but differ in their API implementation. Other alternative plugin formats are Avid's RTAS and AAX plugin formats, Microsoft's DirectX architecture, or LADSPA, DSSI and LV2 on Linux. From a programmer's point of view audio plugins are always compiled as dynamically loadable libraries that strictly conform to a format's specific API. The host application can load them at run time and stream audio data through them [6].

Additionally Realtime Audio Plugins, as the name implies, must be able to complete their tasks fast enough to comply with realtime audio requirements. How fast is fast enough? Well, that depends how you define "real time". In audio applications, real time is defined in terms of an audio system's latency. The total delay between the time an audio signal enters the system (at the analog to digital converter for example), is processed, and leaves the system (at the digital to analog converter) is the latency. If a musician is performing live and simultaneously hearing the result of the performance after being processed digitally, the system's latency must be low enough to feel instantaneous. The maximum acceptable latency is considered to be around 10ms [7]. Any higher and the latency becomes disturbing and not acceptable for live performance.

applications.

Any process will introduce some amount of delay. Some processes, like those that rely on an FFT for example, need to work on a group of samples, introducing additional latency. Within the audio processing function, the programmer must take care not to introduce any unnecessary or uncalculatable delays. Examples for things to avoid are memory allocations, conditional expressions inside loops that might break pipe-lining optimizations [6], or updating the graphic interface directly.

## 2.3 Audio Over Ethernet

Sending audio data over a network is not new. Sending audio data in "realtime" is also not new. The IETF ( Internet Engineering Task Force ) RFC 3550 Describes the Real-time Transport Protocol for delivering audio and video in real-time over IP networks. RTP however is mostly concerned with transmitting a few channels of media as quickly as possible over IP networks with lot of other traffic, reducing jitter (variations in latency) and providing Quality of Service strategies to achieve "acceptable" audio and video quality for streaming and conferencing purposes.

Other very recent specifications such as AVB<sup>1</sup> and AES67<sup>2</sup> build on top of RTP and add more mechanisms to guarantee accurate timing and synchronization across a network for professional audio applications. The synchronisation is important in these standards because they are concerned with driving audio hardware attached to different hosts on a network.

Hardware synchronization and jitter management are not relevant to this project because we are not concerned with external audio hardware. The goal of this project is to utilise external CPUs as audio coprocessors connected via gigabit Ethernet. Even so, the AVB and AES67 standards offer many insights into how to optimize data transmission for low latency applications and they also offer a proof-of-concept that it is possible. The AES67 defines guidelines that can achieve latencies well below 1 ms for hundreds of simultaneous channels of high quality audio. This is much faster than the legacy PCI and Firewire rates used in many DSP based coprocessing systems [1].

## 2.4 Single Board Computers

The popularity of the Raspberry Pi has spurred a whole industry around single-board computers (SBCs). Based on hardware used in mobile phones, these small low power devices are extremely popular because they are inexpensive and easy to use. The biggest advantage of SBCs compared to other embedded devices is that they can run the Android and Linux operating systems, allowing them to be programmed using the same tools available on desktop computers.

---

<sup>1</sup>Audio Video Bridging refers to a set of IEEE standards that allow time-synchronized low latency streaming services

<sup>2</sup>AES67, created by the Audio Engineering Society, defines standard that allow existing low latency streaming systems to interoperate. AES67 does not define any new technologies but attempts to set a lowest common denominator by which existing standards can be compatible.

Recent higher-end SBCs even come equipped with gigabit Ethernet and Dual and Quad Core CPUs running at rates well over 1GHz. If we compare these systems to the 450MHz G3 PPC systems that the first VST Software was available for we can expect that the newer high-end SBCs should be excellent audio coprocessors.

2 SBCs are worth special consideration because they potentially offer even better performance as audio coprocessors. The Parallella Board has a 16-Core Epiphany coprocessor that could be used to perform audio processing in parallel. Standard frameworks such as OpenCL, MPI, or OpenMP can be used to target the Epiphany cores. The Odroid-XU4 SBC includes a Mali-T628 GPU coprocessor which is also OpenCL compatible. Both are available for under \$100.

