



# Secret AI Rails

## The Execution Layer for AI That Enterprises Can Trust

---

### Executive Summary

**Secret AI Rails is the infrastructure that allows AI to act — not just assist.**

We are building the execution layer that enables businesses to deploy AI automation with three non-negotiable guarantees:

1. **Trust** — Every AI action is policy-governed and controlled
2. **Proof** — Every action is verifiable, auditable, and cryptographically proven
3. **Confidentiality** — Data is protected even while being processed

Unlike AI copilots that stop at suggestions, Secret AI Rails enables AI to **execute real business operations** — sending communications, processing documents, updating systems, making decisions — inside a controlled, verifiable, and confidential runtime.

**"Trust — not intelligence — is the bottleneck to AI adoption. We remove that bottleneck."**

---

### The Problem We Solve

#### AI Can Think, But Enterprises Won't Let It Act

Modern AI is capable of reasoning, drafting, and deciding. Yet enterprises still do not let AI **execute**.

flowchart LR; subgraph Today["Today's Reality"]; A[AI Recommends] --> B[Human Reviews]; B

--> C[Human Executes] C --> D[Human Documents] end subgraph Problem["The Problems"]  
P1[Slow] P2[Expensive] P3[Error-Prone] P4[Not Scalable] end Today --> Problem

Why?

Barrier	Impact
AI actions cannot be trusted	Humans must review everything
No proof that actions occurred correctly	Compliance and audit impossible
Errors are costly and risky	Conservative, manual processes remain
Data confidentiality not guaranteed	Sensitive workflows excluded from AI

The Result:

- Humans remain in the execution loop
- AI adoption stalls at "assistive" use cases
- Operational costs stay high
- AI ROI remains unrealized

Our Solution: Secret AI Rails

The Missing Layer Between AI Reasoning and Business Outcomes

flowchart TB subgraph Input["Triggers"] E1[Email Received] E2[Slack Message] E3[Document  
Uploaded] E4[API Request] end subgraph Rails["Secret AI Rails"] direction TB Policy[Policy  
Engine<br/>Thresholds & Gates] AI[AI Reasoning<br/>Classify, Extract, Decide]  
Exec[Confidential Execution<br/>Encrypted VM Runtime] Proof[Proof Ledger<br/>Append-  
Only Record] end subgraph Output["Outcomes"] O1[Email Sent] O2[Ticket Created]  
O3[Document Processed] O4[System Updated] end Input --> Policy Policy --> AI AI --> Exec  
Exec --> Proof Exec --> Output style Rails fill:#1a1a2e,stroke:#00d4ff,stroke-width:2px style  
Exec fill:#0d7377,stroke:#14ffec,stroke-width:2px style Proof  
fill:#212121,stroke:#00d4ff,stroke-width:2px

# What Secret AI Rails Does

Capability	Description
Policy Enforcement	Define what AI can and cannot do. Set thresholds, require approvals, enforce compliance rules.
Confidential Execution	Every workflow runs in its own encrypted VM. Data protected in memory, in storage, everywhere.
Proof Generation	Every action produces cryptographic proof — what happened, when, why, and who authorized it.
Human Gates	Insert approval checkpoints for high-risk actions. AI proposes, humans approve when needed.
Audit Trail	Complete, tamper-evident record of all AI actions. Export for compliance, replay for debugging.

## The Mental Model

AI decides *what* to do. Secret AI Rails controls *whether, how, and with what proof* it happens.

## Why We Are Uniquely Positioned

### We Already Own the Infrastructure

We are not starting from zero. We operate a **production confidential cloud platform** today.

flowchart TB  
subgraph Existing["What We Have Today (Production)"]  
Portal[Web Portal<br/>AWS/Azure-like Experience]  
CVM[Confidential VMs<br/>Intel TDX / AMD SEV]  
GPU[NVIDIA H100 CC<br/>Confidential GPU]  
KMS[Blockchain KMS<br/>Attestation-Gated Keys]  
Customers[Production Customers<br/>Docker Compose Deployments]  
end  
subgraph New["What We're Adding (AI Rails)"]  
Studio[Workflow Studio<br/>Visual Builder]  
Components[Component Packs<br/>Email, Slack, Voice, etc.]  
Dashboard[Operations Dashboard]  
end