Programming audio processing routines as OpenCL kernels might be considerably more complex than in C++, but OpenCL offers vendor-independent access to GPGPU computing and has the added benefit that it can also be used on a CPU without GPU acceleration [5].

Investigating these, and other OpenCL enabled SBCs might be an interesting followup project.

## 2.5 Virtual Analog Synthesis

Virtual analog synthesis is the term used to describe the emulation of analog synthesizers of the 60s and 70s digitally in real time. The complexity and goals of an emulation can vary. Some emulations go so far as to simulate the actual electronic components of vintage synthesis circuits, others just model the signal flow loosely.

Regardless of the type of emulation, and model of analog synthesis has two primary concerns. Latency and aliasing. The problem of latency has already been described above. Any processing will introduce a delay in the signal, the complexity of the processing can increase the delay, or use more CPU cycles. Aliasing is audible distortion introduced by signals that have a higher frequency content than the sampling rate of the system allows for.

Analog synthesizers usually employed what is referred to as subtractive synthesis. One or more sound generators or oscillators would create signals with particular harmonic qualities. These signals would then go through filters that would "subtract" frequencies from the signal. The oscillators and filters can be modulated as well as the amplitude of the filtered signal. Figure 2.1 is a simple block diagram of a typical subtractive synthesizer.




Figure 2.1: Block Diagram of a Subtractive Synthesis Voice

The voltage controlled oscillators generate simple waveforms at the pitch corresponding to the note played on the keyboard. The user can typically choose between some combination of sawtooth, squarewave, or triangle waveforms. The frequencies or timbre of the waveforms can then be modulated by the following filter and amplitude blocks.

One's first impression might be that modeling the oscillator would be simple. A digitally generated squarewave or sawtooth waveform should be trivial to implement. The 5kHz sawtooth waveform for example, would have a period of 8.82 samples when generated in a 44.1kHz audio environment. So the waveform would increase linearly from -1.0 to 1.0 over a length of 8.82 samples, then jump back to -1.0 and cycle through again. What does 0.82 sample mean in a discrete digital system? Figure 2.2 illustrates the problem with a trivial implementation. The left column shows a portion of an idealized 5kHz sawtooth waveform and the corresponding frequency content. Above the 5kHz fundamental frequency are harmonics that will be audible well beyond 100kHz. The right column shows the same portion of a 5kHz sawtooth waveform in a 44.1kHz environment. The waveform itself is distorted and the higher harmonics are visible reflected back from the 22.05kHz nyquist limit.




Figure 2.2: Ideal and Aliased 5kHz Sawtooth waveform

There are various methods to eliminate or reduce aliasing. The most effective is to generate the harmonics using a series of sin waves up to the nyquist limit or half of the system's sampling rate, but this also very processing intensive. Other less CPU intensive strategies involve using oversampling, bandlimiting, or other alias-suppressing methods [8].

Evaluating and testing various strategies for alias reduction is beyond the scope of this project. But might be interesting for follow up projects expanding on the material here.

## Chapter 3

# Anforderungsanalyse

### 3.1 General Requirements

The Application has two components, the audio plugin hosted on the main CPU machine, and the processing node which runs on a networked SoC device. The audio plugin forwards midi control and audio data to the processing nodes. The nodes stream the processed audio data back to the audio plugin, which in turn streams it back to the host audio application. The total round-trip time, including processing, should not exceed 10ms. This is the maximum allowed latency for live sound applications. [7]

The applications must be self contained and work without the user needing to install any system libraries, frameworks or servers.<sup>1</sup>

### 3.2 Distributed Processing

In order to lighten the processing load of the main host CPU we are interested in distributing real-time audio processing jobs to remote CPUs connected by gigabit ethernet. On the host CPU an audio plugin, loaded into a standard audio production application, functions as the master node, distributing jobs to network slave nodes on the remote CPUs. To the host audio production software, the distribution of jobs should be completely transparent. The master node receives audio and control data from the host application and returns the results just like any other audio plugin.

In contrast to other distributed processing environments where large data sets are parceled out to worker nodes, the plugin master is only given access to the audio data in small buffers as it is needed. The plugin then has a very small amount of time to process the data and pass it back to the host application. This puts some limits on how processing jobs can be distributed.