Dashboard<br/>Approvals & Audit] Ledger[Proof-of-Work Ledger<br/>Cryptographic Evidence] PolicyEng[Policy Engine<br/>Gates & Thresholds] end Existing --> New style Existing fill:#1a1a2e,stroke:#00d4ff,stroke-width:2px style New fill:#0d7377,stroke:#14ffec,stroke-width:2px

## The Vertical Integration Advantage

Layer	Our Position	Competitors
Infrastructure	✔ Owned — our portal, our hardware	Rent from AWS/Azure/GCP
Confidential Compute	✔ Native — TDX, SEV, H100 CC expertise	Bolted on, if available at all
Key Management	✔ Blockchain KMS — attestation-gated	Third-party dependency
AI Execution	✔ Secret AI Rails — building now	Non-existent
Proof Layer	✔ Native ledger — our expertise	Non-existent or afterthought

We control the entire trust chain. No third-party dependencies. No margin leakage.

## Technical Moat

Capability	Competitive Implication
Intel TDX / AMD SEV expertise	12-18 month head start on competitors
NVIDIA H100 Confidential Compute	Ready for GPU inference when others are still figuring out CPU isolation
Blockchain-based KMS	Attestation-gated key release is solved — others must build or buy
Ledger/proof systems	Audit trail is native to our thinking, not bolted on

# Why NOW Is the Time

## Five Forces Converging

flowchart TB subgraph Forces["Market Forces Converging NOW"] F1["AI Capability<br/>LLMs can execute operational tasks"] F2["CC Maturity<br/>TDX/SEV production-ready"] F3["Market Demand<br/>Copilot fatigue, want execution"] F4["Cost Pressure<br/>CFOs want AI to replace, not assist"] F5["Regulation<br/>Auditability becoming mandatory"] end Forces --> Window["Optimal Window<br/>2025-2026"] Window --> Opportunity["Category Definition<br/>Opportunity"] style Window fill:#0d7377,stroke:#14ffec,stroke-width:3px style Opportunity fill:#1a1a2e,stroke:#00d4ff,stroke-width:2px

## The Timing Analysis

Factor	Status	Implication
AI Capability	LLMs reliably execute operational tasks	"Can AI do this?" is answered
Confidential Compute	TDX/SEV/H100 CC production-ready	Infrastructure to build trusted execution exists
Enterprise Sentiment	Copilot fatigue setting in	Buyers want AI that <b>acts</b> , not just suggests
Economic Pressure	Efficiency mandates, headcount pressure	CFOs asking "where can AI replace work?"
Regulatory Environment	EU AI Act, SEC guidance, industry rules	Auditability shifting from optional to mandatory

## Why Not Wait?

- Hyperscalers will eventually build this (they're slow, but they'll come)
- Category definition happens now — latecomers become "also-rans"
- Our infrastructure advantage compounds with time — but only if we move

- First-mover in "trusted AI execution" can own the category

## The Platform Architecture

### Three Planes of Operation

```

flowchart TB
    subgraph Build["BUILD PLANE"]
        Studio["Workflow Studio<br/>AI-Assisted Visual Designer"]
        Packs["Component Packs<br/>Domain Capabilities"]
        Registry["Workflow Registry<br/>Versioned Artifacts"]
    end
    subgraph Operate["OPERATE PLANE"]
        Dash["Dashboard<br/>Status & Metrics"]
        Policy["Policy Configuration<br/>Thresholds & Gates"]
        Audit["Audit Explorer<br/>Proofs & Evidence"]
        Alerts["Alerts & SLOs<br/>Monitoring"]
    end
    subgraph Execute["EXECUTE PLANE"]
        Orch["Workflow Orchestrator"]
        subgraph CC["Confidential Computing Layer"]
            CVM["Per-Workflow CVM<br/>Encrypted Memory & Disk"]
            GPU["H100 Confidential GPU<br/>When Needed"]
            Ledger["Proof-of-Work Ledger<br/>Append-Only"]
        end
        Conn["Connector Runtime<br/>Integrations"]
        Keys["Key Management<br/>Attestation-Gated"]
    end
    Studio --> Packs
    Studio --> Registry
    Studio --> Dash
    Studio --> Policy
    Studio --> Audit
    Studio --> Alerts
    Studio --> Orch
    Studio --> CVM
    Studio --> GPU
    Studio --> Ledger
    Studio --> Conn
    Studio --> Keys
    Packs --> Registry
    Packs --> Dash
    Packs --> Policy
    Packs --> Orch
    Packs --> CVM
    Packs --> GPU
    Packs --> Ledger
    Packs --> Conn
    Packs --> Keys
    Registry --> Dash
    Registry --> Policy
    Registry --> Orch
    Registry --> CVM
    Registry --> GPU
    Registry --> Ledger
    Registry --> Conn
    Registry --> Keys
    Dash --> Policy
    Dash --> Audit
    Dash --> Alerts
    Policy --> Orch
    Orch --> CVM
    Orch --> GPU
    Orch --> Ledger
    Orch --> Conn
    Orch --> Keys
    CVM --> GPU
    CVM --> Ledger
    CVM --> Conn
    CVM --> Keys
    GPU --> Ledger
    GPU --> Conn
    GPU --> Keys
    Ledger --> Conn
    Ledger --> Keys
    Conn --> Keys
    Keys --> Audit
    Keys --> Ledger
    Keys --> style Build fill:#2d3436,stroke:#00d4ff,stroke-width:2px
    Operate --> style Operate fill:#2d3436,stroke:#00d4ff,stroke-width:2px
    Execute --> style Execute fill:#1a1a2e,stroke:#14ffec,stroke-width:2px
    CC --> style CC fill:#0d7377,stroke:#14ffec,stroke-width:2px
  
```

### Workflow Execution Model

```

sequenceDiagram
    participant T as Trigger
    participant O as Orchestrator
    participant P as Policy Engine
    participant H as Human Gate
    participant V as Confidential VM
    participant S as External Systems
    participant L as Proof Ledger

    T->>O: Event received
    O->>L: Log TRIGGER_RECEIVED
    O->>P: Evaluate policies
    P-->>O: Allow / Require Gate
    alt Human Approval Required
    O->>H: Request approval (Dashboard)
    H-->>O: Approve / Deny
    O->>L: Log HUMAN_GATE_DECISIONED
    end
    O->>V: Execute in Confidential VM
    V->>S: Perform action (API call)
    S-->>V: Response + Evidence
    V->>L: Log ACTION_EXECUTED + Evidence Hash
    V-->>O: Step complete
    O->>L: Log WORKFLOW_COMPLETED
  
```

# Confidential VM Lifecycle

stateDiagram-v2 [\*] --> Provisioned: Deploy Workflow Provisioned --> Attested: Attestation Check Attested --> KeysReleased: KMS Releases Keys KeysReleased --> Running: Start Runtime Running --> Running: Execute Workflows Running --> RotatingKeys: Periodic Key Rotation RotatingKeys --> Running Running --> Terminated: Scale Down / Decommission Terminated --> [\*] note right of Attested: Hardware verification<br/>ensures VM integrity note right of KeysReleased: Secrets only released<br/>to verified environments

---

## V1 Product Scope

### Target Use Case

**Trusted business communications automation** — starting with email, messaging, and customer-facing workflows.

### Platform Core (Must Ship)

Area	Components
Build	Basic workflow studio, visual graph editor, compiler, versioning
Execute	Confidential VM runtime (CPU-only initially), proof ledger, policy engine
Operate	Dashboard with status, approvals, and audit views
Trust	Attestation-gated KMS, encrypted storage, idempotency framework, evidence hashing

## V1 Component Pack

Component	Capabilities
Email Automation	Classify intent, extract fields, draft response, send with verification
Slack Integration	Handle requests, approvals in-channel, notifications

Component	Capabilities
Web Search	Factual lookup, citation capture
Social Sentiment	Monitor mentions, analyze sentiment, alert on issues
Product Q&A Bot	Knowledge-grounded answers, escalation gates
Scheduler	Calendar coordination, booking automation
Voice (Basic)	STT transcription, intent detection, escalation

## Explicitly NOT in V1

- GPU-dependent large-scale inference
  - Fully autonomous financial transactions
  - Open-ended agent tool use
  - Third-party component marketplace
- 

# Business Value Proposition

## Quantifiable Outcomes

Metric	Without AI Rails	With AI Rails
Time to Resolution	Hours/days (human queues)	Seconds/minutes
Cost per Operation	\$5-50 (human labor)	\$0.10-1.00
Availability	Business hours	24/7/365
Error Rate	2-5% (human inconsistency)	<1% (deterministic)
Audit Preparation	Days/weeks (manual)	Minutes (ledger export)
Compliance Risk	High (undocumented)	Low (100% coverage)



# Value by Stakeholder

Stakeholder	Value Delivered
Operations	Remove humans from execution paths. 24/7 automation.
Finance	Reduce cost per operation. Predictable, scalable costs.
Compliance	Audit-ready from day one. Cryptographic proof of all actions.
Security	Data never leaves confidential environment. Zero-trust by design.
IT	Managed infrastructure. No ML expertise required.
Executive	Actual AI ROI. Competitive advantage through automation.