There are various degrees to which processing jobs can be distributed. Each plugin instance could send its entire job to one networked node as in figure 3.1. Each processing block in a plugin could send its partial job to a networked node as in figure

---

<sup>1</sup>The only exception might be ZeroConf/Bonjour on Linux or Windows. See Appendix

3.2. In the case of a virtual synth plugin each voice performed could be distributed to it's own node as in figure 3.3.




Figure 3.1: Each Plugin Distributes to One Node




Figure 3.2: Each Process Block Distributes to a Node




Figure 3.3: Each Synth Voice is Distributed to a Node

For this project the second option will be implemented for the sake of testing, although it might not be the most efficient implementation.

### 3.3 Audio Plugin

The audio plugin must provide transparent functionality to its host audio application. It must appear to the host as a normal audio processing plugin while managing the distribution of tasks to networked nodes internally. The audio plugin does not run in an isolated environment. It will be running in tandem with its host application which might be running any number of other plugins, including other instances of the distributed audio plugin. Therefore every care should be made use resources critical to its core tasks.

The audio plugins typically have a state that will include parameter settings controlled by the audio host or the user. The state will also include information about the current coefficient settings of filters and other algorithms, and the state of notes being performed if applicable. This is important in order to allow an audio plugin to hand over an on-going process to a newly connected node. It could also be used to allow a networked node to be responsible for the processing of more than one audio plugin by switching its active state accordingly.

Depending on the type of parallelisation implemented the audio plugin might distribute its entire job to one node or parcel out sub tasks to nodes.

The audio plugin has the following requirements:

- runnable as a realtime VST audio plugin in a standard audio application
- locate and connect with one or more processing nodes on the network
- forward midi and audio data from the host audio application to the networked nodes



- receives audio data from the networked nodes and streams this back to the host application
- in the absence of a corresponding node on the network perform the audio processing locally
- the audio plugin must forward it's current state to the node

### 3.4 Remote Processing Node

The processing nodes are applications that run on the networked SBC devices. Depending on the type of parallelisation implemented in the audio plugin the processing nodes could be delegated the entire processing job of the corresponding plugin or just a part of the job, letting other nodes be responsible for other jobs. For this project the processing nodes will be implemented as a "bank" of processors loaded into a parent application. The parent application will implement a socket listener that monitors an array of sockets for incoming requests, then call the callback of the node that is responsible for that particular socket. The availability, type, and location of node and it's corresponding socket will be broadcasted over the network via bonjour/zeroconf mechanisms.

The processing node itself should be stateless, each cycle of the audio processing algorithm should only take the state data of the corresponding packet into account, and updates to the state must be sent back as state data to the audio plugin. This is to ensure that if a node loses connectivity the state can be retrieved and another node can take over. It also has the added benefit that one processing node could be able to process jobs for several instances of a particular plugin by switching state.

The remote processing nodes have the following requirements:

- broadcasts its availability and location on the network via bonjour/zeroconf
- accepts session initiated by the audio plugin
- accepts control data from the audio plugin
- processes incoming audio data and midi data from the audio plugin
- streams audio and midi data back to the audio plugin immediately

### 3.5 Software Requirements

In realtime audio applications timing is critical. This may sound obvious, but to a programmer it means giving up many of the comforts of modern programming made available working with high level interpreted languages such as java or python. Most audio application interfaces and libraries such as the VST SDK are for C/C++.

Professional audio applications generally run on Mac OSX or Windows Operating Systems, therefore the audio plugin must be compileable on these systems. The processing node will be run on SBC devices which typically run with a Linux based OS. Yet both applications should share thier codebase since there is alot of crossover of responsibility between the audio plugin and the nodes.

There are many C++ libraries and framework that address the issue of cross platform compatibility while also giving the programmer access to high level constructs like smart pointers and reference counted objects that make C++ programming easier.

### 3.5.1 Evaluated C++ Frameworks

Boost is the most popular crossplatform C++ frameworks. Many of it's features have been added to the C++11 standard library. Other frameworks like Cinder and Open Frameworks offer many high level features to quickly build crossplatform media rich interactive applications. Two libraries of special note offer specific features to build crossplatform audio applications and plugins, Juce and WDL. Of these two Juce has a much larger community of users (including vendors of dsp based audio coprocessors) and has existed longer.