# Competitive Positioning

## Market Landscape

quadrantChart title AI Automation Market Position x-axis Assistants Suggest --> Execution Act y-axis Low Trust/Proof --> High Trust/Proof quadrant-1 THE OPPORTUNITY quadrant-2 Compliance Tools quadrant-3 AI Assistants quadrant-4 Workflow Automation Copilots: [0.2, 0.3] ChatGPT: [0.25, 0.25] LangChain: [0.35, 0.2] Zapier: [0.75, 0.3] UiPath: [0.8, 0.35] Secret AI Rails: [0.85, 0.9]

## Competitive Analysis

Competitor Type	What They Offer	What We Offer
<b>Hyperscalers</b> (AWS, Azure, GCP)	General cloud + fragmented AI services	Integrated confidential AI execution platform
<b>AI Platforms</b> (OpenAI, Anthropic)	Models via API, no execution layer	Complete execution infrastructure, model-agnostic
<b>Workflow Tools</b> (Zapier,	Automation without	Automation with proof, policy,

Competitor Type	What They Offer	What We Offer
n8n)	trust guarantees	confidentiality
<b>RPA</b> (UiPath, Automation Anywhere)	Legacy automation, not AI-native	AI-native, confidential, auditable
<b>Agent Frameworks</b> (LangChain, CrewAI)	Developer tools, no runtime	Production runtime with trust guarantees

## Why We Win

Dimension	Our Advantage
<b>Integration</b>	Only platform combining execution + trust + proof + confidentiality
<b>Infrastructure</b>	We own the stack — no dependencies, no margin leakage
<b>Expertise</b>	Deep CC experience (TDX/SEV/H100) others don't have
<b>Focus</b>	Purpose-built for trusted AI execution, not retrofitted
<b>Timing</b>	Production platform ready, category is undefined

---

## Go-to-Market Strategy

### Phase 1: Prove (Current → 6 months)

**Goal:** Prove AI can safely replace humans in execution

- 2-3 SMB pilots on trusted communications automation
- MIT pilot partnership
- Establish success metrics and case studies
- Refine v1 component pack based on real usage

## Phase 2: Expand (6-18 months)

**Goal:** Horizontal expansion across workflows and customers

- Additional workflow types (document processing, customer ops)
- Expand customer base (SMB → mid-market)
- Add component packs (legal, finance, IT ops)
- Self-serve onboarding for standard use cases

## Phase 3: Platform (18+ months)

**Goal:** Become the default execution layer for enterprise AI

- Enterprise sales motion
- Partner ecosystem (SI, ISV integrations)
- Component marketplace
- Cross-industry expansion

## Target Customers

Segment	Why They Buy	Entry Point
SMBs	Need automation, can't afford complexity	Pre-built templates, self-serve
Regulated SMBs	Serve enterprise customers, need compliance	Audit-ready automation
Mid-Market	Cost pressure, scaling operations	Department-level automation
Enterprise	Compliance mandate, AI governance	Platform for AI operations

---

# Revenue Model

## Pricing Dimensions

Dimension	Model
Infrastructure	Compute usage (VM hours, storage)
Execution	Workflow runs, API calls
Platform	Tier-based access to Studio, Dashboard, Components

## Tier Structure (Conceptual)

Tier	Includes	Target
Starter	VM Portal + 2 workflow templates + basic dashboard	Testing, micro-business
Growth	Full Studio + core component packs + policy engine	SMB automating operations
Scale	Everything + custom components + priority support + SLAs	Growing SMB, regulated industries
Enterprise	Custom deployment, dedicated support, advanced compliance	Mid-market and enterprise

## Revenue Amplification

Every AI Rails workflow drives additional infrastructure revenue:

- More VM compute hours
  - More storage for evidence and logs
  - More KMS operations
  - Higher customer lifetime value
-