Software Framework Criteria:

- Crossplatform for OSX, Linux, and Windows
- Offers high level constructs like smart pointers
- Support for crossplatform audio integration
- Should be well documented and have an active community
- Support for crossplatform network streaming

Framework	High Level Utilities	Audio Utilities	Network Utilities	VST Utilities	Community
Juce	ja	ja	ja <sup>2</sup>	ja	gross
WDL	ja	ja	nein	ja <sup>3</sup>	klein
Open Frameworks	ja	ja	ja <sup>4</sup>	nein	gross
Boost	ja	nein	ja	nein	gross
Cinder	ja	ja	ja	nein	klein
LibSourcey	nein	nein	ja	nein	nein
Qt	ja	nein	ja	nein	gross

Based on the criteria comparison above and experience in previous projects Juce was chosen for this projects implementation.

<sup>2</sup>basic socket management classes

<sup>3</sup>enabled using one of the additional iplug libraries

<sup>4</sup>the ofxNetwork addon allow simple management of TCP or UDP sockets

# Chapter 4

## Implementation

### 4.1 Architecture

Figure 4.1 illustrates a high level overview of the distributed audio processing environment. The user of the system interacts with the audio production software running on the host CPU and can choose to activate an audio processing plugin on a specific audio track. A single audio plugin might contain one or several individual processors. Processors that are enabled for distributed processing will search for a corresponding processor on a network SBC device.

The devices are networked via gigabit ethernet. It is assumed that the network is not being used for any other significant traffic.




Figure 4.1: Architectural Overview

#### 4.1.1 Host CPU

Figure 4.2 zooms in on the components involved in realtime audio on the CPU. The audio hardware needs to provide a constant stream of data to it's digital to analog

converters. It does this by periodically polling the operating system for a buffer of data via hardware interrupts. The requested buffer size can be as small as 32 samples and the polling intervals less than 1 ms depending on the hardware and drivers.

The operating system provides an abstraction layer in the form of an API to the application software. This gives the application software a single API to interface with regardless of the brand of audio hardware and drivers installed.

The VST API is another abstraction layer that offers a unified interface for plugin vendors to develop against. But VST is not the only plugin API. The Juce library offers it's own plugin API to develop against, which is simpler and abstracts away the differences between other plugin APIs.

The requests for data, manifested as interrupts triggered by the audio hardware, are passed on through the system to the audio production software by means of callbacks that the application has registered with the system. The audio production software in turn polls all the active plugins for data through thier defined VST callback functions.




Figure 4.2: Host CPU Overview

The plugin implemented for this project has several network enabled processors, in figure 4.2 only one is shown as an example. When the plugin is instantiated by the host software, it in turn instantiates each of its processors. The processors in turn immediately call the operating system's Bonjour / Zeroconf daemon with a request to browse the network for a specific service corresponding to a matching processor node. The request includes a socket by which the daemon will notify the processor of matches it has found.

The Bonjour / Zeroconf daemon notifies the audio plugin by means of the socket, at which point the plugin's Bonjour / Zeroconf manager scans through the list of matching

services to find on that is available. The plugin's `activeNode` member is then set to that matching network service.

When a request for audio data is passed from the audio production software to the plugin, it does so by calling the `processBlock` function of the plugin. The plugin in turn calls the `processBlock` of each of its processors. In figure 4.2 this is simplified showing only the `processBlock` of a single processor. The `processBlock` function is given a reference to the current audio buffer and midi buffer to process. The audio buffer contains the individual samples for each channel to be processed as float values. The midi buffer contains performance data regarding the timing and pitch of notes to be played.

Within the process block the processor checks if a `activeNode` is available. If so it immediately forwards the buffers of audio and midi as well as its own state information to the `activeNode` and awaits the response. When the response arrived the data is copied back to the buffers and the function is ended.

#### **4.1.2 Networked SBCs**

### **4.2 Developement Environment**

### **4.3 Networking Components**

These are general C++ components that are used in both the audio plugin and the processing nodes. They encapsulate the network communication handling.

#### **4.3.1 Socket Monitor**

The socket monitor class uses a `posix select()` function to monitor the status of a collection of socket file descriptors. When a file descriptor becomes ready to read from or write to the socket monitor can notify a registered delegate class via a specified callback.