# Risk Analysis & Mitigation

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation
Engineering Complexity	Medium	High	Team has proven CC expertise; narrow v1 scope
Sales Cycle Length	Medium	Medium	Start with SMB (faster cycles); land-and-expand
Hyperscaler Competition	Medium	High	18-month head start; vertical integration; category ownership
Scope Creep	Medium	Medium	Disciplined v1 definition; prove wedge before expanding
Market Timing	Low	High	Multiple signals confirm timing is right

## The Moat

### Why This Is Defensible

flowchart TB subgraph Moat["Compounding Defensibility"] Tech["Technical Moat<br/>CC Expertise, Vertical Stack"] Product["Product Moat<br/>Only Integrated Trust Platform"] Data["Data Moat<br/>Workflows + Proof Ledgers"] Switch["Switching Cost<br/>Audit History, Integrations"] Brand["Brand Moat<br/>Category Definition"] end Tech --> Product Product --> Data Data --> Switch Switch --> Brand Brand --> Tech style Moat fill:#1a1a2e,stroke:#00d4ff,stroke-width:2px

Moat Type	How It Works
Technical	12-18 months of CC expertise competitors don't have
Product	Only platform combining execution + trust + proof + confidentiality
Data	Customer workflows and proof ledgers create lock-in over time

Moat Type	How It Works
Switching Cost	Audit trails, compliance history, workflow dependencies
Category	First to define "trusted AI execution" — we set the terms

---

# Team Readiness

## Relevant Expertise

Capability	Team Status
Intel TDX / AMD SEV	✓ Production experience
NVIDIA H100 Confidential Compute	✓ Ready for deployment
Encrypted filesystems	✓ Implemented
Blockchain-based KMS	✓ In production
Ledger / proof systems	✓ Core competency
Cloud platform operations	✓ Currently operating

## Current Traction

Asset	Status
Web portal (VM provisioning)	✓ Production
Confidential VM infrastructure	✓ Production
Production customers	✓ SMBs deploying Docker apps
Pilot commitments	✓ 2-3 SMBs + MIT connection

---

# Investment Ask & Use of Funds

## What We Need

Category	Purpose
Engineering	Build Workflow Studio, policy engine, dashboard, component packs
Infrastructure	Scale confidential compute capacity for pilot growth
Go-to-Market	Sales, marketing, customer success for SMB expansion
Operations	Support, documentation, compliance certifications

## Milestones

Milestone	Deliverable
M1	V1 platform complete (Studio, Dashboard, Core Components)
M2	3-5 paying pilot customers
M3	First case studies published
M4	Self-serve onboarding for standard workflows
M5	20+ active customers

---

## The Big Picture

### Where We're Going

flowchart LR subgraph Today["TODAY"] T1[AI Assists] T2[Humans Execute] T3[Trust Unclear] end subgraph Tomorrow["WITH AI RAILS"] W1[AI Executes] W2[Humans Govern] W3[Trust Proven] end Today -->|Secret AI Rails| Tomorrow style Today fill:#2d3436,stroke:#636e72,stroke-width:2px style Tomorrow

# The Transformation We Enable

From	To
AI as Assistant	AI as Operator
Suggestions	Actions
Opaque	Auditable
Risky	Trusted
Human-in-the-loop	Human-on-the-loop

## Call to Action

### Why Back This Now

1. **The market is ready** — Enterprises hit the trust wall; they want AI that acts
2. **The technology is ready** — Confidential compute is mature; AI is capable
3. **We are ready** — Production platform, proven expertise, pilot customers
4. **The window is open** — Category definition happening now; first-mover advantage is real

### What Success Looks Like

"When any business asks 'Can AI do this safely?' — the answer is 'Yes, on Secret AI Rails.'"






We become the infrastructure layer that allows AI to finally do the work — trusted, proven, and confidential.



# Summary

**Secret AI Rails is not about making AI smarter. It's about making AI trusted enough to do the work.**

We have:

-  Production infrastructure (confidential cloud platform)
-  Technical expertise (TDX, SEV, H100 CC, blockchain KMS)
-  Pilot customers ready
-  Clear product vision and disciplined scope
-  Unique market position (only integrated trust + execution platform)

We need:

- Investment to build v1 and scale go-to-market
- Partners who believe AI execution requires trust infrastructure

**The time is now. The team is ready. The platform exists. Let's build the future of trusted AI execution.**

---

*"AI Rails is the execution layer that allows enterprises to finally let AI do the work."*

---

**Contact:** [alex@scrtlabs.com](mailto:alex@scrtlabs.com)

**Confidential** — For Stakeholder Review Only