- runs as a thread, blocks on `select()` until one of the managed file descriptors becomes ready to read then notifies corresponding listener
- define abstract listener class: `FileDescriptorListener`
- `select` can be configured with a timeout, unblocking the call, in order to check status of application (everything ok? should i shut down? should i update? etc..) but it is more efficient to use a control socket that can be triggered by the app to unblock the `select` call when needed.
- 

#### **4.3.2 ZeroConf Manager**

The ZeroConf Manager class encapsulates calls to the system's `bonjour/zeroconf` daemon.

### **4.3.3 AudioStream Manager**

This class is responsible for streaming audio data to or from a specified udp port. It implements

## **Chapter 5**

## **Conclusion**

# Bibliography

- [1] Nicolas Bouillot, Elizabeth Cohen, Jeremy R. Cooperstock, Andreas Floros, Nuno Fonseca, Richard Foss, Michael Goodman, John Grant, Kevin Gross, Steven Harris, Brent Harshbarger, Joffrey Heyraud, Lars Jonsson, John Narus, Michael Page, Tom Snook, Atau Tanaka, Justin Trieger, and Umberto Zanghieri. Aes white paper: Best practices in network audio. *J. Audio Eng. Soc.*, 57(9):729–741, 2009.
- [2] Richard Boulanger and Victor Lazzarini. *The Audio Programming Book*. The MIT Press, 2011.
- [3] Eli Brandt and Roger B Dannenberg. Low-latency music software using off-the-shelf operating systems. 1998.
- [4] Stuart Cheshire and Daniel H. Steinberg. *Zero Configuration Networking: The Definitive Guide*. O’Reilly Media, Inc., first edition edition, 2006.
- [5] Wolfgang Fohl and Julia Dessecker. Realtime computation of a vst audio effect plugin on the graphics processor. In *CONTENT 2011, The Third International Conference on Creative Content Technologies*,, pages 58–62, 2011.
- [6] Vincent Goudard and Remy Muller. Real-time audio plugin architectures, a comparative study. pages 10, 22, 9 2003.
- [7] AES Standards Committee Gross, Kevin. *AES standard for audio applications of networks - High-performance streaming audio-over-IP interoperability*. Audio Engineering Society, Inc., 60 East 42nd Street, New York, NY., US., 2013.
- [8] Vesa Välimäki and Antti Huovilainen. Oscillator and filter algorithms for virtual analog synthesis. *Computer Music Journal*, 30(2):pp. 19–31, 2006.
- [9] Wikipedia. Virtual studio technology — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2015. [Online; accessed 05-August-2015].



## Chapter 6

# Appendix A

### 6.1 Compiling the Source Code

Download the Juce C++ Library from GitHub

[github.com/julianstorer/JUCE](https://github.com/julianstorer/JUCE)

Additionally download and install the DrowAudio Juce Module Extensions

[github.com/drowaudio/drowaudio.git](https://github.com/drowaudio/drowaudio.git)

### 6.2 Bonjour

Instructions for installing bonjour:

If bonjour / zeroconfig is not installed on the SBC device you will get a "fatal error: dns\_sd.h: No such file or directory" error. You can fix this by installing the Avahi library

```
./configure --prefix=/usr --enable-compat-libdns_sd --sysconfdir=/etc --localstatedir=/var  
--disable-static --disable-mono --disable-monodoc --disable-python --disable-qt3 --disable-  
qt4 --disable-gtk --disable-gtk3 --enable-core-docs --with-distro=none --with-systemdsystemunitdir=no
```

Requirements: sudo apt-get install intltool sudo apt-get install libperl-dev sudo apt-get install libgtk2.0-dev sudo apt-get install libgtk3.0-dev sudo apt-get install libgdbm-dev sudo apt-get install libdaemon-dev

### 6.3 Evaluated Frameworks

WDL : <http://www.cockos.com/wdl/> ( +iplug library )

Juce : <http://www.juce.com>

Open Frameworks : <http://openframeworks.cc>

Boost : <http://www.boost.org>

Cinder : <http://libcinder.org>

LibSourcey : <http://sourcey.com/libsourcey/>

Qt : <http://www.qt.io>